

Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
Division 75—Peace Officer Standards and Training
Program
Chapter 2—Definitions

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

11 CSR 75-2.010 Definitions. The commission is adding section (52) and renumbering the remaining sections.

PURPOSE: This rule adds a definition to define the meaning of "Missouri Peace Officer Certification Exam," to meet the requirements for certification upon completion of basic or other training and the terms for maintenance of certification.

(52) Missouri Peace Officer Certification Examination, is a standardized examination that all individuals must pass in order to become certified and be commissioned as peace officers in the state of Missouri. The questions on the examination are derived from the POST mandated four hundred and seventy (470) hour curriculum.

[(52)] (53) Nonprimary enforcement activities, activities which include, but are not limited to, traffic control, crowd control, checking abandoned, vacated and temporarily vacated structures, conveyance of motor vehicles, public appearances, and public educational presentations.

[(53)] (54) Peace officer includes all members of the state highway patrol, all state, county and municipal law enforcement officers employed full-time and possessing the duty and power of arrest for violation of the general criminal laws of the state or for violation of ordinances of counties or municipalities of the state, who serves full-time, with pay.

[(54)] (55) Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission is the nine (9)-member board consisting of three (3) chiefs of police, three (3) sheriffs, one (1) certified training center director, one (1) representative of a state law enforcement agency and one (1) public member, all appointed by the governor, responsible to formulate definitions, establish core curriculum and rules for the administration of POST and to guide and advise the director concerning his/her duties as outlined by the Act.

[(55)] (56) Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission Fund shall be administered by the nine (9)-member Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission.

[(56)] (57) POST refers to the Missouri Department of Public Safety's Peace Officer Standards and Training Program.

[(57)] (58) POST fund is a training fund, administered by the POST Commission, which was created to provide for the cost of approved continuing education training of certified Missouri peace officers.

[(58)] (59) Political subdivisions, any county, township, city, incorporated town or village in the state of Missouri.

[(59)] (60) Practical experience is acquired knowledge or skill, through practice or actions, rather than theory or speculation.

[(60)] (61) Primary enforcement activities, activities used to enforce the police powers of the state, including but not limited to, a direct or indirect involvement in the activities of arrest, detention, vehicular pursuit, search, interrogations or the administration of first aid.

[(61)] (62) Public law enforcement agency, any state agency, county or municipality employing or appointing commissioned officers with full powers of arrest, search and seizure and enforcement of the general criminal laws of Missouri.

[(62)] (63) Qualifying or comprehensive examination refers to a test which substantially covers the content of the sixty (60)-hour basic bailiff training requirement, one hundred twenty (120)-hour basic training requirement, the two hundred forty (240)-hour basic training requirement, the six hundred (600)-hour basic training requirement, or a combination of these, a physical agility/defensive tactics component and a firearms component along with training or proof of certification in areas such as radar, breathalyzer and approved first aid.

[(63)] (64) Beginning August 28, 1998, a Railroad Police Officer Certification is a certification which is valid only as long as this individual is employed as a railroad police officer and is subject to the provisions of sections 388.600-388.660, RSMo.

[(64)] (65) Reciprocity Application is a form upon which a request is made to receive a specific exchange of rights or privileges from one state to another.

[(65)] (66) Reserve officer, any person who serves in a less than full-time law enforcement capacity, with or without pay, and who without certification, has no power of arrest and who, without certification, must be under direct and/or immediate accompaniment of a certified peace officer of the same agency in order to engage in primary enforcement activities.

*[(66)] (67) Skill development training focuses on activities which develop physical skill proficiency such as *[and thereof]* defensive tactics, firearms and drivers training.*

[(67)] (68) Special certificate/certification refers to the status of a chief executive officer, a peace officer, a reserve officer or bailiff who was certified with prior or other training, experience, education, or a combination of these, approved by the director as being equivalent to the minimum training required by the state to be certified under section 590.115(4) and (5), RSMo.

[(68)] (69) Specialty training is training on a single topic meant to impart specific, in-depth knowledge and skill to in-service personnel.

[(69)] (70) Technical studies training focuses on specialized studies or activities which directly relate to the job description.

[(70)] (71) Termination date refers to the last day a person is employed or appointed as a bailiff, peace officer or reserve officer with a law enforcement agency or when a person's classification with the agency changes from one status to another.

[(71)] (72) Trainee shall mean a recruit or a returning veteran bailiff, peace officer or reserve officer who shall be required to complete the minimum basic training requirements as established by the director or his/her designated representative.

[(72)] (73) Training center director or coordinator is an individual charged with the overall responsibility of conducting a mandatory basic training course under the provisions of the Act.

[(73)] (74) Training day is composed of eight (8) hours.

[(74)] (75) Veteran bailiff, is an assigned officer of the court who has been a full-time bailiff with a political subdivision prior to January 1, 1995.

[(75)] (76) Veteran peace officer, is any peace officer who has been a full-time peace officer with a duly constituted law enforcement agency, state, county or municipal law enforcement agency on a continuous basis and who previously has completed a basic law enforcement training course approved by the state in which the officer received the training or who has been exempted from the basic training requirements set forth by the Act.

[(76)] (77) Void certification occurs when a certified officer terminates appointment or employment by a duly constituted law enforcement agency for a period of five (5) years after August 28, 1996. This definition applies to a bailiff, peace officer, reserve officer or chief executive officer who was certified with prior training or other training, experience, education, or a combination of these, approved by the director as equivalent to the minimum basic training required by the state, except for any peace officer who was certified by Missouri on the basis of basic training acquired and certification granted in another state prior to appointment or employment as a bailiff, peace officer, reserve officer or chief executive officer in Missouri as defined in 11 CSR 75-3.050.

AUTHORITY: section 590.120, RSMo [Supp. 1998] Supp. 1999. Original rule filed Aug. 12, 1980, effective Nov. 13, 1980. For intervening history, please consult the Code of State Regulations. Amended: Filed Feb. 9, 2000.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed amendment will not cost private entities more than \$500 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with Chris Egbert, POST Program, Missouri Department of Public Safety, P.O. Box 749, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty days after publication of this notice in the Missouri Register. No public hearing is scheduled.

**Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
Division 75—Peace Officer Standards and Training
Program
Chapter 3—Certification of Bailiffs, Officers, and
Reserve Officers**

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

11 CSR 75-3.020 Eligibility for Certification. The commission is amending section (5).

PURPOSE: This rule contains wording that does not pertain to the eligibility for certification.

(5) To be considered for basic or special reserve officer certification, the applicant must be a part-time Missouri reserve officer, appointed by a duly constituted law enforcement agency of Missouri or any Missouri political subdivision, a United States citizen, *la resident of Missouri,* at least twenty-one (21) years of age and hold at least a high school diploma or its equivalent. These requirements will not apply to a reserve officer serving as a federal military reserve officer on a federal military installation.

AUTHORITY: sections 590.105, RSMo [Supp. 1997] Supp. 1999 and 590.110, 590.130 and 590.150, RSMo 1994. Original rule filed Aug. 12, 1980, effective Nov. 13, 1980. Rescinded and readopted: Filed April 12, 1989, effective June 29, 1989. Amended: Filed Aug. 30, 1991, effective Jan. 13, 1992. Emergency rescission and rule filed June 15, 1994, effective Aug. 28, 1994, expired Dec.

25, 1994. Rescinded and readopted: Filed June 2, 1994, effective Nov. 30, 1994. Amended: Filed Oct. 15, 1997, effective April 30, 1998. Amended: Filed Feb. 9, 2000.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed amendment will not cost private entities more than \$500 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with Chris Egbert, POST Program, Missouri Department of Public Safety, P.O. Box 749, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty days after publication of this notice in the Missouri Register. No public hearing is scheduled.

**Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
Division 75—Peace Officer Standards and Training
Program
Chapter 5—Certification of Training Centers**

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

11 CSR 75-5.040 Minimum Requirements and Procedures for Training Centers. The commission is amending section (3).

PURPOSE: This rule is deleting wording that will allow the advisory board to meet once a year instead of the current requirement, twice a year.

(3) Every training center shall have an advisory board which shall meet with the training center director or coordinator at least *[two (2) times each] once per calendar* year. Minutes of these meetings shall be maintained by the training center.

AUTHORITY: sections 590.120 and 590.135, RSMo [1994] Supp. 1999. This rule was previously filed as 11 CSR 75-5.050. Original rule filed Aug. 12, 1980, effective Nov. 13, 1980. Rescinded and readopted: Filed April 12, 1989, effective June 29, 1989. Emergency rescission and rule filed June 15, 1994, effective Aug. 28, 1994, expired Dec. 25, 1994. Rescinded and readopted: Filed June 2, 1994, effective Nov. 30, 1994. Amended: Filed Aug. 11, 1995, effective March 30, 1996. Amended: Filed Feb. 9, 2000.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed amendment will not cost private entities more than \$500 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with Chris Egbert, POST Program, Missouri Department of Public Safety, P.O. Box 749, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty days after publication of this notice in the Missouri Register. No public hearing is scheduled.

**Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
Division 75—Peace Officer Standards and Training
Program
Chapter 11—Continuing Education Requirements**

PROPOSED RULE

11 CSR 75-11.035 Recognition of Out-of-State Continuing Education Training

PURPOSE: This rule defines the requirements for recognition of out-of-state continuing education training and the process to determine which states will be recognized.

(1) If the Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) Program of another state has continuing education requirements equal to or better than those outlined in 11 CSR 75-11.020 and 11 CSR 75-11.030, then the out-of-state continuing education training received may be recognized by the Missouri POST Program as "Approved Provider" continuing education training.

(2) The director or his/her designated representatives shall make the determination if another state's POST Program has equal to or better continuing education requirements as the Missouri POST Program. This determination will be made every three (3) years beginning January 1, 2000. A list of the states that are approved will be made available to Missouri law enforcement agencies and officers.

(3) A diploma or other indicia indicating that the officer attended and successfully completed the continuing education course shall be maintained in accordance with 11 CSR 75-11.030.

(4) Credit for the out-of-state continuing education shall be issued in accordance with 11 CSR 75-11.020(7) and will be considered "Approved Provider Continuing Education."

(5) The director or his/her designated representatives may approve individual classes or courses presented by an individual or entity other than those identified in section (2). A list of approved classes or courses will be made available to Missouri law enforcement agencies and officers. Approved classes will be in compliance with 11 CSR 75-11.020 and 11 CSR 75-11.030.

AUTHORITY: section 590.115, RSMo Supp. 1999. Original rule filed Feb. 9, 2000.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than \$500 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with Chris Egbert, POST Program, Missouri Department of Public Safety, P.O. Box 749, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty days after publication of this notice in the *Missouri Register*. No public hearing is scheduled.

**Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
Division 75—Peace Officer Standards and Training
Program
Chapter 11—Continuing Education Requirements**

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

11 CSR 75-11.060 Application for Initial Probationary and Continuing POST Commission Approval of Continuing Education Providers. The commission is amending section (7).

PURPOSE: This rule is adding wording to allow the POST Commission to have the discretion of whether or not an approved provider can have parts of this section to be waived.

(7) Certain federal and state agencies, [providing] **not located within the state of Missouri, that provide** education may be waived from this section's requirements by the POST Commission.

AUTHORITY: section 590.115, RSMo [1994] Supp. 1999. Original rule filed Aug. 15, 1995, effective March 30, 1996. Amended: Filed Feb. 9, 2000.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed amendment will not cost private entities more than \$500 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with Chris Egbert, POST Program, Missouri Department of Public Safety, P.O. Box 749, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty days after publication of this notice in the *Missouri Register*. No public hearing is scheduled.

**Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
Division 75—Peace Officer Standards and Training
Program
Chapter 11—Continuing Education Requirements**

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

11 CSR 75-11.070 Procedures for Continuing Education Course Providers. The commission is amending section (14).

PURPOSE: This rule is adding a statement that allows certain training centers to be exempt from section (2) of this rule under the discretion of the POST Commission.

(14) Certain state and federal agency training centers, **not located within the state of Missouri**, designated by the POST Commission as certified providers may be exempted from all but section (2) of this rule.

AUTHORITY: sections 590.115 and 590.140, RSMo [Supp. 1997] Supp. 1999. Original rule filed Aug. 15, 1995, effective March 30, 1996. Amended: Filed Dec. 3, 1996, effective June 30, 1997. Amended: Filed Sept. 10, 1997, effective March 30, 1998. Amended: Filed Feb. 9, 2000.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed amendment will not cost private entities more than \$500 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with Chris Egbert, POST Program, Missouri Department of Public Safety, P.O. Box 749, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty days after publication of this notice in the *Missouri Register*. No public hearing is scheduled.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES
Division 15—Division of Aging
Chapter 4—Older Americans Act**

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

13 CSR 15-4.050 Funding Formula and Fiscal Management. The director of the Division of Aging proposes to amend this rule by adding the following new subsections (2)(N) and (U) and (6)(O) to include the new percentage figures for each area agency on aging.

PURPOSE: This amendment revises the funding formulas which allows the Division of Aging to disburse funds to the area agencies on aging in the state.

(2) The intrastate funding formula for the state of Missouri shall be established by the proportion of the population in each planning and service area (PSA) as calculated by using the following four (4) factors and weights:

(N) Data used to compute the area agency on aging allotment percentages was derived from the 1998 Census Estimates prepared by the Missouri Office of Administration for the population sixty (60) years of age and over for funds allocated for the period July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001;

[(N)] (O) Based on the factors and weights stated in this rule, the percentages of funds allocated to each PSA for the period July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1995 are as follows:

1. Southwest Missouri Office on Aging—12.45%;
2. Southeast Missouri Area Agency on Aging—10.66%;
3. District III Area Agency on Aging—6.73%;
4. Northwest Missouri Area Agency on Aging—6.62%;
5. Northeast Missouri Area Agency on Aging—5.76%;
6. Central Missouri Area Agency on Aging—10.79%;
7. Mid-America Regional Council Area Agency on Aging—14.21%;
8. Mid-East Area Agency on Aging—19.34%;
9. City of St. Louis Area Agency on Aging—9.80%; and
10. Area Agency on Aging Region X—3.64%;

[(O)] (P) Based on the factors and weights stated in this rule, the percentages of funds allocated to each PSA for the Fiscal Year 1996 beginning on July 1, 1995 and ending June 30, 1996, are as follows:

1. Southwest Missouri Office on Aging—12.58%;
2. Southeast Missouri Area Agency on Aging—10.57%;
3. District III Area Agency on Aging—6.63%;
4. Northwest Missouri Area Agency on Aging—6.49%;
5. Northeast Missouri Area Agency on Aging—5.65%;
6. Central Missouri Area Agency on Aging—10.86%;
7. Mid-America Regional Council Area Agency on Aging—14.32%;
8. Mid-East Area Agency on Aging—20.07%;
9. City of St. Louis Area Agency on Aging—9.19%; and
10. Agency on Aging Region X—3.64%;

[(P)] (Q) Based on the factors and weights stated in this rule, the percentages of funds allocated to each PSA for the Fiscal Year 1997 beginning on July 1, 1996 and ending June 30, 1997, are as follows:

1. Southwest Missouri Office on Aging—12.63%;
2. Southeast Missouri Area Agency on Aging—10.54%;
3. District III Area Agency on Aging—6.61%;
4. Northwest Missouri Area Agency on Aging—6.44%;
5. Northeast Missouri Area Agency on Aging—5.63%;
6. Central Missouri Area Agency on Aging—10.88%;
7. Mid-America Regional Council Area Agency on Aging—14.35%;
8. Mid-East Area Agency on Aging—20.28%;
9. City of St. Louis Area Agency on Aging—9.00%; and
10. Area Agency on Aging Region X—3.64%;

[(Q)] (R) Based on the factors and weights stated in this rule, the percentages of funds allocated to each PSA for the Fiscal Year 1998 beginning on July 1, 1997 and ending June 30 1998, are as follows:

1. Southwest Missouri Office on Aging—12.69%;
2. Southeast Missouri Area Agency—10.52%;
3. District III Area Agency—6.60%;
4. Northwest Missouri Area Agency—6.40%;
5. Northeast Missouri Area Agency—5.61%;
6. Central Missouri Area Agency—10.91%;
7. Mid-America Regional Council—14.39%;

8. Mid-East Area Agency—20.42%;
9. St. Louis Area Agency—8.82%; and
10. Region X Area Agency—3.64%;

[(R)] (S) Based on the factors and weights stated in this rule, the percentages of funds allocated to each PSA for the Fiscal Year 1999 beginning on July 1, 1998 and ending June 30, 1999, are as follows:

1. Southwest Missouri Office on Aging—12.76%;
2. Southeast Missouri Area Agency—10.48%;
3. District III Area Agency—6.59%;
4. Northwest Missouri Area Agency—6.35%;
5. Northeast Missouri Area Agency—5.59%;
6. Central Missouri Area Agency—10.94%;
7. Mid-America Regional Council—14.42%;
8. Mid-East Area Agency—20.59%;
9. St. Louis Area Agency—8.64%; and
10. Region X Area Agency—3.64%; *[and]*

[(S)] (T) Based on the factors and weights stated in this rule, the percentages of funds allocated to each PSA for the Fiscal Year 2000 beginning on July 1, 1999 and ending June 30, 2000, are as follows:

1. Southwest Missouri Office on Aging—12.82%;
2. Southeast Missouri Area Agency—10.46%;
3. District III Area Agency—6.59%;
4. Northwest Missouri Area Agency—6.30%;
5. Northeast Missouri Area Agency—5.59%;
6. Central Missouri Area Agency—10.97%;
7. Mid-America Regional Council—14.46%;
8. Mid-East Area Agency—20.72%;
9. St. Louis Area Agency—8.46%; and
10. Region X Area Agency—3.63% *[.]*; *and*

(U) Based on the factors and weights stated in this rule, the percentages of funds allocated to each PSA for the Fiscal Year 2001 beginning on July 1, 2000 and ending June 30, 2001, are as follows:

1. Southwest Missouri Office on Aging—12.87%;
2. Southeast Missouri Area Agency—10.43%;
3. District III Area Agency—6.57%;
4. Northwest Missouri Area Agency—6.26%;
5. Northeast Missouri Area Agency—5.58%;
6. Central Missouri Area Agency—11.00%;
7. Mid-America Regional Council—14.49%;
8. Mid-East Area Agency—20.86%;
9. St. Louis Area Agency—8.30%; and
10. Region X Area Agency—3.64%.

(6) The intrastate funding formula for the allocation of Title III-F funds for Missouri shall be established by the proportion of the sum of the factors for each PSA to the total of the factors for the state as calculated by using the following three (3) factors and weights:

(M) Based upon the factors and weights stated in this rule, the percentages of funds allocated to each PSA for Fiscal Year 1999 are as follows:

1. Southwest Missouri Office on Aging—12.89%;
2. Southeast Missouri Area Agency—11.06%;
3. District III Area Agency—7.34%;
4. Northwest Missouri Area Agency—7.00%;
5. Northeast Missouri Area Agency—6.37%;
6. Central Missouri Area Agency—9.19%;
7. Mid-America Regional Council—19.00%;
8. Mid-East Area Agency—11.99%;
9. St. Louis Area Agency—10.60%; and
10. Region X Area Agency—4.56%; *[and]*

(N) Based upon the factors and weights stated in this rule, the percentage of funds allocated to each PSA for Fiscal Year 2000 are as follows:

1. Southwest Missouri Office on Aging—12.50%;

2. Southeast Missouri Area Agency—11.38%;
3. District III Area Agency—7.31%;
4. Northwest Missouri Area Agency—7.03%;
5. Northeast Missouri Area Agency—6.64%;
6. Central Missouri Area Agency—9.21%;
7. Mid-America Regional Council—18.83%;
8. Mid-East Area Agency—12.06%;
9. St. Louis Area Agency—10.19%; and
10. Region X Area Agency—4.85%/.J; and

(O) Based upon the factors and weights stated in this rule, the percentages of funds allocated to each PSA for Fiscal Year 2001 are as follows:

1. Southwest Missouri Office on Aging—12.61%;
2. Southeast Missouri Area Agency—11.35%;
3. District III Area Agency—7.31%;
4. Northwest Missouri Area Agency—6.98%;
5. Northeast Missouri Area Agency—6.70%;
6. Central Missouri Area Agency—9.24%;
7. Mid-America Regional Council—18.93%;
8. Mid-East Area Agency—12.15%;
9. St. Louis Area Agency—9.96%; and
10. Region X Area Agency—4.77%.

AUTHORITY section 660.050, RSMo [Supp. 1998] Supp. 1999. This rule was previously filed as 13 CSR 15-6.195. Original rule filed Jan. 6, 1986, effective April 30, 1986. For intervening history, please consult the Code of State Regulations. Amended: Filed Feb. 2, 2000.

PUBLIC COST: In accordance with section 305 of the Older Americans Act, the Division of Aging as the state unit on aging has designated ten (10) area agencies on aging within the state. This rule is specific to those ten (10) area agencies on aging. Seven (7) of the entities are non-profit organizations and three (3) are public organizations. The public entities and their respective increase/(decrease) in revenues are: Mid-America Regional Council Area Agency on Aging—\$9,573, City of St. Louis Area Agency on Aging—(\$46,921) and Area Agency on Aging Region X—\$1,647.

PRIVATE COST: In accordance with section 305 of the Older Americans Act, the Division of Aging as the state unit on aging has designated ten (10) area agencies on aging within the state. This rule is specific to those ten (10) area agencies on aging. Seven (7) of the entities are non-profit organizations and three (3) are public organizations. The non-profit agencies and their respective increase/(decrease) in revenues are: Southwest Missouri Office on Aging—\$15,181, Southeast Missouri Area Agency on Aging—(\$8,619), District III Area Agency on Aging—(\$5,473), Northwest Missouri Area Agency on Aging—(\$11,628), Northeast Missouri Area Agency on Aging—(\$1,919), Central Missouri Area Agency on Aging—\$8,619 and Mid-East Area Agency on Aging—\$39,540.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with the Division of Aging, Andrea Routh, Director, P.O. Box 1337, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty days after publication of this notice in the Missouri Register. No public hearing is scheduled.

FISCAL NOTE
PUBLIC ENTITY COST

I. RULE NUMBER

Title: 13 - Department of Social Services

Division: 15 - Division of Aging

Chapter: 4 - Older Americans Act

Type of Rulemaking: Proposed Amendment

Rule Number and Name: 13 CSR 15-4.050, Funding Formula and Fiscal Management

II. SUMMARY OF FISCAL IMPACT

Affected Agency or Political Subdivision	Estimated Cost of Compliance in the Aggregate
Mid-America Regional Council	\$ 9,573
City of St. Louis	(\$46,921)
Area Agency on Aging Region X	\$ 1,647

III. WORKSHEET

Funding formula for distribution of funds:

	Increase/ (decrease) in Title III Funds	Increase/ (decrease) in Title III F Funds
Mid America Regional Council	\$ 8,210	\$ 1,363
City of St. Louis	(43,787)	(3,134)
Area Agency on Aging Region X	<u>2,737</u>	<u>(1,090)</u>
Total	(\$32,840)	(\$2,861)

IV. ASSUMPTIONS

1. In accordance with the federal Older Americans Act (OAA), this rule relates to the Missouri Department of Social Services/Division of Aging (DSS/DA), the designated state agency responsible for development and implementation of a state plan on aging under Titles III, V and VII of the Act.
2. This rule is specific to the state unit on aging within DSS/DA as mandated by Title III of the OAA and designated area agencies on aging. In accordance with section 305 of the OAA, DA as the state unit on aging has designated ten (10) area agencies on aging within the state. This rule is specific to those ten (10) area agencies on aging. Seven (7) of the entities are non-profit organizations and three (3) are public organizations. The non-profit agencies are: Southwest

Missouri Office on Aging, Southeast Missouri Area Agency on Aging, District III Area Agency on Aging, Northwest Missouri Area Agency on Aging, Northeast Missouri Area Agency on Aging, Central Missouri Area Agency on Aging and Mid-East Area Agency on Aging. The public entities are: Mid-America Regional Council Area Agency on Aging, City of St. Louis Area Agency on Aging and Area Agency on Aging Region X.

3. This rule is mandated by the OAA; therefore, a takings analysis is not required under section 536.017, RSMo (Supp. 1999).
4. The OAA mandates Title III funds, with limited exceptions detailed within section 304, be distributed to area agencies on aging designated by the state unit on aging. DA, the designated state unit on aging, is responsible for developing in consultation with the area agencies on aging an intrastate funding formula for distribution of Title III funds to area agencies on aging. The intrastate funding formula is based upon criteria found within section 305 of the OAA.
5. This rule is federally mandated; therefore, the life of the rule cannot be determined by DA.
6. The aggregate decrease in public revenues over the life of the rule may be obtained by multiplying the revenues above by the number of years the rule is projected to be in effect. Consideration should be given to the demographic changes as contained within information from the US Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census and population estimates prepared by the Missouri Office of Administration. Further, the area agency on aging funding levels are annually affected by the level of federal, Administration on Aging grant awards to Missouri.
7. Area agencies on aging do not cover the same number of counties nor do they receive the same level of funding. In order to obtain a reasonable estimate of the decreased revenue to a single area agency on aging, first divide the anticipated decrease in public revenues by the number of public or private area agencies on aging affected under this specific fiscal note.
8. Any other costs not identified within this fiscal note are unforeseeable and unquantifiable.

FISCAL NOTE
PRIVATE ENTITY COST

I. RULE NUMBER

Title: 13 - Department of Social Services

Division: 15 - Division of Aging

Chapter: 4 - Older Americans Act

Type of Rulemaking: Proposed Amendment

Rule Number and Name: 13 CSR 15-4.050, Funding Formula and Fiscal Management

II. SUMMARY OF FISCAL IMPACT

Estimate of the number of entities by class which would likely be affected by the adoption of the proposed rule:	Classification by types of the business entities which would likely be affected:	Estimate in the aggregate as to the cost of compliance with the rule by the affected entities:
7	Area agencies on aging	\$35,701*

*The aggregate change over the life of the rule may be obtained by multiplying the revenues above by the number of years the rule is projected to be in effect.

III. WORKSHEET

Funding formula for distribution of funds:

	Increase/ (decrease) in Title III Funds	Increase/ (decrease) in Title III F Funds
Mid-East Area Agency	\$ 38,314	\$ 1,226
Southwest Office on Aging	13,683	1,498
Central Missouri Area Agency	8,210	409
Southeast Missouri Area Agency	(8,210)	(409)
Northwest Missouri Area Agency	(10,947)	(681)
District III Area Agency	(5,473)	0
Northeast Missouri Area Agency	<u>(2,737)</u>	<u>818</u>
Total	\$ 32,840	\$ 2,861

IV. ASSUMPTIONS

1. In accordance with the federal Older Americans Act (OAA), this rule relates to the Missouri Department of Social Services/Division of Aging (DSS/DA), the designated state agency responsible for development and implementation of a state plan on aging under Titles III, V and VII of the Act.
2. This rule is specific to the state unit on aging within DSS/DA as mandated by Title III of the OAA and designated area agencies on aging. In accordance with section 305 of the Act, DA as the state unit on aging has designated ten (10) area agencies on aging within the state. This rule is specific to those ten (10) area agencies on aging. Seven (7) of the entities are non-profit organizations and three (3) are public organizations. The non-profit agencies are: Southwest Missouri Office on Aging, Southeast Missouri Area Agency on Aging, District III Area Agency on Aging, Northwest Missouri Area Agency on Aging, Northeast Missouri Area Agency on Aging, Central Missouri Area Agency on Aging and Mid-East Area Agency on Aging. The public entities are: Mid-America Regional Council Area Agency on Aging, City of St. Louis Area Agency on Aging and Area Agency on Aging Region X.
3. This rule is mandated by the OAA; therefore, a takings analysis is not required under section 536.017, RSMo (Supp. 1999).
4. The OAA mandates Title III funds, with limited exceptions detailed within section 304, be distributed to area agencies on aging designated by the state unit on aging. DA, the designated state unit on aging, is responsible for developing in consultation with the area agencies on aging an intrastate funding formula for distribution of Title III funds to area agencies on aging. The intrastate funding formula is based upon criteria found within section 305 of the OAA.
5. This rule is federally mandated; therefore, the life of the rule cannot be determined by DA.
6. The aggregate decrease in public revenues over the life of the rule may be obtained by multiplying the revenues above by the number of years the rule is projected to be in effect. Consideration should be given to the demographic changes as contained within information from the US Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census and population estimates prepared by the Missouri Office of Administration. Further, the area agency on aging funding levels are annually affected by the level of federal, Administration on Aging grant awards to Missouri.
7. Area agencies on aging do not cover the same number of counties nor do they receive the same level of funding. In order to obtain a reasonable estimate of the decreased revenue to a single area agency on aging, first divide the anticipated decrease in public revenues by the number of public or private area agencies on aging affected under this specific fiscal note.
8. Any other costs not identified within this fiscal note are unforeseeable and unquantifiable.

Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES
Division 15—Division of Aging
Chapter 14—Intermediate Care and Skilled Nursing Facility

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

13 CSR 15-14.042 Administration and Resident Care Requirements for New and Existing Intermediate Care and Skilled Nursing Facilities. The division proposes to amend sections (5), (18), (20), (28) and (39).

PURPOSE: This proposed amendment incorporates a recommendation from the Board of Nursing Home Administrators in section (5); includes the good cause waiver requirements in accordance with section 660.317, RSMo Supp. 1999 in sections (18) and (28); and incorporates the nursing assistant training requirements found in 13 CSR 15-13 in sections (20) and (39).

PUBLISHER'S NOTE: All rules relating to long-term care facilities licensed by the Division of Aging are followed by a Roman Numeral notation which refers to the class (either Class I, II or III) of standard as designated in section 198.085.1, RSMo.

(5) The **licensed** administrator shall not leave the premises without delegating the necessary authority in writing to a responsible individual. If the administrator is absent from the facility for more than thirty (30) consecutive days *[during which time s/he is not readily accessible for consultation by telephone, facsimile machine (fax) or electronic mail with the person in charge, or if the administrator is absent from the facility for more than sixty (60) working days during the calendar year]*, the person designated to be in administrative charge shall be a currently licensed nursing home administrator. **Such thirty (30) consecutive-day absences may only occur once within any consecutive twelve (12)-month period.** I/II

(18) The facility must develop and implement written policies and procedures which require that persons hired for any position which is to have contact with any patient or resident have been informed of their responsibility to disclose their prior criminal history to the facility as required by section 660.317.5, RSMo. The facility—

(A) *[must]* **Shall** also develop and implement policies and procedures which ensure that the facility does not knowingly hire, after August 28, 1997, any person who has or may have contact with a patient or resident, who has been convicted of, plead guilty or *nolo contendere* to, in this state or any other state, or has been found guilty of any A or B felony violation of Chapter 565, 566 or 569, RSMo, or any violation of subsection 3 of section 198.070, RSMo, or of section 568.020 RSMo[.], **unless the person has been granted a good cause waiver by the division;**

(B) **May consider for employment, in positions which have contact with residents or patients, any person who has been granted a good cause waiver by the division in accordance with the provisions of section 660.317, RSMo Supp. 1999 and 13 CSR 15-10.060; and**

(C) **Shall contact the division to confirm the validity of an applicant's good cause waiver prior to hiring the applicant.** II/III

(20) The facility shall develop and offer an in-service orientation and continuing educational program for the development and improvement of skills of all the facility's personnel, appropriate for their job function. Facilities shall begin providing orientation on the first day of employment for all personnel including licensed nurses and other professionals. At a minimum, this shall cover prevention and control of infection, facility policies and procedures

including emergency protocol, job responsibilities and lines of authority, confidentiality of resident information and preservation of resident dignity including protection of the resident's privacy and instruction regarding the property rights of residents. Nursing assistants who have not successfully completed the classroom portion of the state-approved training program prior to employment shall not provide direct resident care *[without]* **until they have completed the sixteen (16)-hour, orientation module and at least twelve (12) hours of supervised practical orientation.** This shall include, in addition to the topics covered in the general orientation for all personnel, special focus on facility protocols as well as practical instruction on the care of the elderly and disabled. This orientation shall be supervised by a licensed nurse who is on duty in the facility at the time orientation is provided. II/III

(28) The administrator shall maintain on the premises an individual personnel record on each employee of the facility which shall include: the employee's name and address; Social Security number; date of birth; date of employment; experience and education; references, if available; the result of background checks required by section 660.317, RSMo; **a copy of any good cause waiver, granted by the division, if applicable;** position in the facility; record that the employee was instructed on resident's rights; basic orientation received; and reason for termination, if applicable. Documentation shall be on file of all training received within the facility in addition to current copies of licenses, transcripts, certificates or statements evidencing competency for the position held. Facilities shall retain personnel records for at least one (1) year following termination of employment. III

(39) Nursing assistants employed after January 1, 1980, shall have completed mandatory training as required by section 198.082, RSMo, or be enrolled in the course and functioning under the supervision of a *[licensed nurse]* **state approved instructor or clinical supervisor** as part of the one hundred (100) hours of on-the-job training. The person enrolled shall have successfully completed the course **and become certified** within one (1) year *[(twelve (12) months) or s/he shall not]* **of employment with a licensed-only facility or within four (4) months of employment with a facility certified under Title XVIII or Title XIX if he or she is to remain employed in the facility as a nursing assistant.** II

AUTHORITY: section 198.079, RSMo 1994. Original rule filed July 13, 1983, effective Oct. 13, 1983. Emergency amendment filed Nov. 9, 1983, effective Nov. 19, 1983, expired, March 18, 1984. Amended: Filed Nov. 9, 1983, effective Feb. 11, 1984. Amended: Filed Sept. 12, 1984, effective Dec. 13, 1984. Amended: Filed Aug. 1, 1988, effective Nov. 10, 1988. Amended: Filed Jan. 3, 1992, effective Aug. 6, 1992. Amended: Filed Feb. 13, 1998, effective Sept. 30, 1998. Amended: Filed Feb. 15, 2000.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment is not anticipated to cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed amendment is not anticipated to cost private entities more than \$500 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with the Division of Aging, Richard Dunn, Director, P.O. Box 1337, Jefferson City, MO 65102-1337. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty days after publication of this notice in the Missouri Register. No public hearing is scheduled.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES
Division 30—Child Support Enforcement
Chapter 9—Incentives**

PROPOSED RULE

13 CSR 30-9.010 Incentives

PURPOSE: The purpose of this rule is to define how the Division of Child Support Enforcement will share available federal incentive funds with counties for allowable expenses not to exceed one hundred percent of counties' reasonable and necessary costs.

(1) Definitions. For the purposes of this rule, the following definitions are applicable.

(A) Division means the Division of Child Support Enforcement.

(B) Director means the director of the Division of Child Support Enforcement.

(C) New formula means the amount otherwise payable to a state as federal incentives under Section 458A of the Social Security Act.

(D) Old formula means the amount otherwise payable to a state as federal incentives under Section 458 of the Social Security Act.

(E) Counties means all counties and all cities not located within a county.

(F) Allowable expenses means expenses that may be claimed pursuant to 13 CSR 30-3.010.

(G) TANF means temporary aid for needy families.

(H) County incentives means the total amount of money counties are entitled to receive whether under the new formula, or the old formula or both. Incentives are equal to six percent (6%) of their counties' TANF collections plus six percent (6%) of their counties non-TANF collections (not to exceed the six percent (6%) of TANF collections). Level A and B counties will receive six percent (6%) of their counties' TANF collections plus six percent (6%) of non-TANF collections (up to one hundred fifteen percent (115%) of their counties' TANF collections). The incentives are subject to availability of federal funding and shall only be paid from federal incentive funds.

(2) Three (3) Year Phase-In Plan. Between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2000, county incentives will be paid at the rate of two thirds (2/3) from the old formula and one-third (1/3) from the new formula. Between October 1, 2000, and September 30, 2001, county incentives will be paid at the rate of one-third (1/3) from the old formula and two-thirds (2/3) from the new formula. Beginning October 1, 2001, and thereafter, county incentives will be paid at one hundred percent (100%) from the new formula.

(3) Payments to be Received by Counties. Incentive payments to counties under the new formula shall not exceed one hundred percent (100%) of the counties allowable expenses which have not been reimbursed pursuant to 13 CSR 30-3.010. If the funds received by the county from the old formula plus the new formula do not equal one hundred percent (100%) of the counties non-reimbursed allowable expenses, the division will allocate additional funds up to one hundred percent (100%) of non-reimbursed allowable expenses if federal funds are available from the new formula after all other counties have received their county incentives. If the total federal funds received by the state under the new formula which have not been paid to counties are not sufficient to cover counties' cost which have not been reimbursed pursuant to 13 CSR 30-3.010 or which have not been covered by incentives paid under the new and old formula, the counties will share the incentives on a *pro rata* share based on the percent of the counties' total IV-D collections. If at anytime federal incentives received by the state are insufficient to pay county incentives, then the federal incentives shall be distributed to the counties *pro rata* based on

collections in IV-D cases. If the total federal funds received by the state under the new formula exceed the amount necessary to pay all counties allowable costs after reimbursement pursuant to 13 CSR 30-3.010 and receipt of all incentives to which they are entitled to under both the old and new formulas, the state shall retain these incentives for use as appropriated.

(4) Base Year Expenses. The division will initially use calendar year 1999 as the starting base year to determine the amount of allowable expenses for each county. The base year will include expenses of the counties that are normal and usual yearly expenses for the counties' operations. The division will exclude from the base year any one-time expenses not related to normal and usual expenses. After the first base year is established, then each year thereafter, the previously approved year's expenses will be used as the base year. The counties may request additional funding over the base amount from the director of the division in writing. These requests must be received by the director on or before the first day of July. Additional requests may be submitted as needed throughout the year. Requests may be made for increases to the base year or for a one-time expense. The director may approve the request, deny the request or approve for reimbursement pursuant to 13 CSR 30-3.010.

(5) Expenditures of Incentives. Incentives received by counties under the old formula may be used by the counties at their discretion. Incentives received by counties under the new formula must be reinvested into the IV-D program.

(6) Performance Audits. Counties must pass performance audits conducted by the division pursuant to 13 CSR 30-2.010 or submit corrective action plans to receive full incentives. Counties that fail to achieve corrective action plans shall be subject to reductions of their incentives. These reductions will be at four percent (4%) of the previous base year's expenses for the first failure, eight percent (8%) for the second consecutive failure and sixteen percent (16%) for the third consecutive failure and subsequent failures; these reductions will begin upon failure to achieve corrective action plans.

AUTHORITY: section 454.400.2(5), RSMo Supp. 1999. Original rule filed Feb. 3, 2000.

PUBLIC COST: In this proposed rule, it is expected that the division will be able to reimburse 100% of the county's reasonable and necessary costs. However, there will be an estimated reduction in funds distributed to Missouri counties in the aggregate amount of \$496,515 for calendar year 2000, \$1,702,374 for calendar year 2001 and \$2,810,253 for calendar year 2002. See attached fiscal note.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than \$500 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Division of Child Support Enforcement, Brian Kinkade, 3418 Knipp Dr. Suite F, Jefferson City MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty days after publication of this notice in the Missouri Register. No public hearing is scheduled.

FISCAL NOTE
PUBLIC ENTITY COST

I. RULE NUMBER

Title: 13 Department of Social Services

Division: 30 – Child Support Enforcement

Chapter: 9 Incentives

Type of Rulemaking: Proposed Rule

Rule Number and Name: 13 CSR 30-9.010 Incentives

II. SUMMARY OF FISCAL IMPACT

Affected Agency or Political Subdivision Counties	Estimated Cost of Compliance in the Aggregate		
	Calendar Year 2000	Calendar Year 2001	Calendar Year 2002
Reduction of incentive funds distributed to counties using Calendar Year 1999 as a baseline. (Calculated by subtracting the "Total Income" amount for each respective year from the "Total Income Calendar 1999" amount.)	496,515	1,702,374	2,810,253 *

*Based upon the above assumptions, it is determined that for the years after the third year, the estimated costs of compliance in the aggregate remain at \$2,810,253.00 per year. Ongoing costs in the future cannot be predicted in a precise manner due to factors such as increases in expenses, changes in the federal child support program, and the total amount of incentives received by the state each year. Therefore ongoing costs of compliance cannot be estimated in a reasonable fashion.

III. WORKSHEET

County	Expenses Calendar 1999	Total Income Calendar 1999	Total Income Calendar 2000	Total Income Calendar 2001	Total Income Calendar 2002
ADAIR	57,448	72,059	67,575	61,788	57,448
ANDREW	2,481	9,591	7,121	4,469	2,481
ATCHISON	64,645	66,727	66,727	65,841	64,645
AUDRAIN	72,080	79,649	79,649	79,649	72,080
BARRY	3,310	26,657	17,586	9,428	3,310
BARTON	3,146	10,617	8,128	5,281	3,146
BATES	2,906	14,842	10,445	6,137	2,906
BENTON	2,217	12,149	8,450	4,889	2,217
BOLLINGER	-	6,316	3,684	1,579	-
BOONE	143,598	179,568	179,568	164,796	143,598
BUCHANAN	885,060	699,420	885,060	885,060	885,060
BUTLER	145,375	144,440	145,375	145,375	145,375
CALDWELL	2,356	7,626	5,897	3,874	2,356
CALLAWAY	10,168	29,531	23,479	15,873	10,168
CAMDEN	94,128	97,982	97,982	97,982	94,128
CAPE GIRARDEAU	37,915	78,359	69,010	51,242	37,915
CARROLL	18,328	21,443	21,443	20,665	18,328
CARTER	2,433	9,433	6,999	4,390	2,433
CASS	74,017	89,405	89,405	84,022	74,017
CEDAR	6,575	15,312	12,975	9,318	6,575

CHARITON	1,428	5,587	4,137	2,589	1,428
CHRISTIAN	29,503	39,405	39,405	34,486	29,503
CLARK	1,698	9,735	6,723	3,852	1,698
CLAY	414,197	360,646	414,197	414,197	414,197
CLINTON	53,152	58,401	58,240	55,333	53,152
COLE	140,291	146,864	146,864	146,864	140,291
COOPER	70,743	75,959	75,959	73,279	70,743
CRAWFORD	55,191	75,960	69,444	61,299	55,191
DADE	3,888	9,696	8,047	5,670	3,888
DALLAS	1,526	13,250	8,668	4,587	1,526
DAVISS	2,346	6,888	5,461	3,681	2,346
DEKALB	2,211	6,213	4,984	3,400	2,211
DENT	4,093	17,169	12,533	7,710	4,093
DOUGLAS	29,977	33,563	33,563	33,422	29,977
DUNKLIN	83,584	165,907	135,419	105,799	83,584
FRANKLIN	190,761	187,456	190,761	190,761	190,761
GASCONADE	1,851	10,467	7,244	4,162	1,851
GENTRY	-	3,335	1,946	834	-
GREENE	416,861	452,089	452,089	451,608	416,861
GRUNDY	2,676	10,920	8,015	4,964	2,676
HARRISON	1,088	6,790	4,630	2,606	1,088
HENRY	55,852	73,034	68,606	61,318	55,852
HICKORY	1,010	6,438	4,376	2,453	1,010
HOLT	258	2,234	1,462	774	258
HOWARD	1,037	6,526	4,445	2,497	1,037
HOWELL	79,209	108,275	100,936	88,520	79,209
IRON	3,455	17,149	12,128	7,172	3,455
JACKSON	1,540,474	1,655,411	1,655,411	1,655,411	1,540,474
JASPER	130,485	236,650	197,914	159,383	130,485
JEFFERSON	200,560	278,463	278,463	236,817	200,560
JOHNSON	23,757	46,711	41,749	31,468	23,757
KNOX	588	3,721	2,533	1,422	588
LACLEDE	7,088	33,613	23,967	14,322	7,088
LAFAYETTE	37,185	54,544	54,544	44,685	37,185
LAWRENCE	75,319	100,114	95,187	83,834	75,319
LEWIS	2,185	9,288	6,762	4,146	2,185
LINCOLN	29,504	29,889	29,889	29,889	29,504
LINN	2,518	14,762	10,160	5,793	2,518
LIVINGSTON	4,781	14,782	11,563	7,687	4,781
MACON	2,673	12,860	9,146	5,447	2,673
MADISON	2,297	11,962	8,390	4,909	2,297
MARIES	1,630	5,787	4,378	2,807	1,630
MARION	37,010	68,063	55,934	45,120	37,010
McDONALD	18,853	34,991	32,006	24,490	18,853
MERCER	1,310	3,694	2,961	2,017	1,310
MILLER	5,102	27,449	19,150	11,123	5,102
MISSISSIPPI	31,920	74,542	63,114	45,289	31,920
MONITEAU	1,652	12,004	8,018	4,380	1,652
MONROE	108	5,640	3,357	1,501	108
MONTGOMERY	27,312	33,570	33,570	31,198	27,312
MORGAN	-	14,002	8,168	3,501	-
NEW MADRID	30,858	77,558	64,220	45,156	30,858
NEWTON	29,135	54,976	49,987	38,072	29,135
NODAWAY	-	7,419	4,328	1,855	-

OREGON	2,127	9,047	6,586	4,038	2,127
OSAGE	1,709	8,602	6,069	3,578	1,709
OZARK	10,960	14,491	14,491	12,774	10,960
PEMISCOT	32,626	101,463	79,252	52,608	32,626
PERRY	-	-	-	-	-
PETTIS	27,198	58,365	50,747	37,290	27,198
PHELPS	34,273	56,036	53,765	42,627	34,273
PIKE	12,297	23,213	21,103	16,071	12,297
PLATTE	24,600	36,955	36,661	29,769	24,600
POLK	62,618	70,720	70,720	66,592	62,618
PULASKI	31,451	50,718	48,928	38,941	31,451
PUTNAM	-	2,736	1,596	684	-
RALLS	2,122	7,698	5,795	3,696	2,122
RANDOLPH	2,543	25,414	16,389	8,477	2,543
RAY	58,688	55,005	58,688	58,688	58,688
REYNOLDS	1,560	8,223	5,756	3,358	1,560
RIPLEY	12,157	25,307	22,239	16,478	12,157
SALINE	28,548	48,502	45,850	35,963	28,548
SCHUYLER	503	3,846	2,553	1,382	503
SCOTLAND	937	4,729	3,335	1,965	937
SCOTT	57,398	114,164	101,895	76,468	57,398
SHANNON	1,206	9,520	6,295	3,387	1,206
SHELBY	706	5,679	3,747	2,009	706
ST. CHARLES	262,516	260,287	262,516	262,516	262,516
ST. CLAIR	3,254	8,312	6,850	4,795	3,254
ST. FRANCOIS	62,229	129,283	104,445	80,322	62,229
ST. LOUIS	520,916	938,924	850,759	662,277	520,916
ST. LOUIS CITY	654,797	1,486,873	1,270,042	918,473	654,797
STE. GENEVIEVE	2,707	8,815	6,807	4,464	2,707
STODDARD	25,073	59,778	50,290	35,880	25,073
STONE	1,932	16,293	10,692	5,686	1,932
SULLIVAN	64,289	66,518	66,518	65,491	64,289
TANEY	28,256	33,783	33,783	32,039	28,256
TEXAS	6,507	22,239	16,975	10,993	6,507
VERNON	8,054	28,285	21,453	13,796	8,054
WARREN	17,456	24,486	24,486	20,697	17,456
WASHINGTON	4,652	33,499	22,402	12,259	4,652
WAYNE	3,102	18,198	12,523	7,140	3,102
WEBSTER	18,199	28,642	27,900	22,357	18,199
WORTH	3,457	3,761	3,761	3,761	3,457
WRIGHT	21,606	33,904	32,972	26,477	21,606
TOTALS	7,635,607	10,445,860	9,949,344	8,743,485	7,635,607

IV. ASSUMPTIONS

1. "Expenses Calendar 1999" is based upon the expenses claimed through 12/29/99.
2. Expenses were held constant at the Calendar 1999 level.
3. "Total Income" is comprised of two revenue sources: 66% Federal matching funds and child support incentives. Historically, counties have received 66% federal reimbursement for IV-D program expenditures. Counties typically cover the remaining 34% of costs incurred with the child support incentives received.
4. The phase-in of the new incentive formula began October 1, 1999.