

This section will contain the final text of the rules proposed by agencies. The order of rulemaking is required to contain a citation to the legal authority upon which the order of rulemaking is based; reference to the date and page or pages where the notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the *Missouri Register*; an explanation of any change between the text of the rule as contained in the notice of proposed rulemaking and the text of the rule as finally adopted, together with the reason for any such change; and the full text of any section or subsection of the rule as adopted which has been changed from that contained in the notice of proposed rulemaking. The effective date of the rule shall be not less than 30 days after the date of publication of the revision to the *Code of State Regulations*.

The agency is also required to make a brief summary of the general nature and extent of comments submitted in support of or opposition to the proposed rule and a concise summary of the testimony presented at the hearing, if any, held in connection with the rulemaking, together with a concise summary of the agency's findings with respect to the merits of any such testimony or comments which are opposed in whole or in part to the proposed rule. The 90-day period during which an agency shall file its order of rulemaking for publication in the *Missouri Register* begins either: 1) after the hearing on the proposed rulemaking is held; or 2) at the end of the time for submission of comments to the agency. During this period, the agency shall file with the secretary of state the order of rulemaking, either putting the proposed rule into effect, with or without further changes, or withdrawing the proposed rule.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 2—Licensing Cases Under Section 621.045,
RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission adopts a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-2.200 Subject Matter is adopted.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 390). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 2—Licensing Cases Under Section 621.045,
RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under sections 621.198 and 621.205, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-2.290 Filing of Documents; Fax Filing; Posting Bond is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 390). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 2—Licensing Cases Under Section 621.045,
RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under sections 536.073.2(2) and 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-2.450 Determination of Cases Without Hearing is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 391). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 2—Licensing Cases Under Section 621.045,
RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-2.560 Fees and Expenses is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 391). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 3—Sales and Use and Income Tax Cases
Under Section 621.050, RSMo, and All Other
Contested Cases, Except Licensing Cases Under Section
621.045, RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission adopts a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-3.200 Subject Matter is adopted.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 391–392). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 3—Sales and Use and Income Tax Cases
Under Section 621.050, RSMo, and All Other
Contested Cases, Except Licensing Cases Under Section
621.045, RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-3.210 Definitions is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 392). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 3—Sales and Use and Income Tax Cases
Under Section 621.050, RSMo, and All Other
Contested Cases, Except Licensing Cases Under Section
621.045, RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under sections 621.198 and 621.205, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-3.290 Filing of Documents; Fax Filing; Posting Bond is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 392). No changes have been made

in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 3—Sales and Use and Income Tax Cases
Under Section 621.050, RSMo, and All Other
Contested Cases, Except Licensing Cases Under Section
621.045, RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-3.320 Stays or Suspensions of Any Action from which Petitioner Is Appealing is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 392–393). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 3—Sales and Use and Income Tax Cases
Under Section 621.050, RSMo, and All Other
Contested Cases, Except Licensing Cases Under Section
621.045, RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-3.350 Complaints is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 393–394). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 3—Sales and Use and Income Tax Cases
Under Section 621.050, RSMo, and All Other
Contested Cases, Except Licensing Cases Under Section
621.045, RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-3.380 Answers and Other Responsive Pleadings is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 394). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 3—Sales and Use and Income Tax Cases
Under Section 621.050, RSMo, and All Other
Contested Cases, Except Licensing Cases Under Section
621.045, RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under sections 536.073.2(2) and 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-3.450 Determination of Cases Without Hearing is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 395). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 3—Sales and Use and Income Tax Cases
Under Section 621.050, RSMo, and All Other
Contested Cases, Except Licensing Cases Under Section
621.045, RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-3.490 Hearings on Complaints is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 395). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 3—Sales and Use and Income Tax Cases
Under Section 621.050, RSMo, and All Other
Contested Cases, Except Licensing Cases Under Section
621.045, RSMo**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the Administrative Hearing Commission amends a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-3.560 Fees and Expenses is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 395-396). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.210 Definitions is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 396). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.230 Computation of Time; Extensions of Time is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 396). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

- 1 CSR 15-5.250** Practice by a Licensed Attorney; When Required **is rescinded.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 396-397). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

- 1 CSR 15-5.270** Service of Filings Other Than the Original Complaint **is rescinded.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 397). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under sections 621.198 and 621.205, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

- 1 CSR 15-5.290** Filing of Documents; Fax Filing **is rescinded.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 397). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

- 1 CSR 15-5.320** Stays or Suspensions of Committee Action **is rescinded.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 397). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

- 1 CSR 15-5.350** Complaints **is rescinded.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 397-398). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

- 1 CSR 15-5.380** Answers and Other Responsive Pleadings **is rescinded.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg. 398). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.390 Intervention is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 398). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.410 Closing of Case Records and Hearings is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 398). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.420 Discovery is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 398-399). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.430 Dismissal is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 399). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under sections 536.073.2(2) and 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.450 Determination of Cases Without Hearing is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 399). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.470 Prehearing Conferences is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 399). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.480 Hearings on Motions is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 399-400). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.490 Hearings on Complaints is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 400). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.510 Transcripts is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 400). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.530 Bench Rulings and Memorandum Decisions is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 400). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.560 Fees and Expenses is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 400-401). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 5—Certificate of Need Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-5.580 Certifications of Records is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 401). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.210 Definitions is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 401). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.230 Computation of Time; Extensions of Time is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 401). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.250 Practice by a Licensed Attorney; When Required is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 401–402). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.270 Service of Filings Other Than the Original Complaint is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 402). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under sections 621.198 and 621.205, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.290 Filing of Documents; Fax Filing is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 402). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.320 Stays or Suspensions of Department Action is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 402). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.350 Complaints is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 402–403). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.380 Answers and Other Responsive Pleadings is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 403). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.390 Intervention is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 403). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.410 Closing of Case Records and Hearings is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 403). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.420 Discovery is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 403–404). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.430 Dismissal is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 404). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under sections 536.073.2(2) and 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.450 Determination of Cases Without Hearing is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 404). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.470 Prehearing Conferences is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 404). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.480 Hearings on Motions is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 404-405). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.490 Hearings on Complaints is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 405). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.510 Transcripts is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 405). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.530 Bench Rulings and Memorandum Decisions is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 405). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.560 Fees and Expenses is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 405-406). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 1—OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION
Division 15—Administrative Hearing Commission
Chapter 6—Medicaid Cases**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Administrative Hearing Commission under section 621.198, RSMo 2000, the commission rescinds a rule as follows:

1 CSR 15-6.580 Certifications of Records is rescinded.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 406). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 3—DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION
Division 10—Conservation Commission
Chapter 4—Wildlife Code: General Provisions**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Conservation Commission under sections 40 and 45 of Art. IV, Mo. Const., the commission amends a rule as follows:

3 CSR 10-4.116 Special Regulations for Areas Owned by Other Entities is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 646-649). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received during the comment period.

**Title 3—DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION
Division 10—Conservation Commission
Chapter 7—Wildlife Code: Hunting: Seasons, Methods, Limits**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Conservation Commission under sections 40 and 45 of Art. IV, Mo. Const., the commission amends a rule as follows:

3 CSR 10-7.455 is amended.

This amendment relates to hunting seasons and limits and is excepted by section 536.021, RSMo from the requirement for filing as a proposed amendment.

The Department of Conservation amended 3 CSR 10-7.455 by opening turkey hunting in Lawrence County during the fall firearms season.

3 CSR 10-7.455 Turkeys: Seasons, Methods, Limits

PURPOSE: This rule opens Lawrence County to turkey hunting in the fall firearms season.

(1) Turkeys may be pursued, taken, killed, possessed or transported only as permitted in this rule.

(B) Fall Firearms Season. Fall season annually will begin on the second Monday in October and be fourteen (14) days in length. A person possessing the prescribed turkey hunting permit may take two (2) turkeys of either sex during the season; provided, only one (1) turkey may be taken during the first seven (7) days of the season, and only one (1) turkey may be taken per day; except that a person under twelve (12) years of age who possesses a Youth Deer and Turkey Hunting Permit may take only one (1) turkey of either sex during the season. Turkeys may be taken only by shotgun with shot no larger than No. 4 or longbow; without the use of dogs, bait, recorded calls or live decoys; from one-half (1/2) hour before sunrise to sunset in all counties except: Dunklin, McDonald, Mississippi, New Madrid, Newton, Pemiscot and Scott. Possession of shotshells loaded with shot larger than No. 4 is prohibited while hunting turkeys. A person, while in the act of pursuing or hunting turkey on a fall firearms permit, shall not have both a firearm and longbow on his/her person.

SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS: Seasons and limits are excepted from the requirement for filing as a proposed amendment under section 536.021, RSMo. This amendment filed April 30, 2001, effective May 15, 2001.

**Title 3—DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION
Division 10—Conservation Commission
Chapter 11—Wildlife Code: Definitions**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Conservation Commission under sections 40 and 45 of Art. IV, Mo. Const., the commission amends a rule as follows:

3 CSR 10-11.805 Definitions is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 649-650). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted

here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received during the comment period.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND
SECONDARY EDUCATION
Division 60—Vocational and Adult Education
Chapter 120—Vocational Education**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under section 161.235, RSMo 2000, the board adopts a rule as follows:

5 CSR 60-120.080 Student Suicide Prevention Programs is adopted.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 16, 2001 (26 MoReg 209–211). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND
SECONDARY EDUCATION
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation
Chapter 4—General Administrative Policies**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 2000, the board amends a rule as follows:

5 CSR 90-4.120 Minimum Standards for Service Providers is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 16, 2001 (26 MoReg 212). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND
SECONDARY EDUCATION
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation
Chapter 5—Vocational Rehabilitation Services**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 2000, the board amends a rule as follows:

5 CSR 90-5.400 Services is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on

January 16, 2001 (26 MoReg 212–213). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND
SECONDARY EDUCATION
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation
Chapter 5—Vocational Rehabilitation Services**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 2000, the board amends a rule as follows:

5 CSR 90-5.440 Training is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 16, 2001 (26 MoReg 214). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 8—DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND
INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
Division 10—Division of Employment Security
Chapter 4—Unemployment Insurance**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Division of Employment Security under section 288.220, RSMo 2000, the division amends a rule as follows:

8 CSR 10-4.080 Joint Accounts is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 1, 2001 (26 MoReg 333). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
Division 60—Public Drinking Water Program
Chapter 14—Operator Certification**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Safe Drinking Water Commission under section 640.100, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

10 CSR 60-14.010 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on December 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 2886–2889). Comments were

received and changes to the rule are reprinted here. The proposed amendment becomes effective **August 1, 2001**.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: A public hearing on this amendment was held January 16, 2001 and the public comment period ended January 31, 2001. At the public hearing the department testified that this proposed amendment adopts federal operator certification requirements and provides additional safeguards for the protection of public health. The rule will apply to all community water systems, regardless of size or compliance history, and all nontransient noncommunity water systems. All transient systems that use surface water or groundwater under the direct influence of surface water will be required to comply.

A descriptive system is proposed for classifying water systems, and separate classifications are established for treatment and distribution. A chief operator must be in responsible charge of the system. Process control/system integrity decisions must be made by a certified operator. A certified operator must be available or able to be contacted as needed to initiate appropriate action. Public water systems employing a contract operator will be required to have a written agreement with the operator.

Comments were received from three consulting firms, two water industry associations, three certified operators and nine public water systems.

COMMENT: An overall comment was made on the implementation time frame for these rules. The commenter stated that upon the effective date, a number of water systems will be out of compliance, a number of uncertified operators will have to get certified, and the demand for training and exams will increase. The commenter recommended a phase-in period for complying with the requirement that all operators making process control/system integrity decisions be certified and suggested a case-by-case approach, with a maximum time allowed of two years.

RESPONSE: The commission considered this comment and responded that changes to the operator certification rules have been under public discussion since August 1999. The federal guidelines were published in February 1999. Water system owners and operators have had time to become aware of the proposed requirements and have had many opportunities to provide input. Also, the grandparenting provisions in 10 CSR 60-14.020 provide a phase-in opportunity for systems not previously affected by these rules. No changes are made to this rule in response to the comment; however some changes are made to section (4) of 10 CSR 60-14.020 to help ensure that systems have sufficient time to take advantage of the phase-in opportunity that grandparenting offers.

COMMENT: A commenter stated that the proposed amendments are confusing and open to interpretation. The commenter recommended that the state rules be no stricter than the federal rules on operator certification. Another commenter expressed general support for the proposed changes, but feels that in some cases, for example, system classification in subsection (3), the rule goes beyond what is in the federal rule.

RESPONSE: The commission pointed out that there is no federal rule on operator certification. Federal guidelines published February 5, 1999 list certain baseline standards that a state operator certification program must include but leave most of the details to state determination. The areas addressed in this rule reflect baseline standards the federal guidelines require the state to address, including system classification. How a state addresses the baseline standards is discretionary. Due in part to the flexibility provided by the federal guidelines, changes to the state operator certification rules were under public discussion for more than a year before being formally proposed in the *Missouri Register*. Water system owners and operators had many opportunities to shape and influence how Missouri should meet the federal guidelines. No changes are made in response to the comment.

COMMENT: One commenter stated that the rule should also apply to transient noncommunity water systems.

RESPONSE: The rule applies to transient water systems that use surface water or groundwater under the direct influence of surface water. Since the proposed amendment does not extend the rule to transient groundwater systems, it would be unfair to include those systems at this point when there would be no opportunity for public comment. No change is made in response to the comment.

COMMENT: A commenter remarked that the definition of available in subsection (2)(A) should be more specific in regard to "promptly" and "in a timely manner."

RESPONSE: The commission responded that further attempts to define available would be counterproductive. No changes are made.

COMMENT: A commenter stated that the definitions proposed to be added to section (2) should be in the definitions rule, 10 CSR 60-2.015, and the existing definitions of operator and chief operator in 10 CSR 60-2.015(2)(C) and (2)(O) should be deleted.

RESPONSE: It is appropriate to place terms and definitions in the rule they pertain to. It has also become necessary, due to the increasing length and complexity of the rulemaking process, to time the opening of a rule very carefully. When a rule has been opened for proposed changes, it can be nearly a year before additional changes can be proposed. Proposed changes to the definitions rule are scheduled so that any necessary federal changes can be adopted by the applicable primacy deadline. The very general definitions of operator and chief operator in 10 CSR 60-2.015 are superceded by these definitions and will be deleted when that rule is opened for other changes. No changes are made.

COMMENT: A commenter requested that the federal definitions of treatment facility and distribution system be added to section (2).

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission agreed with the comment and the change is made.

COMMENT: A commenter suggested modifying the definition of certified operator in subsection (2)(B) to state: "Any individual holding a valid water treatment or water distribution certificate of any level issued by the department." The commenter believes this would eliminate the need for interpretation where the term "certified operator" is used in the rules.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission agreed with the comment and the change is made.

COMMENT: A commenter supported exempting small community water systems from operator certification requirements.

RESPONSE: Small community water systems are not, and cannot be, exempt from operator certification requirements. No change is made.

COMMENT: A commenter suggested that paragraph (3)(B)1. should require a higher level than DS-I for systems that chlorinate.

RESPONSE: The commission considered the comment but believes the classification level is correct. No change is made.

COMMENT: Four commenters opposed having separate treatment and distribution certificates. The commenters stated that maintaining dual certification is excessive and adds unnecessary additional training and fiscal burdens to individuals who have traditionally maintained a single certificate. One of the commenters stated that narrowing the scope of a certificate diminishes its importance and could limit employment opportunities. The number of certified operators will decrease because water systems have limited funds for training costs. A commenter stated that the rule should be clarified and a single certificate should be required. One of the commenters suggested that there be one certificate for both treatment

and distribution and a separate distribution only certificate for those persons who work exclusively in distribution. Another commenter suggested adding F, G, or H certificates if necessary but require only one certificate per operator.

RESPONSE: At this point in the rulemaking process it would be difficult to justify sweeping changes to the proposed approach since there would be no opportunity for public comment on the new approach. Early involvement in the rulemaking process is critical in influencing and shaping basic approaches. The department and commission provided extensive opportunities for this input for more than a year before the proposed amendments were published.

One of the alternative approaches discussed early in the stakeholder process was additional certification levels instead of dual certification. Stakeholders did not appear to consider the dual certification approach excessive. This approach allows training to be more specific to the actual needs of the operator. The department anticipates a significant overlap in treatment and distribution training and does not expect that the additional training required of operators who chose to have dual certification will be excessive.

The rule more clearly defines the scope of a classification level, which should, if anything, enhance rather than diminish the operator's certificate. The department anticipates that the number of certified operators will increase rather than decrease. The department anticipates that some federal funding may be available to help operators of small systems meet the training requirements. No changes are made in response to these comments.

COMMENT: Paragraph (3)(B)2. provides for operators who were certified prior to the effective date of the rule to be issued automatically a distribution certificate that will expire on the same date as their treatment certificate. A commenter believes that this provision should include operators who have passed an examination but won't meet the experience requirements to actually receive the treatment certificate until after the rule is in effect.

RESPONSE: The proposed changes have been under development and available to the public since 1999. Operators have had time to plan ahead and make adjustments in anticipation of the new requirements. No change is made.

COMMENT: A commenter stated that paragraph (3)(B)2. should be moved to 10 CSR 60-14.020. Also, the commenter believes this provision is ill advised. Currently certified operators at the A, B and C levels should not be given a DS-III certificate. The commenter recommends that the department either require currently certified operators to submit an application describing distribution system experience, or issue DS-I certificates unless the operator can document distribution system experience meeting the DS-II or DS-III requirement.

RESPONSE: The commission and department believe the proposed approach is the best way of phasing in the new distribution system certification requirements. No change is made in response to the comment.

COMMENT: Two commenters opposed the proposal in subsection (3)(D) to issue a matching higher level of certificate to the chief operator of systems whose classification level is raised by the proposed new classification scheme. One commenter recommended these operators be issued a restricted certificate as a grandparented operator, or the department confirm that the system is in compliance and that the chief operator has spent at least one year at the facility. The other commenter recommended restricting the upgraded certificate to the reclassified water system. The operator's unrestricted lower level certificate would be put on hold and would be reissued if the operator leaves the upgraded water system.

RESPONSE: The commission and department believe the proposed approach is the most equitable way of adjusting to the new

classification system. It is anticipated that the classification letter will change for several systems while the systems themselves remain functionally the same. Since the chief operator is currently qualified to operate that category of systems, it is reasonable to assume that he/she continues to be qualified to do so on the effective date of the revised rule. The proposed alternatives would add a level of complexity and an administrative burden with no commensurate benefit to public health. No changes are made.

COMMENT: Two commenters recommended changes to Table 1 in section (3). One commenter recommended differentiating between groundwater systems based on size because larger facilities are more complex. The commenter also recommended that gas chlorination, particularly with one-ton containers, be classified at level C. Gas chlorine is inherently extremely dangerous and calls for a higher classification than the proposed D level. Another commenter questioned why distribution systems with surface water sources that rechlorinate are listed as a separate class from distribution systems that use gas chlorination.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The suggested change to the classification level for large groundwater systems would require operators of those systems to learn surface water system requirements not relevant to their groundwater systems. The proposed change is not made. Distribution systems with surface water sources that rechlorinate are listed separately because these systems deal with more complex issues in the distribution system, such as disinfection by-products. The commission agreed with classifying gas chlorination with one-ton containers at the C level, and this change is made. The commission is also simplifying the listing for lime/soda softening from three entries to one.

COMMENT: A commenter requested that in addition to appealing a system's classification to the department as allowed under subsection (3)(E), the water system owner should have the right to a hearing before the Safe Drinking Water Commission.

RESPONSE: The appeal provisions in the rule and statutes provide adequate protection. No change is made in response to the comment.

COMMENT: Two commenters requested changes to Table 2 of section (3). Both commenters expressed the opinion that the level of expertise needed to deal with rechlorination of water in distribution system from surface water does not warrant DS-III certification. One commenter recommended changing this to DS-II and the other commenter recommended classifying distribution systems that rechlorinate according to the method of chlorinating. Also, one of these commenters stated that the level of expertise needed for a system using multiple sources fits the DS-II level instead of DS-III.

RESPONSE: Distribution systems with surface water sources that rechlorinate are listed separately because these systems deal with complex issues such as disinfection by-products. With regard to multiple sources, the commission believes systems blending water from multiple sources should be classified at the DS-III level as proposed. No changes are made to Table 2.

COMMENT: A commenter asks if he is correct in assuming that the proposed rules allow the same person to be the chief operator for both treatment and distribution.

RESPONSE: The commenter is correct. No change is requested or made.

COMMENT: A commenter pointed out that the requirement in subsection (4)(A) is to have a chief operator in responsible charge of each treatment facility and distribution system, but a system could have pressure and pumping in functional operating units separate from distribution and treatment. The system may elect to have a chief operator over this unit(s). Because this position could

impact both treatment and/or distribution, the issue of appropriate certification arises.

RESPONSE: The intent of the rules is not to require everyone to be certified but rather to provide as much flexibility as possible while ensuring that each treatment and distribution system is under the responsible charge of a chief operator and that process control/system integrity decisions are made by certified operators. The system may elect to have a chief operator over pressure and pumping. If that person is making process control/system integrity decisions regarding treatment, a treatment certificate would be required. If that person is making process control/system integrity decisions regarding the distribution system, a distribution certificate would be required. (Making decisions rather than implementing decisions made by a certified operator is a key point in determining who must be certified.) If that person is not making those decisions but instead is implementing decisions made by a certified operator, that person is not required by these rules to be certified. The system can develop its own more stringent requirements, however. No change is made.

COMMENT: Two commenters questioned the restriction in paragraph (4)(A)3. that limits a chief operator to overall responsibility for no more than one surface water treatment facility. The basis for the requirement is unclear and it may prevent water utilities from organizing their personnel in the most efficient manner. A chief operator should be allowed to develop procedures to be followed at multiple treatment plants. One of the commenters pointed out that paragraph (4)(A)3. appears to be inconsistent with subsection (4)(F) which allows contract operators to be the chief operator for multiple facilities. If a contract operator can be the chief operator for multiple treatment facilities, then utilities should be allowed to do the same with internal staff.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The restriction in paragraph (4)(A)3. applies to surface water systems regardless of whether they are using a contract operator or an in-house operator. To ensure that this is clear, a cross-reference to subsection (4)(A) has been added to subsection (4)(F). Paragraph (4)(A)3. provides that the department may approve exceptions to the requirement that the same person cannot have overall responsibility for more than one surface water treatment facility. The commission is clarifying this wording.

COMMENT: Paragraph (4)(A)4. requires the system owner to provide the department with the name of the chief operator. A commenter asked who the name of the chief operator should be sent to.

RESPONSE: This existing requirement is unchanged from the rule currently in effect. The information is provided to the department. No change is made.

COMMENT: Two commenters asked for clarification of paragraph (4)(A)5., which requires the appointment of an interim operator when a chief operator is no longer able to serve. It is not clear whether the interim chief operator must be certified, and if so, at what level. One of the commenters recommended that the interim chief operator be certified no less than one class below the level required of the chief operator.

RESPONSE: Subsections (5)(A) and (5)(B) indicate that the interim operator must be certified or the system is in violation. The department will work with the system to ensure that all appropriate certification requirements are met as quickly as possible and that the quality and quantity of drinking water provided to the public remains unimpaired as a result of the change in operators. No change is made.

COMMENT: A commenter stated that the rules are unclear regarding the certification needs for those who perform maintenance

on distribution systems (main, valves, tanks, pumps, etc.) and asks that the rules clarify these certification requirements.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The intent of the rules is not to require everyone to be certified but rather to provide as much flexibility as possible while ensuring that each treatment and distribution system is under the responsible charge of a chief operator and that process control/system integrity decisions are made by certified operators. The wording in subsection (4)(C) is clarified in response to the comment.

COMMENT: A commenter stated that paragraph (4)(A)6. conflicts with paragraph (4)(A)3.

RESPONSE: Paragraph (4)(A)3. states no individual shall be the chief operator for more than one surface water treatment plant unless approved by the department. Paragraph (4)(A)6. recommends a contingency plan. There does not appear to be a conflict and no change is made.

COMMENT: Two commenters pointed out that paragraph (4)(A)6. states that a system should, rather than shall, have a contingency plan in place. Items that are not mandatory should not be in the rule.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission agreed that the requirement should be mandatory and has changed "should" to "shall." The revised paragraph is reprinted here.

COMMENT: In regard to subsection (4)(B), two commenters pointed out the lack of a time frame for the chief operator to obtain a matching higher certificate after a system's classification has been raised due to system modifications.

RESPONSE: This existing requirement has been in the rule for 14 years. This reclassification occurs after the system has made a modification that affects its classification level. The impact of the modifications on the system's classification would be known well in advance of their implementation. No additional time frame should be needed. No change is made.

COMMENT: Three water systems, two water industry associations and a certified operator commented on the requirements in subsections (4)(C) and (4)(E). A water system and two water industry associations expressed concern that these subsections are confusing and contradictory in regard to who has to be certified and at what level. Two commenters suggested specifying in subsection (4)(C) that all operating personnel shall be certified at or above the D or DS-I level. Another commenter asked that all personnel be required to be certified because subsection (4)(E) requires too much of the chief operator by making the chief operator responsible for actions of noncertified personnel. One of the commenters suggested a change to the definition of certified operator would alleviate the need for interpretation when the level of certification required is not specified. The commenter suggests changing the definition to state a certified operator is: "Any individual holding a valid water treatment or water distribution certificate of any level issued by the department."

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The intent of the rules is not to require everyone to be certified but rather to provide as much flexibility as possible while ensuring that each treatment and distribution system is under the responsible charge of a chief operator and that process control/system integrity decisions are made by certified operators. Federal guidelines require anyone making process control/system integrity decisions to be certified. Making independent decisions rather than implementing decisions made by a certified operator is a key point in determining who must be certified. The definition of process control/system integrity decision is modified to help clarify this. Also, the suggested change to the definition of certified operator is made. The commission agrees that the chief operator should not

be held responsible for decisions made by all others in the system and has deleted that requirement.

COMMENT: A commenter recommended that the requirements in subsection (4)(F) be applied to all systems and not just those systems using contract operators.

RESPONSE: The purpose of subsection (4)(F) is to establish requirements for those situations where the system is unable to hire an operator and instead contracts for those services. No changes are made.

COMMENT: A commenter recommended adding to subsection (4)(F) a requirement that proof of insurance be required by the system and the contract operator.

RESPONSE: This should be a management decision on the part of the public water system involved in the contract as to whether to require this or not. No change is made.

COMMENT: A commenter recommended changing the wording of paragraph (4)(F)1. as follows: "Public water systems employing a certified [chief] operator as a chief operator for their system through a contract operator (often referred to as a "circuit rider") arrangement shall have a written agreement indicating the responsibilities of the operator, including but not necessarily limited to:"

RESPONSE: The commission believes the requirement should remain as proposed. No change is made.

COMMENT: Regarding paragraph (4)(F)2., one commenter pointed out that contract operators cannot be legally responsible for non-employee decisions. Another commenter recommended adding a sentence requiring the contract operator to obtain a certificate that would allow them to be responsible for actions and decisions made in the company's name.

RESPONSE: The commission believes the requirement should remain as proposed. No change is made.

COMMENT: One commenter recommended that subsection (4)(G) state that the department will, rather than may, establish minimum operator oversight requirements for facilities with extensive instrumentation, automation and SCADA systems.

RESPONSE: Flexibility in dealing with situations involving automation is needed. The suggested change is not made.

COMMENT: Subsection (5)(C) states that surface water systems or GWUDISW systems have to do public notice if they do not have a chief operator. Two commenters recommended that all systems not having a chief operator should have to do public notification. Another commenter suggested either deleting the second sentence (which would make the third sentence moot) or making it apply to all systems. In the second sentence of subsection (5)(C), a commenter noted that the cross reference should be to section (3) rather than (4).

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The second and third sentences are deleted.

The commission is changing sections (2)-(5). The revised sections are printed here. The rest of the rule is adopted as proposed.

10 CSR 60-14.010 Classification of Public Water Systems and System Requirements

(2) Definitions.

(B) Certified operator. Any individual holding a valid water treatment or water distribution certificate of any level issued by the department.

(F) Process control/system integrity decisions. Day-to-day decisions that maintain or cause changes in the chemical, biological, physical or radiological quality of the drinking water. These decisions primarily reflect independent, individual judgement which when made incorrectly have the potential to place the public health

at risk or place the soundness of the water system or its ability to provide a safe, adequate and continuous supply of water at risk. These decisions are not referring to system design or modifications for which the department requires design or approval by a professional engineer.

(G) Treatment facility. Any place(s) where a community water system or nontransient non-community water system alters the physical or chemical characteristics of the drinking water. Chlorination may be considered a function of a distribution system.

(H) Distribution system. Any combination of pipes, tanks, pumps, etc. which delivers water from the source(s) and/or treatment facility(ies) to the consumer.

(3) Classification of Public Water Systems.

(A) The department will classify each treatment facility by considering the treatment facility complexity, source of water, type of treatment performed and, for surface water systems only, size. This classification is based on, but may not be limited to, the criteria in Table 1 of this rule. Other treatment technologies not listed in Table 1 will be considered on a case-by-case basis. From this classification process, the department will determine the certification level that a chief operator must have to supervise the operation of the treatment facility. Treatment facilities (except as provided in paragraph (3)(B)1. of this rule) will remain classified at the level determined prior to August 1, 2001 or will be classified as indicated by Table 1 of this rule, whichever is the higher classification.

(B) The department will classify each distribution system by size and complexity. This classification is based on, but may not be limited to, the criteria in Table 2 of this rule. Other distribution technologies will be considered on a case-by-case basis. From this classification process, the department will determine the certification level that the chief operator must have to supervise the operation of the distribution system.

1. Systems that only chlorinate, reduce the hardness of the water by ion exchange, or provide no treatment will be classified as distribution systems.

2. DS-III distribution system operator certificates shall be issued to all operators who possess a valid Missouri drinking water system operator certificate on August 1, 2001.

Table 1. Water Treatment System Classification

The highest level applicable to the system is the classification of the system.		Classification
Source Water	Surface Water source, with treatment facility capacity greater than 1.5 Million Gallons per Day	A
	Surface Water source, with treatment facility capacity less than or equal to 1.5 Million Gallons per Day	B
	Ground Water Under Direct Influence of Surface Water (GWUDI)	B
	Purchased water, with further treatment by the purchasing system (other than chlorination or ion exchange softening)	D
	Ground Water (Not GWUDI), with treatment other than chlorination or ion exchange softening	D
	Ground Water (Not GWUDI) or Purchased Water with chlorination or ion exchange softening only	See Classification Table 2
	Ground Water (Not GWUDI) or Purchased Water with no treatment	
Disinfection	Chlorine Dioxide	B
	Ozone	B
	Ultra Violet Light	D
	Gas Chlorination with one-ton containers	C
	Gas Chlorination, Calcium or Sodium Hypochlorination in combination with other treatment other than ion exchange softening	D
	Chloramines	D
Chemical Treatment	Chemical Oxidation (example—potassium permanganate)	C
	Coagulation—Groundwater	C
	Coagulation—Surface Water	B
	Fluoridation	D
	Ion Exchange (for purposes other than softening including processes such as nitrate removal)	C
	Lime/Soda Softening	C
	Sequestration	D
	pH, alkalinity adjustment	C
Physical Treatment	Adsorption (example—Activated Carbon)	C
	Aeration (examples—cascade, diffused, packed tower, slat tray, spray)	D
	Filtration (example—greensand, pressure, rapid gravity, slow sand)	C
	Reverse Osmosis, Membrane Filtration, Ultrafiltration	B
	Ion Exchange (for softening) is included in all treatment and distribution certifications. The level of certification required will be determined by the other treatment or distribution characteristics as appropriate	Each type and level

(4) System Requirements.

(A) The water system owner shall place the direct supervision of each treatment facility and each distribution system under the responsible charge of a chief operator.

1. The chief operator shall possess a valid certificate equal to or greater than the classification of the treatment facility or distribution system.

2. The chief operator can be responsible for both the water treatment facility and distribution system at the owner's discretion.

3. The chief operator shall have overall responsibility for no more than one (1) surface water treatment system under one public water system identification number, unless otherwise approved by the department on a case-by-case basis.

4. The name of the chief operator shall be supplied to the department by the owner of the public water system and will be on file at all times.

5. In the event the chief operator is no longer available to serve, the owner of the public water system shall notify the department of the vacancy within ten (10) working days and shall appoint an interim operator. The interim operator shall be considered the system's certified chief operator for the purposes of complying with 10 CSR 60-14.010 and 10 CSR 60-14.020 on a temporary basis until a properly certified chief operator is hired. Following consultation with the public water system owner, the department will establish a schedule of activities and a timeline for the system

to have a certified chief operator who has met all applicable certification requirements.

6. Public water systems shall have a contingency plan for a standby replacement chief operator to be available at all times. This may be, for example, a second employee certified at the chief operator level, a mutual assistance agreement with a neighboring system, or a pre-arrangement with a contract operator.

7. The owner shall notify the department in writing within ten (10) working days after the chief operator is replaced.

(C) All operating personnel making independent process control/system integrity decisions about water quality or quantity that affect public health must be certified. This will not typically include, for example, maintenance personnel implementing process control/system integrity decisions made by a certified operator.

(E) All process control/system integrity decisions about water quality or quantity that affect public health must be made by a certified operator based on procedures approved by the chief operator.

(F) Contract Operator Agreement.

1. Public water systems employing a certified chief operator through a contract operator ("circuit rider") arrangement to meet the requirements in subsection (4)(A) shall have a written agreement indicating the responsibilities of the operator, including but not necessarily limited to:

A. The minimum frequency of routine visits to the water treatment facility or distribution system;

B. The operator's duties and responsibilities;

C. The minimum hours the operator will be present for each routine visit;

D. The certification level required by the department for the treatment facility and/or distribution system that the operator is responsible for;

E. The level of certification held by the contract operator;

F. The minimum response time for the operator to be at the water system in the event of an emergency; and

G. The number of employees, if any, hired to assist.

2. Circuit rider operators and other contract operators who are performing the duties of chief operator shall be held accountable for operational decisions made in their stead.

3. A copy of the current agreement shall be on file at the system at all times and shall be provided to the department upon request.

(5) Violations.

(C) Any person, including any firm, corporation, municipal corporation or other governmental subdivision or agency who violates any provisions of this rule will be subject to the penalty provisions of sections 640.130 and 640.131, RSMo.

**Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
Division 60—Public Drinking Water Program
Chapter 14—Operator Certification**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Safe Drinking Water Commission under section 640.100, RSMo 2000, the commission is amending a rule as follows:

10 CSR 60-14.020 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on December 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 2889-2898). Comments were received and changes to the rule are reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective **August 1, 2001**.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: A public hearing on this amendment was held January 16, 2001 and the public comment period ended January 31, 2001. At the public hearing the department testified that this proposed amendment adopts federal operator certification requirements and provides additional safeguards for the protection of public health. Changes to certificate levels and requirements were proposed to match the new classification scheme proposed in 10 CSR 60-14.010. Separate certificates for treatment and distribution were proposed. The proposed changes include examples of actual and equivalent experience. Grandparenting requirements are proposed for systems that have never been required to comply with the operator certification rules.

Comments were received from two consulting firms, one water industry association, one certified operator, nine public water systems and one private citizen.

COMMENT: An overall comment was made on the implementation time frame for these rules. The commenter stated that upon the effective date, a number of water systems will be out of compliance, a number of uncertified operators will have to get certified, and the demand for training and exams will increase. The commenter recommended a phase-in period for complying with the requirement that all operators making process control/system integrity decisions be certified and suggested a case-by-case approach, with a maximum time allowed of two years.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission considered this comment and responded that changes to the operator certification rules have been under public discussion since August 1999. The federal guidelines were published in February 1999. Water system owners and operators have had time to become aware of the proposed requirements and have had many opportunities to provide input. Also, the grandparenting provisions in section (4) provide a phase-in opportunity for systems not previously affected by these rules. In order to ensure that these systems have sufficient time to apply for this phase-in opportunity, the commission is revising section (4) to provide additional time for the water system owner to apply for grandparenting.

COMMENT: A commenter believes the proposed amendments are confusing and open to interpretation. The commenter recommends that the state rules be no stricter than the federal rules on operator certification.

RESPONSE: The commission pointed out that there is no federal rule on operator certification. Federal guidelines published February 5, 1999 list certain baseline standards that a state operator certification program must include but leave most of the details to state determination. The areas addressed in this rule reflect baseline standards EPA requires the state to address. How a state addresses the baseline standards is discretionary. Due in part to the flexibility provided by the federal guidelines, changes to the state operator certification rules were under public discussion for more than a year before being formally proposed in the *Missouri Register*. Water system owners and operators had many opportunities to shape and influence how Missouri should meet the federal guidelines. Several changes made throughout the order of rulemaking are for the purpose of clarifying the requirements.

COMMENT: Two commenters noted a typographical error in subsection (1)(C). The reference should be to tables 1 and 2 rather than 3 and 4.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The change has been made.

COMMENT: A commenter requested that Table 1 of subsection (1)(C) clarify the minimum actual and equivalent experience

required. In this table, the experience requirement for a class C water treatment certificate is listed as one-half year. A commenter asks if this was intended to be two years as it currently is. Another commenter suggested that this should be either two years or 1 1/2 years. A third commenter noted that the phraseology is different in levels C and D, in that one says 1/2 year and the other says six months.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The experience requirement for the C level was intended to be 1 1/2 years; the change is made. Also, because six months of experience credit is no longer provided for having a high school diploma, the minimum experience is adjusted accordingly. The changes are printed here.

COMMENT: A commenter pointed out that subparagraph (1)(I)1.A. indicates that operating experience is granted for water main repair, disinfection, and flushing, and asks if it is the intent of the rules to require the person performing these tasks to be certified.

RESPONSE: Only operators actually making process control/system integrity decisions are required to be certified. The definition of operating experience (subsection (1)(A)) states that experience is granted for acting upon process control/system integrity decisions. It is not necessary to be certified in order to accrue operating experience. No change is made.

COMMENT: A commenter stated that minimum education requirement in paragraphs (1)(N)1.-4. is not clear. It isn't clear if the operator needs a high school diploma or not.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The education requirement is met by any one of the four items listed under subsection (1)(N): having a high school diploma, or having a GED, or completing an approved training course, or having six months of experience. Subsection (1)(N) is modified to clarify this.

COMMENT: A commenter asks if an operator who is responsible for plant operations and water treatment as well as distribution pumping needs to be certified for both treatment and distribution.

RESPONSE: If the operator is making process control/system integrity decisions for both treatment and distribution, the operator must have both certificates. Making decisions rather than implementing decisions made by a certified operator is a key point in determining who must be certified. If that person is not making those decisions but instead is implementing decisions made by another certified operator, that person is not required by these rules to be certified. The intent of the rules is not to require everyone to be certified but rather to provide as much flexibility as possible while ensuring that each treatment and distribution system is under the responsible charge of a chief operator and that process control/system integrity decisions are made by certified operators.

COMMENT: Two commenters opposed the change to subsection (2)(C) that would allow an applicant to reschedule an exam three times. The commenters stated that this would be difficult to track and would be staff-intensive.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission believes it is important to allow an applicant to reschedule the exam but is revising the requirement from three times to two times per year. The change is printed here.

COMMENT: A commenter stated that if grandparenting is allowed, all operators at the facility should be grandparented, not just those in responsible charge.

RESPONSE: The federal guidelines allow states to offer grandparenting only to operators in responsible charge. However, the system may have other operators who are not certified implementing the

decisions of the certified operator in responsible charge. No change is made.

COMMENT: Four commenters pointed out that distribution system operator certification was not previously required. At some water systems, distribution and treatment are in separate divisions. Because the requirements for distribution certification are new and those persons making quality/quantity decisions in distribution were not required to be certified until now, all water systems should be allowed to participate in distribution certification grandparenting. Subsection (4)(A) should be specifically revised to make clear that distribution operators are eligible for grandparenting. Not to do so will cause unnecessary confusion and leave the rule open to misinterpretation.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission agreed that grandparenting applies to distribution system operators for the reasons expressed by the commenters and has clarified the wording in subsection (4)(A).

COMMENT: A commenter asked about the format of the written application for grandparenting and to whom the application and fees are sent. The commenter asked who the owner of the water system is when the system is governed by a board.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The department is developing an application form that it anticipates will be ready for use by the effective date of the rule. In order to ensure that water systems have sufficient time to take advantage of this opportunity to phase in the new requirements, the application deadline is changed to February 5, 2003.

COMMENT: Three commenters asked for an increase in the number of operators eligible for grandparenting. Two commenters requested that the limit be increased to three. This would ensure the best possible coverage of the system and ensure that at least one person is reasonably accessible at all times. Another commenter suggested that utilities or systems be allowed to petition the department for the appropriate number of grandparented certificates to operate the system. The commenter suggested that systems serving more than 10,000 people could grandparent up to six distribution certificates.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission agreed with increasing the number of grandparented operators to three and has modified the rule accordingly.

COMMENT: A commenter pointed out that under paragraph (4)(B)1. grandparented operators are required to have one year of experience but the DS-III certificate requires three years of experience.

RESPONSE: A restricted, grandparented certificate is valid at only one system and only for an operator who has been an operator in responsible charge at that system for at least a year. The DS-III certificate obtained through the regular certification process (ungrandparented) is valid at any DS-III system. The difference in experience requirements appears justified. No change is made.

COMMENT: Subsection (4)(G) states that an operator can replace the restricted grandfathered certificate with a nonrestricted certificate by passing the examination and meeting the experience requirements. The commenter recommends specifying that the non-restricted certificate must be appropriate to the level of the water system's classification. Otherwise, an operator or the water system owner could believe that any level of certificate would suffice.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission agreed with the comment and has revised the subsection.

COMMENT: A commenter is opposed to the requirement in paragraph (4)(H)1., which states that one of the criteria for renewing

the grandparented certificate is that the system must not be in significant noncompliance. This is a tougher standard, requiring a higher degree of compliance for these systems than other systems. There is always the possibility of noncompliance whether the system has a certified operator or not.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission agrees with the comment and is deleting this requirement.

COMMENT: Paragraph (4)(H)2. states that the water system owner must certify in writing to the department that the operator named on the certificate continues to perform the duties of a chief operator for the public water system. The commenter stated that there appears to be no reason for this requirement. It would cause unnecessary paperwork and should be deleted from the rule.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The department needs to know as a condition of renewing the restricted, grandparented certificate that the water system owner agrees that the operator renewing the certificate continues to be an operator in responsible charge. The requirement is retained and clarified.

COMMENT: In regard to renewal requirements, a commenter asked what renewal training is required under paragraph (4)(H)4.

RESPONSE: Renewal training requirements are listed in section (8). No change is requested or made.

COMMENT: A commenter recommends defining "unqualified" more clearly in subsection (7)(A).

RESPONSE: This is an existing requirement and has been in the rule since 1987. The requirement is considered sufficiently clear. No change is made.

COMMENT: A commenter asks for an explanation of "sample tampering or selective sampling" in subsection (7)(B).

RESPONSE: Sampling criteria and requirements are specified in the monitoring and methods rules. No change is made.

COMMENT: Subsection (7)(E) states that an operator can have a hearing before the department in suspension or revocation proceedings. The commenter recommends that, given the severity of suspension or revocation, an operator should be afforded the right to a hearing before the department and a hearing before the Safe Drinking Water Commission, if so desired.

RESPONSE: The appeal provisions in the rule provide adequate protection. No change is made in response to the comment.

COMMENT: A commenter stated that the requirement in subsection (8)(B), Table 4, for separate training hours for treatment and distribution certificates is a significant time and financial burden on systems and individuals. If anyone is currently taking courses for levels A, B, C and D, those hours should also be applicable toward meeting the distribution requirement.

RESPONSE: Under subsection (8)(J), individuals certified prior to the effective date of this rule may count their approved water treatment training hours toward the first renewal of their distribution certificate. After the first renewal, training courses will count for both treatment and distribution to the extent that the courses are relevant to both topics. The department anticipates that more than half of training courses will count for both treatment and distribution renewal hours. No change is made.

COMMENT: A commenter noted a typographical error in subsections (8)(J) and (8)(K). The reference in both cases should be to 10 CSR 60-14.010(3)(B)2. The commenter further stated that this proposal is ill advised. Currently certified operators at the A, B and C levels should not be given a distribution III level certificate.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission and department believe the proposed approach is the best way

of phasing in the new distribution system certification requirements. The typographical error is corrected; no other changes are made.

COMMENT: One commenter stated that with the low unemployment rate, increasing the number of operators will be difficult to accomplish. It will be difficult for small systems, which lack competitive benefit packages, to attract qualified personnel. The increased need for operators will also result in a higher than average turnover.

RESPONSE: The commission recognizes that the changes to the operator certification rules may increase the number of certified operators. The commission pointed out that small systems can share operators by using contract operators. Also, the department anticipates receiving federal funds for training cost reimbursement for operators of small systems. This will help increase qualified personnel. No change is requested or made.

COMMENT: One commenter stated that the private entity costs are grossly understated. The commenter stated that the fiscal note omits costs for five days of training, the cost of hiring someone to work in the operator's place for the days he is in training, and costs of retraining due to turnover. The commenter estimates costs would be \$1,183,213 per year.

RESPONSE: Fiscal notes are based on assumptions. It is always possible for a different set of assumptions to result in a different estimate. The assumptions for this private entity fiscal note were published on page 2898 of the December 15, 2000 *Missouri Register*. The department believes that the assumptions, worksheet and summary of costs are sound and accurately reflect to the best extent possible a reasonable estimate of the cost of complying with the rule.

Meeting requirements for operator certification and certificate renewal is an individual operator's responsibility, including attending training and payment of fees. Certificates are issued to the individual. Public water systems are not required by this amendment to reimburse training costs or hire a temporary operator for the days an operator is attending training as the commenter assumes.

COMMENT: A commenter pointed out that the last calculation in the private entity fiscal note should be \$286,340 rather than \$286340.

RESPONSE: The correction is noted. Since the amount is not changed amount, the fiscal note is not reprinted.

The commission is amending sections (1), (2), (4) and (8). All other sections are amended as proposed.

10 CSR 60-14.020 Certification of Public Water System Operators

(1) Training and Experience Required for Certification.

(C) In order to be eligible for a certificate the applicant must have accumulated actual or equivalent operational experience in accordance with Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1. Experience Requirements for a Water Treatment Certificate.

Certificate Level	Minimum Actual and Equivalent Experience
A	Five and one-half (5 1/2) years of water treatment facility operating experience (of which two (2) years may be equivalent)
B	Three and one-half (3 1/2) years of water treatment facility operating experience (of which one (1) year may be equivalent)
C	One and one-half (1 1/2) year of water treatment facility operating experience (which may be equivalent).
D	Six (6) months of water treatment facility operating experience (which may be equivalent)

Table 2. Experience Requirements for a Distribution Certificate.

Certificate Level	Minimum Actual and Equivalent Experience Requirements
DS-III	Three (3) years of water distribution system operating experience (of which one (1) year may be equivalent)
DS-II	One (1) year of water distribution system operating experience (of which 6 months may be equivalent)
DS-I	Six (6) months of distribution system operating experience (which may be equivalent)

(H) Documentation submitted for actual and equivalent experience credit consideration which does not fit previously mentioned criteria will be evaluated by the department based on time worked and the relationship to water treatment or distribution. While water system engineering, construction, and safety are water system activities, they are insufficient experience in themselves to be considered actual operating experience. Equivalent experience credit for the purpose of meeting the experience requirement is limited to the maximum years allowed in Tables 1 and 2 of this rule. (Note: The experience allowed for high school equivalency under subsection (1)(N) is in addition to this limit.)

(K) Upon successful completion of the examination, the individual will have to obtain the necessary applicable water treatment or distribution system experience within the following time frames to be considered for certification:

1. For all levels of examinations taken on or after August 1, 2001, examinees shall have eighteen (18) months from the date of the examination;

2. For A and B level examinations taken prior to August 1, 2001, examinees shall have two (2) years from the date of the examination; and

3. For C and D level examinations taken prior to August 1, 2001, examinees shall have one (1) year from the date of the examination.

(N) Education Requirement.

1. The minimum education requirement for certification is fulfilled by meeting any one (1) of the following conditions: a high school diploma; a general equivalency diploma (GED); successful completion of special department-approved training appropriate to the type of certification sought; or six (6) months of experience.

2. Training or experience used to meet the education requirement shall not be counted for equivalent experience credit. Training or experience used to meet the education requirement is not included in the maximum limit on equivalent experience in subsections (1)(C) and (1)(D).

(2) Application for Certification Examination.

(C) An individual applying to take the certification examination will be allowed to reschedule two (2) times within one (1) year of the application date. After one (1) year from the date of the initial application, the individual must reapply as required in subsections (2)(A) and (B) of this rule.

(4) Certification Without Examination (Grandparenting).

(A) Grandparenting is permitted only to operators in responsible charge of systems that have not been required by the department to have a certified operator prior to August 1, 2001. This includes operators in responsible charge of the distribution system whose responsibilities are separate from those of the operator in responsible charge of the treatment system.

(B) Certificates in appropriate classification type and level shall be issued without examination to no more than three (3) operators in responsible charge when the following conditions are met:

1. The owner of the public water system attests that the individual has been an operator in responsible charge making process

control/system integrity decisions for at least one (1) year prior to the date of the application for grandparenting;

2. An application is submitted by February 5, 2003 on forms provided by the department for each operator in responsible charge being grandparented. Each application shall be signed and dated by the owner and the individual designated for grandparenting; and

3. A nonrefundable operator's certificate fee of forty-five dollars (\$45) is submitted for each certificate requested.

(C) A grandparented certificate will be valid only for the operator named on the certificate and only at the water treatment facility or distribution system named on the certificate. Any certificate issued under this provision shall be identified as restricted.

(D) If the classification of the treatment system or distribution system changes to a higher level due to design modifications, the grandparented certification will no longer be valid.

(E) If the grandparented certificate is allowed to expire, the operator must meet the requirements for regular, nongrandparented certification, including passing the appropriate examination.

(F) The operator may replace the restricted, grandparented certificate with a non-restricted water certificate appropriate to the water system's classification level at any time by passing the applicable examination and meeting the experience requirements.

(G) Grandparented operators must, within three (3) years of certification, meet all requirements for renewing the grandparented certificate. In order for a grandparented certificate to be renewed the following conditions must be met:

1. The water system owner must certify in writing to the department that the operator named on the certificate continues to be an operator in responsible charge for the public water system;

2. A completed renewal application and fee of forty-five dollars (\$45) must be submitted for each certificate; and

3. The department-approved renewal training must be completed prior to the expiration of the certificate.

(8) Certificate Renewal.

(J) Individuals certified prior to August 1, 2001 may count their approved water treatment training hours toward the first renewal of their distribution certificate issued under 10 CSR 60-14.010(3)(B)2.

(K) The first time an operator renews a distribution certificate issued under 10 CSR 60-14.010(3)(B)2, the operator may elect to receive a distribution certificate of a lower level if the lower certification level is, at a minimum, equal to the classification of the distribution system they operate.

Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division 60—Public Drinking Water Program Chapter 14—Operator Certification

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Safe Drinking Water Commission under section 640.100, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

10 CSR 60-14.030 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on December 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 2899-2901). Comments were received and changes to the rule are reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective **August 1, 2001**.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: A public hearing on this amendment was held January 16, 2001 and the public comment period ended January 31, 2001. At the public hearing the department testified that this proposed amendment adopts federal operator

certification requirements and provides additional safeguards for the protection of public health. The proposed amendment also includes examples of acceptable and unacceptable training.

Comments were received from one consulting firm, two water industry associations, and two public water systems.

COMMENT: An overall comment was made on the implementation time frame for these new regulations. Upon the effective date, a number of water systems will be out of compliance, a number of uncertified operators will have to get certified, and the demand for training and examinations will increase. The commenter recommends a phase-in period for complying with the requirement that all operators making process control/system integrity decision be certified and suggests a case-by-case basis may work best, with a maximum time allowed of two years.

RESPONSE: The changes made by this amendment do not appear to require an implementation schedule. No changes are made.

COMMENT: A commenter believes the proposed amendments are confusing and open to interpretation. The commenter recommends that the state rules be no stricter than the federal rules on operator certification.

RESPONSE: The commission pointed out that there is no federal rule on operator certification. Federal guidelines published February 5, 1999 list certain baseline standards that a state operator certification program must include but leave most of the details to state determination. Changes to the state operator certification rules were under public discussion for more than a year before being formally proposed in the *Missouri Register*. Water system owners and operators have had many opportunities to shape and influence how Missouri should meet the federal guidelines. No changes are made in response to the comment.

COMMENT: Commenters representing two water industry associations expressed concern about subsection (1)(A), which states training will be based on the level of certification held and the knowledge, skills, and abilities the person may need in his/her performance of duties. They asked for clarification on what this means and expressed concern about increased costs for the state and water systems. Training organizations, which base many training events on income from fees, will discontinue to offer advanced training if that training is approved only for a small percentage of operators. Both commenters stated that many of their associations' members feel this subsection should be removed.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The federal guidelines require states to "establish training requirements for renewal based on the level of certification held by the operator." The commission believes the rule should reflect the federal wording and has made that change.

COMMENT: One commenter stated that subsection (1)(B) adds nothing to the regulation as it is a recommendation, but also stated that this implies that each training course should include a section on new regulations. The commenter recommended adding "new regulations" to the list of accepted training topics listed in section (2).

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: It would be difficult to over-emphasize the need for training on new regulations. By saying "should" rather than "shall," the rule gives the trainers the option of including new regulations when appropriate to the training topic and not including new regulations when it isn't. The commission agrees with adding "regulations" to the list of accepted training topics in section (2) and that change is made.

COMMENT: Under subsections (2)(G) and (3)(G), applied computer classes intended for use at water utilities are acceptable renewal training courses but general computer subjects are not. A commenter pointed out that water systems are increasingly relying on computer-related skills for operations and information gather-

ing and tracking. Many small system operators have limited or no computer skills. Basic computer courses are needed before complex applied water utility software can be understood. Operators should not be denied credit for acquiring basic computer skills needed to properly use computer technology. The commenter recommends that general computing subjects either be completely accepted as a renewal training topic, or that they be given the same status as safety, supervisory, management, administration, and financial training.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission agreed that basic computer courses should count for renewal credit. Subsection (3)(G) is deleted and the word "applied" is deleted from subsection (2)(G). Subsection (1)(C) is also amended to include general computer courses with safety, supervisory, management, administration, and financial training.

COMMENT: One commenter stated that the private entity costs are understated. The commenter stated that the fiscal note omits costs for five days of training, the cost of hiring someone to work in the operator's place for the days he is in training, and costs of retraining due to turnover. The commenter estimates costs would be \$2,521,911 per year.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: Meeting operator certificate renewal requirements is the responsibility of the operator to whom the certificate is issued, including attending training and payment of fees. Public water systems are not required by this amendment to reimburse training costs or hire a temporary operator for the days an operator is attending training as the commenter assumes. The amendment to this rule only clarifies what types of training will be credited toward meeting the renewal training requirements in 10 CSR 60-14.020. The department believes its reasoning is sound and accurately reflects to the best extent possible a reasonable estimate of the cost of complying with the changes made by the amendment.

The commission is amending sections (1)-(3). The changes are printed here and the rest of the rule is adopted as proposed.

10 CSR 60-14.030 Operator Training

(1) Only training related to water system operations and maintenance, treatment facilities or distribution systems approved by the department will be given credit.

(A) Training requirements for renewal will be based on the level of certification held by the operator.

(C) Not more than one-half (1/2) of the total renewal training hours required in a renewal period shall be credited from safety, supervisory, management, administration and financial training and general computer courses.

(2) The following are examples of acceptable training for renewal credit and are not intended to be all-inclusive. Renewal training may include:

(A) Information on regulations, operations, maintenance, construction, testing, record keeping, and repair of equipment including pumps, control valves, altitude valves, fire hydrants, flush hydrants, chlorinators, chemical feeders, elevated tanks, standpipes, reservoirs, pressure tanks, bladder tanks, filters, backflow devices, meters, control systems, and standby power generators;

(G) Computer classes directly intended for use at water utilities. Examples include but are not limited to: Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) and other water system operational programming, spreadsheets and databases for tracking and trending laboratory results, scheduling and tracking maintenance. Similar training intended for other types of utilities will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

(3) The following examples provide guidance on unacceptable training for renewal credit and are not intended to be all-inclusive:

- (A) Introduction to English;
- (B) History;

- (C) Welding;
- (D) Small motor repair;
- (E) Lawnmower safety; and
- (F) Commercial drivers license training.

Title 12—DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
Division 10—Director of Revenue
Chapter 25—Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Director of Revenue under section 303.290, RSMo 2000, the director amends a rule as follows:

12 CSR 10-25.030 Hearings Held Pursuant to Section 303.290.1, RSMo is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 1, 2001 (26 MoReg 345). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES
Division 15—Division of Aging
Chapter 4—Older Americans Act

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Aging under section 660.050, RSMo 2000, the director hereby amends a rule as follows:

13 CSR 15-4.050 Funding Formula and Fiscal Management is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2001 (26 MoReg 406-412). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES
Division 15—Division of Aging
Chapter 9—Certification

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Division of Aging under section 198.079, RSMo 2000, the division amends a rule as follows:

13 CSR 15-9.010 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 2, 2001 (26 MoReg 53-56). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The Division received eleven (11) separate comments from one (1) organization during the thirty day comment period.

COMMENT: Section (1)(A) This is the definition of “certification.” The current regulation states that it “means the determination by the Division of Aging or the Health Care Financing Administration that a skilled nursing or intermediate care facility (SNF/ICF) is in compliance with all federal requirements and is approved to participate in the Medicaid or Medicare programs.” The word substantial should be added before the word compliance in this regulation. While this is not a new definition, since the Division of Aging is changing the regulations it should make this change to make this regulation consistent with HCFA regulations. RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The division agrees with this comment and has added the word “substantial” before the word “compliance” in subsection (1)(C) and throughout the rule where applicable. The division has also added the word licensed before the phrase “skilled nursing or intermediate care facility.”

COMMENT: Section (1)(C) This says the Division of Aging is a division of the Department of Social Services. However, by the time the proposed amendment is effective, it may be a unit of the Department of Health. We point this out to the Division of Aging so that it can be changed if necessary before final publication. The same is true for (1)(H).

RESPONSE: Although the division recognizes the reason for this comment, the division does not have the authority to make this change at this time.

COMMENT: Section (9) The most significant change which would be made by subparagraph (9) is to impose severe limitations on the effective date of changes in the Medicare and Medicaid certified units in a nursing facility. The current regulation restricts the number of increases to two increases during each facility fiscal year. The new regulation retains this limitation with a further qualification that there can be one increase and one decrease but not two decreases in one facility fiscal year. The first change can be done only at the beginning of the facility fiscal year, and the second change can be done at the beginning of a calendar quarter within the fiscal year. All changes require 45 days advance notice.

Therefore, if an operator would want to make any change in the Medicaid certified beds in the facility, the operator would need to give notice to the licensure unit 45 days before the end of the facility’s fiscal year. The change would be effective at the beginning of the facility’s fiscal year. If one change were made at the beginning of the fiscal year, presumably the facility would not be able to make any changes during the year. The regulation states that “the first change can be done only at the beginning of the fiscal year...” (emphasis added).

This change seems to be an effort to make the state regulation similar to a federal regulation concerning Medicare certification as interpreted and applied in Medicare Transmittal No. 408, dated February 1, 1999. In Transmittal No. 408, the *Medicare Provider Reimbursement Manual* was amended to impose limitations on changes to Medicare beds within a skilled nursing facility. Transmittal No. 408 states that a provider must submit a written request for changes in beds at least 120 days before the beginning of the provider’s cost report year or the cost reporting quarter if the facility had no change at the beginning of its cost reporting year. A copy of Transmittal No. 408 is enclosed, along with a copy of a letter dated March 4, 1999, to HCFA in response to Transmittal No. 408.

Since Transmittal No. 408 was issued, the certification unit at the Division of Aging has been following it only with regard to Medicare certified beds. The certification unit has not followed Transmittal No. 408 in making changes to Medicaid beds. If

subparagraph (9) is adopted as a part of the proposed amendment, any facility in the state which wants to modify its Medicaid bed configuration will have to give a notice 45 days in advance of the end of its fiscal year and have the change effective at the beginning of its fiscal year.

There is some confusion in the drafting of this subparagraph. Subparagraph (9) provides, in part, as follows:

"The first change can be done only at the beginning of the fiscal year, and the second change can be done effective at the beginning of a calendar quarter within that fiscal year." (emphasis added)

If this provision is to be followed literally, a great deal of confusion could result. The first change in beds must be effective only at the beginning of a fiscal year. The second change would not be effective at the beginning of a fiscal quarter, but at the beginning of a calendar quarter. If the facility has a fiscal year which is different from the calendar year, the fiscal quarters may also be different from the calendar quarters. We ask that the current regulation and policy in this regard be retained. The proposal will create unnecessary confusion. There is no Federal mandate that the state adopt this change. Facilities today need more, not less, flexibility. **RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** This change in the regulation reflects HCFA's direction to the state survey agency as contained in Transmittal #16, Section 3202, of the *State Operations Manual*, effective May 2000, that applies these limitations on bed changes to both Medicaid and Medicare beds. The division has, however, made the appropriate revisions by changing the terms "fiscal" year and "calendar" quarter to "cost reporting" year or quarter throughout this rule. The definition of "cost reporting year" has been added to the Definition section as (1)(B), with all remaining definitions relettered accordingly.

COMMENT: Section (11) The first sentence of this revised regulation does not make sense. It is not a full sentence. The new regulation reads as follows:

"If it is determined by the division that a facility certified to participate in Medicaid or Medicare does not comply with federal regulations at the time of a federal survey, complaint investigation or state inspection, the division shall utilize regulations and procedures contained in the following sources which are incorporated by reference in this rule:"

At the end of this amended subparagraph is a list of the "sources which are incorporated by reference in this rule," which the Division of Aging will use in deciding whether a facility is in compliance with federal regulations during a survey, complaint investigation, or state licensure inspection. The subparagraph also states that all of these materials are "incorporated by reference in this rule." Therefore, the materials are made, in effect, into Missouri regulations. Many of the items on the list are not surprising. However, the list includes The *State Operations Manual* ("SOM"), published by HCFA, and "survey and certification regional letters."

The SOM should not be treated as a Missouri regulation. The SOM constitutes instructions by HCFA to state agencies (such as the Division of Aging) on the method by which they should conduct surveys. The survey and certification regional letters should not be treated as regulations because the majority, if not all, of these documents are not made available to operators. If the survey and certification regional letters would be made into regulations by reference, long-term care facilities would be required to comply with interpretations which have never been provided to them. This would violate their due process rights.

Therefore, the SOM and the survey and certification regional letters should be removed from the list of items which are incorporated by reference.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The division agrees that the instructional materials on how the state is to con-

duct federal surveys should not be incorporated by reference in this section of the rule.

COMMENT: The third item on the list is "42 CFR Chapter IV, subparts E and F." This appears to be an error. Apparently, the drafters of the proposed amendment left out the CFR part which was intended to be included.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The division has revised the list of materials contained in subsections (11)(A) through (I), combined where appropriate, and relettered accordingly.

COMMENT: The current regulation requires a revisit to be conducted within forty days after a federal survey at which a facility is found not to be in substantial compliance. The proposed amendment would delete the revisit requirement without replacing it with any similar provision. This could be detrimental to a facility which receives such a survey finding. We ask the regulation remain as is.

RESPONSE: The division has determined that no change should be made at this time. The forty (40) day revisit requirement was superseded by the current procedure contained in Transmittal No. 13 of the SOM that went into effect December 15, 1999.

COMMENT: Section (12) This would be the new regulation concerning a facility which is decertified. Under the current regulation, a facility which is decertified can be recertified "when the reasons for the decertification no longer exist." The operator must submit a letter stating that it is in compliance. The Division of Aging conducts a revisit "concentrating on the areas that caused the decertification." Under the proposed amendment, a facility which is decertified would be required to make "application for initial participation." In other words, the provider which is decertified would be treated as if it had never been in the program. Also, under the new regulation, the effective date of participation would be the date the facility is found in substantial compliance with all federal requirements.

COMMENT: Under this proposed amendment, once a facility would be decertified from the Medicaid program, it may have a long waiting period to return to the Medicaid program. This will result in unnecessary dislocation of Medicaid residents who may be required to be moved during the decertification period. We ask this not be adopted.

COMMENT: The second to last sentence of the proposed new subparagraph (12) states that in order for a decertified facility to be readmitted to Medicaid participation, it must "also comply with all federal participation requirements." To be consistent with HCFA requirements, this should be modified to require the facility to "also substantially comply." The last sentence of subparagraph (12) states that the effective date of recertification is the date the facility is found in "substantial compliance." Thus, the last two sentences of subparagraph (12) are inconsistent. We ask that the suggested modification be adopted.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The amendments to this regulation reflect the current HCFA requirements for decertified facilities seeking readmission to the Medicaid program as contained in Section 2016 of the *State Operations Manual*. There is no requirement for a long waiting period for readmission to the Medicaid program, since once the division receives the application, regional office survey staff will perform the survey at the earliest possible date. If the facility is found in substantial compliance with the federal requirements for certification in the Medicaid program, then the date of initial certification will be that date. The division does agree, however, that the last two sentences in section (12) are inconsistent and the division has changed the language accordingly.

13 CSR 15-9.010 General Certification Requirements

(1) Definitions.

(A) Certification means the determination by the Division of Aging or the Health Care Financing Administration that a licensed skilled nursing or intermediate care facility (SNF/ICF) is in substantial compliance with all federal requirements and is approved to participate in the Medicaid or Medicare programs.

(B) Cost reporting year means the facility's twelve (12)-month fiscal reporting period covering the same twelve (12)-month period that the facility uses for its federal income tax reporting.

(C) Distinct part means a portion of an institution or institutional complex that is certified to provide SNF or NF services. A distinct part must be physically distinguishable from the larger institution and must consist of all beds within the designated area. The distinct part may be a separate building, floor, wing, ward, hallway or several rooms at one end of a hall or one side of a corridor.

(D) Division means the Division of Aging (DA), Missouri Department of Social Services.

(E) HCFA means the Health Care Financing Administration section of the United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

(F) ICF/MR means intermediate care facility for mentally retarded.

(G) Medicaid means Title XIX of the federal Social Security Act.

(H) Medicare means Title XVIII of the federal Social Security Act.

(I) Nursing facility (NF) means an SNF or ICF licensed under Chapter 198, RSMo which has signed an agreement with the Department of Social Services to participate in the Medicaid program and which is certified by the Division of Aging. As used within the contents of this rule, licensed SNFs, SNF/ICF and ICFs participating in the Medicaid program are subject to state and federal laws and regulations for participation as an NF.

(J) Skilled nursing facility (SNF) means an SNF licensed under Chapter 198, RSMo which has a signed agreement with the HCFA to participate in the Medicare program and which has been recommended for certification by the Division of Aging.

(K) Title XVIII means the Medicare program as provided for in the federal Social Security Act.

(L) Title XIX means the Medicaid program as provided for in the federal Social Security Act.

(2) An operator of an SNF or ICF licensed by the division electing to be certified as a provider of skilled nursing services under the Title XVIII (Medicare) or NF services under the Title XIX (Medicaid) program of the Social Security Act; or an operator of a facility electing to be certified as an ICF/MR facility under Title XIX shall submit application materials to the division as required by federal law and shall comply with standards set forth in the *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) of the United States Department of Health and Human Services in 42 CFR chapter IV, part 483, subpart B for nursing homes and 42 CFR chapter IV, part 483, subpart I for ICF/MR facilities, as appropriate.

(4) Any facility certified for participation as an NF in the Title XIX Medicaid program electing to participate in the Title XVIII Medicare program shall submit an application signed and dated to the division's central office. The division will recommend Medicare certification to the HCFA effective the date the application material is received by the division or a subsequent date if requested by the provider, provided the facility was in compliance with all federal and state regulations for SNFs at the last survey conducted by the division and provided the facility's application is complete and has been approved by the Medicare fiscal intermediary.

(9) If a facility certified to participate in the Title XIX (Medicaid) or Title XVIII (Medicare) program elects to change the size of its

distinct part, it must submit a written request to the Licensure/Certification Unit or the ICF/MR Unit of the division, as applicable. The request shall specify the room numbers involved, the number of beds in each room and the facility cost reporting year end date. The request must include a floor diagram of the facility and a signed DA-113 form, Bed Classification for Licensure and Certification by Category. A facility is allowed two (2) changes in the size of its distinct part during the facility fiscal year. This may be two (2) increases or one (1) increase and one (1) decrease. It may not be two (2) decreases. The first change can be done only at the beginning of the fiscal year and the second change can be done effective at the beginning of a calendar quarter within that fiscal year. All requests must be submitted to the Licensure/Certification Unit or the ICF/MR Unit of the division at least forty-five (45) days in advance. Any facility wishing to eliminate its distinct part to go to full certification may do so effective at the beginning of the next fiscal year with forty-five (45) days notice. The distinct part may be reestablished only at the beginning of the next fiscal year. A facility may change the location of the distinct part with thirty (30) days notice to the Licensure/Certification Unit or the ICF/MR Unit of the division.

(11) If it is determined by the division that a facility certified to participate in Medicaid or Medicare does not comply with federal regulations at the time of a federal survey, complaint investigation or state licensure inspection, the division shall take enforcement action using the regulations and procedures contained in the following sources:

- (A) 42 CFR chapter IV, part 431, subpart D;
- (B) 42 CFR chapter IV, part 442;
- (C) 42 CFR chapter IV, subparts E and F;
- (D) Sections 1819(h) and 1919(h) of the Social Security Act;
- (E) 42 U.S.C. 1396(r);
- (F) The *State Operations Manual* (SOM) (HCFA Publication 7);
- (G) Survey and Certification Regional Letters;
- (H) Sections 198.026 and 198.067, RSMo; and
- (I) 13 CSR 70-10.015 and 13 CSR 70-10.030.

(12) If a facility certified to participate in the Medicaid Title XIX program has been decertified as a result of noncompliance with the federal requirements, the facility can be readmitted to the Medicaid program by submitting an application for initial participation in the Medicaid program. After having received the application, the division shall conduct a survey at the earliest possible date to determine if the facility is in substantial compliance with all federal participation requirements. The effective date of participation will be the date the facility is found to substantially comply with all federal requirements.

**Title 20—DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
Division 10—General Administration
Chapter 1—Organization**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Insurance under section 374.045, RSMo 2000, the director amends a rule as follows:

20 CSR 10-1.020 Interpretation of Referenced or Adopted Material is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 16, 2001 (26 MoReg 251). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 20—DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
Division 200—Financial Examination
Chapter 1—Financial Solvency and Accounting
Standards**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Insurance under sections 354.485, 354.120, 354.485 and 374.045, RSMo 2000, the director amends a rule as follows:

20 CSR 200-1.020 Accounting Standards and Principles is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 16, 2001 (26 MoReg 251-252). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 20—DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
Division 200—Financial Examination
Chapter 1—Financial Solvency and Accounting
Standards**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Insurance under section 354.485, RSMo 2000, the director amends a rule as follows:

20 CSR 200-1.040 Financial Standards for Health Maintenance Organizations is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 16, 2001 (26 MoReg 252). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 20—DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
Division 200—Financial Examination
Chapter 1—Financial Solvency and Accounting
Standards**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Insurance under section 354.723, RSMo 2000, the director amends a rule as follows:

20 CSR 200-1.050 Financial Standards for Prepaid Dental Plans is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 16, 2001 (26 MoReg 252-253). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 20—DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
Division 200—Financial Examination
Chapter 1—Financial Solvency and Accounting
Standards**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Insurance under sections 374.045 and 376.350, RSMo 2000, the director amends a rule as follows:

20 CSR 200-1.110 Qualifications of Actuary or Consulting Actuary is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 16, 2001 (26 MoReg 253). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 20—DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
Division 200—Financial Examination
Chapter 1—Financial Solvency and Accounting
Standards**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Insurance under sections 374.045, 376.380, 376.390, 376.405, 376.410 and 376.670, RSMo 2000, the director amends a rule as follows:

20 CSR 200-1.140 Minimum Valuation Standards For Life, Accident and Health and Annuity Contracts is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 16, 2001 (26 MoReg 253-256). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 20—DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
Division 500—Property and Casualty
Chapter 10—Mortgage Guaranty Insurance**

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Insurance under section 374.045, RSMo 2000, the director amends a rule as follows:

20 CSR 500-10.200 Financial Regulation is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 16, 2001 (26 MoReg 256). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

This section may contain notice of hearings, correction notices, public information notices, rule action notices, statements of actual costs and other items required to be published in the *Missouri Register* by law.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
Division 100—Division of Credit Unions**

APPLICATIONS FOR NEW GROUPS OR GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

Pursuant to section 370.081(4), RSMo 2000, the director of the Missouri Division of Credit Unions is required to cause notice to be published that the following credit unions have submitted applications to add new groups or geographic areas to their membership.

Credit Union	Proposed New Group or Geographic Area
Alliance Credit Union 575 Rudder Road Fenton, MO 63026	Those who work or reside in zip codes 63304, 63366 and 63367

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a written statement in support of or in opposition to any of these applications. Comments shall be filed with: Director, Division of Credit Unions, PO Box 1607, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, written comments must be submitted no later than ten business days after publication of this notice in the Missouri Register.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
Division 100—Division of Credit Unions**

APPLICATIONS FOR NEW GROUPS OR GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

Pursuant to section 370.081(4), RSMo 2000, the director of the Missouri Division of Credit Unions is required to cause notice to be published that the following credit unions have submitted applications to add new groups or geographic areas to their membership.

Credit Union	Proposed New Group or Geographic Area
Glassworkers Credit Union 523 S. Festus Festus, MO 63026	Persons living or working in Jefferson County & Ste. Genevieve County & family members & organizations of such persons.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a written statement in support of or in opposition to any of these applications. Comments shall be filed with: Director, Division of Credit Unions, PO Box 1607, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, written comments must be submitted no later than ten business days after publication of this notice in the Missouri Register.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
Division 100—Division of Credit Unions**

APPLICATIONS FOR NEW GROUPS OR GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

Pursuant to section 370.081(4), RSMo 2000, the director of the Missouri Division of Credit Unions is required to cause notice to be published that the following credit unions have submitted applications to add new groups or geographic areas to their membership.

Credit Union	Proposed New Group or Geographic Area
City Utilities Employees Credit Union 818 N. Benton Springfield, MO 65802	Community residents & workers of Greene & Christian Counties

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a written statement in support of or in opposition to any of these applications. Comments shall be filed with: Director, Division of Credit Unions, PO Box 1607, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, written comments must be submitted no later than ten business days after publication of this notice in the Missouri Register.

**Title 19—DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
Division 60—Missouri Health Facilities Review Committee**

Chapter 50—Certificate of Need Program

APPLICATION REVIEW SCHEDULE

DATE FILED:
APPLICATION PROJECT NO. &
NAME/COST & DESCRIPTION/
CITY & COUNTY

The Missouri Health Facilities Review Committee has initiated review of the applications listed below. Decisions are tentatively scheduled for the June 4, 2001, Certificate of Need meeting. These applications are available for public inspection at the address shown below:

04/20/01

#3116 NP: Liberty Terrace Care Center, 2201 Glenn Hendren Drive, Liberty 64068 (Clay County), \$65,000, Long term care (LTC) bed expansion through the purchase of 13 skilled nursing facility beds from Care Center of Kansas City, 5900 Swope Parkway, Kansas City 64130 (Jackson County)

04/24/01

#3112 RP: Blue Castle of the Ozarks West 611 West Jackson Bolivar 65613 (Polk County) \$201, LTC bed expansion through the purchase of one residential care facility bed from Blue Castle of the Ozarks East, 342 East Broadway, Bolivar 65613 (Polk County)

Any person wishing to request a public hearing for the purpose of commenting on any of these applications must submit a written request to this effect, which must be received by May 25, 2001. All written requests and comments should be sent to:

Chairman
Missouri Health Facilities Review Committee
c/o Certificate of Need Program
915 G Leslie Boulevard
Jefferson City, MO 65101

For additional information contact
Donna Schuessler, 573-751-6403.

Construction Transient

The following is a list of all construction contractors performing work on construction projects in Missouri who are known by the Department of Revenue to be transient employers pursuant to Section 285.230, RSMo. This list is provided as a guideline to assist public bodies with their responsibilities under this section that states, "any county, city, town, village or any other political subdivision which requires a building permit for a person to perform certain construction projects shall require a transient employer to show proof that the employer has been issued a tax clearance and has filed a financial assurance instrument as required by Section 285.230 before such entity issues a building permit to the transient employer."

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
A & J CONSTRUCTION CO	RT 1 BOX 45	FLORIS	IA	52560
A FISCHER BUILDERS INC	814 OHIO ST	QUINCY	IL	62301
ABELL PEST CONTROL INC	4921 FERNLEE AVE	ROYAL OAK	MI	48073
AC LEADBETTER & SON INC	110 ARCO DR	TOLEDO	OH	43615
ACE/AVANT CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION CO INC	109 SEMINOLE DR	ARCHDALE	NC	27263
ACI MECHANICAL CORPORATION	3116 SOUTH DUFF AVE	AMES	IA	50010
ACI MECHANICAL INC	3116 S DUFF AVE	AMES	IA	50010
ADAMS DOOR CO INC	6550 NE 14TH ST	DES MOINES	IA	50313
ADDISON CONSTRUCTION CO	1526 HORSE CREEK RD	CHEYENNE	WY	82009
ADUDDLELL ROOFING & SHEET METAL INC	14220 S MERIDIAN	OKLAHOMA	OK	73173
ADVANCED ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS INC	33867 W 287TH ST	PAOLA	KS	66071
ADVANCED PROTECTIVE COATING INC	2530 BAYARD ST	KANSAS CITY	KS	66105
AEI INC	735 GLASER PKWY	AKORN	OH	44306
AERIAL SOLUTIONS INC	7074 RAMSEY FORD ROAD	TABOR CITY	NC	28463
AGRA FOUNDATIONS INC	10108 32ND AVE W C-3 #A2	EVERETT	WA	98204
AIDE INC	2510 WADE HAMPTON BLVD	GREENVILLE	SC	29606
ALL IOWA CONTRACTING CO	5613 MCKEVETTE RD	WATERLOO	IA	50701
ALLIANCE INTEGRATED SYSTEMS INC	1500 STUDEMONT	HOUSTON	TX	77007
ALLIED STEEL CONSTRUCTION CO LLC	2211 NW FIRST TERRACE	OKLAHOMA CITY	OK	73107
ALLIED UNIKING CORPORATION INC	4750 CROMWELL AVE	MEMPHIS	TN	38118
ALLSTATE SPECIALTY CONSTRUCTION INC	32700 W 255TH ST	PAOLA	KS	66071
AMAN ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRUCTION INC	100 CALIFORNIA ST TX DEPT	SAN FRANCISCO	CA	94111
AMERICAN IRONWORKS INC	100 S MAIN	CUTLER	IL	62238
AMERICAN MASONRY CO	1016 W EUCLID	PITTSBURG	KS	66762
AMERICASDOCTOR.COM COORDINATORS SERVICES INC	3315 S 23RD STR 108	TACOMA	WA	98405
ANDERSEN TRENCHING & EXCAVATING INC	17263 SUMAC RD	HONEY CREEK	IA	51542
ANTIGO CONSTRUCTION INC	2520 N CLERMONT ST	ANTIGO	WI	54409
API INC	2366 ROSE PL	ST PAUL	MN	55113
APPLICATION CONTRACTORS SERVICES IN	14409 W EDISON DR #13A	NEW LENOX	IL	60451
ARCHITECTURAL GLAZING PROFESSIONALS	11655 CLARE RD	OLATHE	KS	66061
ARGUSS COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC	DOVER RD	EPSOM	NH	03234
ARKANSAS CONTRACTORS	1308 CHURCH	BARLING	AR	72952
ARNOLD & MADSON INC	1995 CENTURY AVE SO	WOODBURY	MN	55125

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
ASPHALT STONE COMPANY	520 N WEBSTER	JACKSONVILLE	IL	62650
ASSOCIATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES LLC	1225 COPPER CRK DR STE J	PLEASANT HILL	IA	50327
AUGERS UNLIMITED INC	14910 METROPOLITAN AVE	BONNER SPRNGS	KS	66012
AUTRY CONSTRUCTION INC	140 E 3RD	BAXTER SPRINGS	KS	66713
B & B CONTRACTORS INC	13745 SEMINOLE DR	CHINO	CA	91710
B & B DRYWALL CO INC	10567 WIDMER	LENEXA	KS	66215
B & B PERMASTORE INC	6750 W 75TH STE 1A	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66204
B & D ELECTRIC INC	P O BOX 43	STAMPS	AR	71860
BADGER INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTORS INC	105 FAIRVIEW RD	ASHEVILLE	NC	28803
BALL CONSTRUCTION INC	13922 WEST 108TH ST	LENEXA	KS	66215
BARROWS EXCAVATION INC	49 COUNTY RD #404	BERRYVILLE	AR	72616
BARTLETT NUCLEAR INC	60 INDUSTRIAL PARK RD	PLYMOUTH	MA	02360
BASTIAN MATERIAL HANDLING	9820 ASSOCIATION CT	INDIANAPOLIS	IN	46280
BAZIN EXCAVATING INC	15233 BROADMOOR	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66283
BE & K ENGINEERING COMPANY	2000 INTERNATIONAL PK DR	BIRMINGHAM	AL	35243
BEL CLAIR ELECTRIC INC	912 S BELT W	BELLEVILLE	IL	62220
BENCHMARK INC	6065 HUNTINGTON CT NE	CEDAR RAPIDS	IA	52402
BERNIE JANNING TERRAZZO & TILE INC	17509 HWY 71	CARROLL	IA	51401
BEST PLUMBING & HEATING	421 SECTION OD	SCAMMON	KS	66773
BEW CONSTRUCTION CO INC	1319 MAIN ST	WOODWARD	OK	73801
BILL DAVIS ROOFING LC	628 VERMONT	LAWRENCE	KS	66044
BJ ERECTION CORPORATION	16626 MILES AVE	CLEVELAND	OH	44128
BLACKSHIRE CONSTRUCTION INC	ROUTE 14 BOX 942	ELIZABETH	WV	26143
BLAZE MECHANICAL INC	15755 S 169 HWY STE E	OLATHE	KS	66062
BLICKS CONSTRUCTION CO INC	LOCK & DAM RD	QUINCY	IL	62301
BOB FLORENCE CONTRACTOR INC	1934 S KANSAS AVE	TOPEKA	KS	66612
BOB MUEHLBERGER CONCRETE INC	5726 MERRIAM DR	MERRIAM	KS	66203
BONNEVILLE CONSTRUCTION CO INC	4075 W DESERT INN RD # B	LAS VEGAS	NV	89102
BOYD ELECTRIC	3315 N 70TH ST	KANSAS CITY	KS	66109
BRADEN CONSTRUCTION SERVICES INC	5110 N MINGO RD	TULSA	OK	74117
BRB CONTRACTORS INC	400 W CURTIS	TOPEKA	KS	66608
BRIGHTON PAINTING CO	339 OLD ST LOUIS RD	WOOD RIVER	IL	62095
BRINK ELECTRIC CONSTRUCTION CO	2950 N PLAZA DR	RAPID CITY	SD	57702
BROWNING WELDING SERVICE INC	163 SHAW BRIDGE ROAD	GREENBRIER	AR	72058
BUCKLEY ROOFING CO INC	3601 N HYDRAULIC	WICHITA	KS	67219
BUILT WELL CONSTRUCTION CO	MAIN ST HWY 279 S	HIWASSE	AR	72739
C & C CONTRACTING INC	222 SOUTH SECOND ST	ORLEANS	IN	47452
C IBER & SONS INC	3212 N MAIN	EAST PEORIA	IL	61611
CALLS METAL BLDG ERECTORS INC	8128 12TH ST	SOMERS	WI	53171
CAPITAL INSULATION INC	3210 NE MERIDEN RD	TOPEKA	KS	66617
CARNEY DEMOLITION	303 S HALSTED	CHICAGO	IL	60661
CARTER MOORE INC	1865 E MAIN ST STE F	DUNCAN	SC	29334

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
CAS CONSTRUCTION INC	501 NE BURGESS	TOPEKA	KS	66608
CASE FOUNDATION CO	1325 W LAKE ST	ROSELLE	IL	60172
CASHATT & SONS CORP	BOX 74	RED OAK	IA	51566
CCC GROUP INC	5797 DIETRICH RD	SAN ANTONIO	TX	78219
CD PETERS CONSTRUCTION CO	IL RT 3 & W PONTOON RD	GRANITE CITY	IL	62040
CENTRAL CEILING SYSTEMS INC	105 INDUSTRIAL PARK	DEERFIELD	WI	53531
CENTRAL FOUNDATION INC	915 MARION RD S	CENTRAL CITY	IA	52214
CENTRAL ILLINOIS TILE CO	3302 N MATTIS AVE	CHAMPAIGN	IL	61821
CENTRAL STATES CONTRACTING SERVICES	610 S 78TH ST	KANSAS CITY	KS	66111
CENTRAL STATES ENVIRONMENTAL SERVIC	609 AIRPORT ROAD	CENTRALIA	IL	62801
CENTURY MECHANICAL CONTRACTORS INC	15480 S 169 HWY	OLATHE	KS	66051
CHALLENGE CONSTRUCTION	PO BOX 1509	MANVEL	TX	77578
CHANCE CONSTRUCTION CO	ITALY & BARBER ST	HEMPHILL	TX	75948
CHANCELLOR & SON INC	7474 RALEIGH LAGRANGE RD	CORDOVA	TN	38018
CHESTER PHILLIPS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	1501 N UNIVERSITY STE 740	LITTLE ROCK	AR	72207
CLARK CORPORATION THE	141 CATHERINE ST	EAST PEORIA	IL	61611
CLEVINGER CONTRACTORS INC	NAPLES LANE RR1 PO BOX 19	BLUFFS	IL	62821
CLIFFORD LEE & ASSOCIATES	292 MELVIN HARRIS RD	MANCHESTER	GA	31816
COAST TO COAST BUILDERS INC	750 E FUNSTON	WICHITA	KS	67211
COASTAL GUNITE CONSTRUCTION CO	16 WASHINGTON ST	CAMBRIDGE	MD	21613
COE CONSTRUCTION INC	2302 E 13TH ST	LOVELAND	CO	80537
COLE ARMSTRONG MECHANICAL INC	3232 51ST AVE #7	SACRAMENTO	CA	95823
COLLECTOR WELLS INTERNATIONAL INC	6360 HUNTLEY RD	COLUMBUS	OH	43229
COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING CO OF SAN AN	5797 DIETRICH RD	SAN ANTONIO	TX	78219
COMMUNICATIONS CONSTRUCTION INC	601 E ST	HAMBURG	IA	51640
COMO TECH INSPECTIONS INC	40 DEEP CREEK RD	MANHATTAN	KS	66502
CONCO CONTRACTORS INC	1048 CIMARRON TRAIL	GARONER	KS	66030
CONLEY SPRINKLER INC	822 MAIN	PLEASANTON	KS	66075
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT INC	108 JACKMAN ST	GEORGETOWN	MA	01833
CONSTRUCTION MARKET DATA GROUP INC	275 WASHINGTON ST	NEWTON	MA	02458
CONSTRUCTION SERVICES BRYANT INC	232 NEW YORK ST	WICHITA	KS	67214
CONSTRUCTORS INC	P O BOX 46417	BATON ROUGE	LA	70895
CONTRACT DEWATERING SERVICES INC	5820 W RIVERSIDE DR	SARANAC	MI	48881
CONTRACTOR SERVICES INC	122 EAST 17TH ST	DAVENPORT	IA	52808
COOPERS STEEL FABRICATORS	503 N HILLCREST DR	SHELBYVILLE	TN	37162
CORNERSTONE COMMERCIAL CONTRACTORS	1260 JERICO	CORNING	IA	50841
CORONA POWER SERVICES INC	5220 MINOLA DR	LITHONIA	GA	30038
CORONADO INC	431 N 13TH	SALINA	KS	67401
COST OF WISCONSIN INC	W172N13050 DIVISION RD	ROCKFIELD	WI	53077
COWARTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY INC	WILDERNESS RD	SALEM	AR	72576
CRANE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY LLC	343 WAINWRIGHT DR	NORTHBROOK	IL	60062
CREEK ELECTRIC INC	2811 W PAWNEE ST	WICHITA	KS	67213

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
CRONISTER & COMPANY INC	FORBES FIELD BL 281 UNT E	TOPEKA	KS	66619
CROSSLAND HEAVY CONTRACTORS INC	S HWY 69	COLUMBUS	KS	66275
CROWN CORR INC	7100 W 21ST AVE	GARY	IN	46406
CUNNINGHAM INC	112 6TH AVENUE W	OSKALOOSA	IA	52577
CUST O FAB TANK SERVICES LLC	1900 N 161ST E AVE	TULSA	OK	74116
CUSTOM ROCK INTERNATIONAL	1156 HOMER ST	ST PAUL	MN	55116
CUTCO INC	RR 1 BOX 121	WYOMING	IL	61491
CYLX CORPORATION	BOX 1087	BARTLESVILLE	OK	74005
D & D PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION CO INC	4700 W HWY 117	SAPULPA	OK	74066
DALRYMPLE & CO	3675 S NOLAND RD STE 102	INDEPENDENCE	MO	64055
DANNYS CONSTRUCTION CO INCORPORATED	1066 WEST THIRD AVENUE	SHAKOPEE	MN	55379
DAVE OSBORNE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTI	15600 28TH AVE N	PLYMOUTH	MN	55447
DAVID A NICE BUILDERS INC	4571 WARE CREEK ROAD	WILLIAMSBURG	VA	23188
DAVIS ELECTRICAL CONSTRUCTORS INC	429 N MAIN ST	GREENVILLE	SC	29602
DCG PETERSON BROTHERS COMPANY	5005 S HWY 71	SIOUX RAPIDS	IA	50585
DDD COMPANY	8000 CORPORATE DR STE 100	LANDOVER	MD	20785
DEI INC	1550 KEMPER MEADOW DR	CINCINNATI	OH	45240
DELCO ELECTRIC INC	7615 N CLASSEN BLVD	OKLAHOMA CITY	OK	73116
DEMCO INC	238 LEIN RD	BUFFALO	NY	14224
DIAMOND CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	2000 N 18TH ST	QUINCY	IL	62301
DIAMOND ELECTRIC SERVICE INC	21325 W 105TH ST	OLATHE	KS	66061
DIAMOND SURFACE INC	13792 REIMER DR N	MAPLE GROVE	MN	55311
DIG AMERICA UTILITY CONTRACTING INC	606 25TH AVE SO STE 202	ST CLOUD	MN	56301
DIMENSIONAL TECHNOLOGY INC	6717 LINDEN LN	HUNTLEY	IL	60142
DIVINE INC	2310 REFUGEE RD	COLUMBUS	OH	43207
DL SMITH ELECTRICAL CONSTRUCTION INC	1405 SW 41ST ST	TOPEKA	KS	66609
DOBSON DAVIS COMPANY	8521 RICHARDS RD	LENEXA	KS	66215
DOME CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA	5450 EAST ST	SAGINAW	Mi	48601
DON BELL HOMES INC	11599 N RIDGEVIEW	OLATHE	KS	66061
DONALD E MCNABB COMPANY INC	31250 S MILFORD RD	MILFORD	MI	48381
DOSTER CONSTRUCTION CO INC	2619 COMMERCE BLVD	BHAM	AL	35210
DOUBLE O MASONRY INC	722 S 260TH ST	PITTSBURG	KS	66762
DRAINAGE & GROUND IMPROVEMENT INC	275 MILLERS RUN RD	BRIDGEVILLE	PA	15017
DUAL TEMP ILLINOIS INC	3801 S SANGAMON ST	CHICAGO	IL	60609
DUCOING ENTERPRISES INC	1910 ESTELLE LANE	PLACENTIA	CA	92870
DUSTROL INC	GEN DEL	EL DORADO	KS	67042
DW PROEHL CONSTRUCTION INC	818 N HELEN AVE	SIOUX FALLS	SD	57104
ECONOMY ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS	101 CENTURY 21 DR #204	JACKSONVILLE	FL	32216
EDWARD KRAEMER & SONS INC	ONE PLAINVIEW RD	PLAIN	WI	53577
ELECTRICAL LINE SERVICES INC	14200 S TULSA DR	OKLAHOMA CITY	OK	73170
ELECTRICAL SPECIALISTS INC	401 WEST BOYTON STREET	MARION	IL	62959
ENERGY CONTROL SYSTEMS	357 MIXON LN	OZARK	AL	36360

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
ENERGY DELIVERY SERVICES INC	3909 W FIFTH ST	CHEYENNE	WY	82003
ENERGY SYSTEMS GROUP LLC	101 PLAZA E BLVD 320	EVANSVILLE	IN	47715
ENTRUP DRYWALL & PAINTING INC	3 BLUFF VEIW RD	QUINCY	IL	62301
ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS DESIGN INC	55 E MONROE ST STE 1660	CHICAGO	IL	60603
EQUUS METALS	1415 S JOPLIN AVE	TULSA	OK	74112
ERVIN CABLE CONSTRUCTION INC	260 N LINCOLN BLVD E	SHAWNEETOWN	IL	62984
ESCO ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC	520 E MAIN	EL DORADO	AR	71730
EVCO NATIONAL INC	339 OLD ST LOUIS RD	WOOD RIVER	IL	62095
EXCEL CABLE CONSTRUCTION INC	11501 COLUMBIA PK DR WEST	JACKSONVILLE	FL	32258
EXCEL ENGINEERING INC	500 73RD AVE NE # 119	FRIDLEY	MN	55432
EXXEL PACIFIC INC	323A TELEGRAPH RD	BELLINGHAM	WA	98226
FABCON INCORPORATED	6111 WEST HIGHWAY 13	SAVAGE	MN	55378
FABCON LLC	3400 JACKSON PIKE	GROVE CITY	OH	43123
FALCON ELECTRIC INC	100 NORTH FIRST ST	CLARKSBURG	WV	26301
FARABEE MECHANICAL INC	P O BOX 1748	HICKMAN	NE	68372
FAYETTEVILLE PLUMBING & HEATING CO INC	P O BOX 1061	FAYETTEVILLE	AR	72702
FEDERAL FIRE PROTECTION INC	805 SECRETARY DR STE A	ARLINGTON	TX	76015
FISHEL COMPANY THE	1810 ARLINGATE LN	COLUMBUS	OH	43228
FJW GROUP INC	905 W MITCHELL	ARLINGTON	TX	76013
FOLTZ CONSTRUCTION INC	BOX 38	PATOKA	IL	62875
FOLTZ WELDING PIPELINE MAINTENANCE	501 E CLINTON AVE	PATOKA	IL	62875
FORD CONTRACTING CORP	1307 E COURT ST	DYERSBURG	TN	38024
FOUR SEASONS AC HTG & REFRIG INC	1202 NW 5TH	ABILENE	KS	67410
FREESSEN INC	316 S PEARL	BLUFFS	IL	62621
GALACTIC TECHNOLOGIES INC	400 N LOOP 1604 E STE 210	SAN ANTONIO	TX	78232
GALE INDUSTRIES INC	2339 BEVILLE RD	DAYTONA BEACH	FL	32119
GAMMA CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	2808 JOANEL	HOUSTON	TX	77027
GARY SANDERS MASONRY	109 AVE F	WEST POINT	IA	52656
GEISSLER ROOFING CO INC	612 S 3RD ST	BELLEVILLE	IL	62220
GENE FRITZEL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY I	628 VERMONT	LAWRENCE	KS	66044
GENE FRITZEL CONSTRUCTION SERVICES	628 VERMONT	LAWRENCE	KS	66044
GFS LIFESAFETY CORP	LCR 740A RT 3 BOX 62B	THORNTON	TX	76687
GIBRALTAR CONSTRUCTION CO INC	42 HUDSON ST STE A207	ANNAPOLIS	MD	21401
GINGHER PROCESS PIPING INC	3011 N MAIN ST	EAST PEORIA	IL	61611
GLEESON CONSTRUCTORS INC	2015 E 7TH ST	SIoux CITY	IA	51105
GLOBAL COMPUTER ASSOCIATES INC	3 GARRET MOUNTAIN PLAZA	WEST PATERSON	NJ	07424
GOERLICH ROOFING INC	4400 HARRISON	QUINCY	IL	62301
GRAHAM CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	500 LOCUST ST	DES MOINES	IA	50309
GRAYLING INCORPORATED	10258 SANTA FE DR	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66212
GRAZZINI BROS COMPANY	620 16TH AVE S	MINNEAPOLIS	MN	55454
GREAT BARRIER ISULATION CO	1200 CORPORATE DR STE 325	BIRMINGHAM	AL	35238
GREAT SOUTH CONSTRUCTION CO INC	2500 HWY 31 SOUTH	PELHAM	AL	35124

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
GREAT SOUTHWESTERN CONSTRUCTION INC	6880 SO I 25	CASTLE ROCK	CO	80104
H & H SERVICES INC	331 OLD RTE N 66	HAMEL	IL	62046
H & L ELECTRIC INC	8651 E HIGHWAY 24	MANHATTAN	KS	66502
H & M CONSTRUCTION CO INC	431 LIBERTY ST	MILAN	TN	38358
H&H DRYWALL SPECIALTIES INC	5200 S YALE STE 610	TULSA	OK	74135
HANLIN RAINALDI CONSTRUCTION CORP	6610 SINGLETREE DR	COLUMBUS	OH	43229
HANSON ELECTRIC OF BEMIDJI INC	3125 BEMIDJI AVE N	BEMIDJI	MN	56601
HARBERT YEARGIN INC	105 EDINBURGH CR	GREENVILLE	SC	29607
HARDAWAY CONSTRUCTION CORP OF TENNE	615 MAIN STREET	NASHVILLE	TN	37206
HARNESS ROOFING INC	P O BOX 1382	HARRISON	AR	72601
HART PAINTING	2555 SW 50	OKLAHOMA CITY	OK	73119
HARTCO CABLE INC	P O BOX 32	GENESEO	IL	61254
HASTCO INC	813 GRAHAM	EMPORIA	KS	66801
HEAD INC	4920 E FIFTH AVE	COLUMBUS	OH	43219
HEBER E COSTELLO INC	609 COSTELLO ROAD	OAK GROVE	LA	71263
HENNESSY SURVEYING	P O BOX 384	FORT BRANCH	IN	47648
HENNING CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	5870 MERLE HAY RD	JOHNSTON	IA	50131
HENRIKSEN CONSTRUCTION INC	4503 2ND AVE #101	KEARNEY	NE	68847
HERITAGE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT INC	16133 VENTURA BLVD #965	ENCINO	CA	91436
HERMAN STEWART CONSTRUCTION & DEVEL	7611 SOUTH OSBORNE RD	UPPER MARLBORO	MD	20772
HOGUE HORN & PASHMAN INC	922 MISSOURI	LAWRENCE	KS	66044
HOLIAN ASBSTS RMVL & ENCPSTLN CORP	7504 MEYER RD	SPRING GROVE	IL	60081
HOLLIS ROOFING INC	P O BOX 2229	COLUMBUS	MS	39704
HORIZONTAL BORING & TUNNELING CO	505 S RIVER AVE	EXETER	NE	68351
HOSPITALITY BUILDERS INC	PO BOX 1565	ABERDEEN	SD	57402
HUEGERICH CONSTRUCTION INC	512 N COURT	CARROLL	IA	51401
HUFF SEALING CORPORATION	HWY 15E	ALBION	IL	62806
HUSTON CONTRACTING INC	25640 W 143RD ST	OLATHE	KS	66061
HUTTON POWER & TELEPHONE CONSTRUCTION CO	ALONG HWY 45	RUSHVILLE	MO	64484
HUXTABLE KC SERVICE INC	16210 W 108TH	LENEXA	KS	66219
HY VEE WEITZ CONSTRUCTION LC	1501 50TH ST BLDG 1 #325	WEST DES MOINES	IA	50266
ILLINI MECHANICAL INC	1024 LOWRY	PITTSFIELD	IL	62363
INDUSTRIAL MAINTENANCE CONTRACTORS INC	2301 GARDEN CITY HWY	MIDLAND	TX	79701
INDUSTRIAL POWER & PROCESS CORP	P O BOX 38995	GREENSBORO	NC	27438
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS TECHNOLOGY INC	2213 7TH AVE N	FARGO	ND	58108
INGRAM CONSTRUCTION COMPANY INC OF	173 HOY RD	MADISON	MS	39110
INNOVATIVE SYSTEMS OF KANSAS INC	2915 STRONG AVE	KANSAS CITY	KS	66106
INSULCON COMPANY INC	10500 UNIVERSITY CTR #155	TAMPA	FL	33612
INTEC SERVICES INC	454 LINK LN	FT COLLINS	CO	80522
INTERSTATES ELEC & ENGINEERING	1520 INDUSTRIAL PARK	SIOUX CENTER	IA	51250
INTL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORK	106 N MONROE ST	WEST FRANKFORT	IL	62896
IVEY MECHANICAL CO A PARTNERSHIP	514 NORTH WELLS ST	KUSCIUSKO	MS	39090

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
J & J CONSTRUCTION & SUPPLY INC	1136 W KANSAS	MCPHERSON	KS	67460
J & J MAINTENANCE INC	3755 CAPITAL OF TX HWY S	AUSTIN	TX	78704
J W BUCK CONSTRUCTION CO INC	4103 FRANDFORD AVE	LUBBOCK	TX	79407
JAMES CAPE & SONS CO	6422 N HWY 31	RACINE	WI	53401
JAMES N GRAY CONSTRUCTION CO	250 W MAIN ST	LEXINGTON	KY	40507
JANSSEN GLASS & MIRROR INC	5002 HADLEY	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66202
JARVIS C DAWSON	2121 E ROCK CREEK	NORMAN	OK	73071
JAY MCCONNELL CONSTRUCTION INC	8242 MARSHALL DR	LENEXA	KS	66214
JE CAMPBELL INC	HWY 45E SOUTH	SOUTH FULTON	TN	38257
JET HEATING INC	P O BOX 7362	SALEM	OR	97303
JF BRENNAN CO INC	820 BAINBRIDGE ST	LA CROSSE	WI	54603
JOEL FRITZEL BUILDERS INC	3320 CLINTON PARKWAY CT	LAWRENCE	KS	66047
JOHANSEN DRAINAGE & TILE	RT 1 BOX 152	RULO	NE	68431
JOHN A PAPALAS & CO	1187 EMPIRE	LINCOLN PARK	MI	48146
JOHNSON INDUSTRIAL SERVICES INC	200 BENTLEY CIR	SHELBY	AL	35143
JOLLEY CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	6148 LEE HWY STE 200	CHATTANOOGA	TN	37421
JOMAX CONSTRUCTION COMPANY INC	S 281 HWY	GREAT BEND	KS	67530
JONES HYDROBLAST INC	P O BOX 309	ROYALTON	IL	62983
JR ROOFING INC	P O BOX 204	LE MARS	IA	51031
JR STELZER CO	5850 RUSSELL DR	LINCOLN	NE	68507
JS ROLLINS INC	1776 VFW ROAD	BARLOW	KY	42024
JULIAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	15521 W 110TH ST	LENEXA	KS	66219
JULIUS KAAZ CONSTRUCTION COMPANY IN	716 CHEROKEE	LEAVENWORTH	KS	66048
K & M ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS INC	940 COMMERCIAL SUITE B	ATCHISON	KS	66002
KAJIMA ASSOCIATES INC	900 SYLVAN AVE	ENGLEWOOD CLIFF	NJ	07632
KAJIMA CONSTRUCTION SERVICES INC	900 SYLVAN AVE	ENGLEWOOD CLIFF	NJ	07632
KANSAS BUILDING SYSTEMS INC	1701 SW 41ST	TOPEKA	KS	66609
KASBOHM CUSTOM DRILLING INC	11404 OAKTON RD	SAVANNA	IL	61074
KAYTON ELECTRIC INC	PO BOX 27	HOLDREGE	NE	68949
KDS CONSTRUCTION	9318 GULFSTREAM RD UNIT C	FRANKFORT	IL	60423
KEARNEY & SON CONSTRUCTION INC	2500 NORTH 7TH ST	LAWRENCE	KS	66044
KEARNEY ELECTRIC INC	3609 E SUPERIOR AVE	PHOENIX	AZ	85040
KEITH AUSTIN	3001 WEDINGTON DR #106	FAYETTEVILLE	AR	72701
KELLEY DEWATERING & CONSTRUCTION CO	5175 CLAY AVENUE SW	WYOMING	MI	49548
KELLY CONSTRUCTION INC	P O BOX 32152	OKLAHOMA CITY	OK	73123
KENJURA TILE INC	BOX 158	BRENHAM	TX	77834
KEOKUK CONTRACTORS INC	853 JOHNSON ST RD	KEOKUK	IA	52632
KESSLER CONSTRUCTION INC	13402 W 92ND ST	LENEXA	KS	66215
KG MOATS & SONS	9515 US HWY 63	EMMETT	KS	66422
KGL ASSOCIATES INC	759 ADAMS ST	DENVER	CO	80206
KIM CONSTRUCTION CO INC	3142 HOLEMAN	STEGER	IL	60475
KING LAR COMPANY	2020 E OLIVE STREET	DECATUR	IL	62525

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
KM PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION INC	5620 SOONER TREND RD	ENID	OK	73701
KNICKERBOCKER CONSTRUCTION INC	4823 LAKEWOOD DR	NORWALK	IA	50211
KUHLMAN REFRIGERATION INC	N56W16865 RIDGEWOOD 100	MENOMONEE FALLS	WI	53051
KURISU INTERNATIONAL INC	11125 SW BARBUR BL	PORTLAND	OR	97219
L & J CONSOLIDATED ENTERPRISES INC	107 OXFORD	HARRISON	AR	72601
L & L CONSTRUCTION SERVICES INC	107 3RD ST	DES MOINES	IA	50309
L & L INSULATION & SUPPLY CO	3305 SE DELAWARE AVE	ANKENY	IA	50021
LAKE CONTRACTING INC	4650 STONE CHURCH RD	ADDIEVILLE	IL	62214
LAKEVIEW CONSTRUCTION OF WISCONSIN	10505 CORPORATE DR #200	PLEASANT PRAIRI	WI	53158
LANDSCAPES UNLIMITED INC	1601 OLD CHENEY RD	LINCOLN	NE	68512
LARRY COX CONSTRUCTION	50 FORT COX RD	HEBER SPRINGS	AR	72543
LEMAR CONSTRUCTION	2829 BRADY ST	DAVENPORT	IA	52803
LESSARD NYREN UTILITIES INC	17385 FOREST BLVD N	HUGO	MN	55038
LH SOWLES CO	2813 BRYANT AVE S	MINNEAPOLIS	MN	55408
LICAUSI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	8301 W 125TH ST	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66213
LIFE SAFETY INC	12428 VETERANS MEM PKWY	LAFAYETTE	AL	36862
LIMBAUGH CONSTRUCTION CO INC	4186 HWY 162	GRANITE CITY	IL	62040
LIN R ROGERS ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS	3000 NORTHFIELD PL ST1100	ROSWELL	GA	30076
LINAWEAVER CONSTRUCTION INC	719 GILMAN RD	LANSING	KS	66043
LITTLE ROCK ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS	13008 LAWSON RD	LITTLE ROCK	AR	72210
LONG CONSTRUCTION INC	1505 MORGAN	PARSONS	KS	67357
LONGAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	1635 US HWY 59 N	GROVE	OK	74344
LOWE NORTH CONSTRUCTION INC	800 A LINE DR	SPRING HILL	KS	66083
LPR CONSTRUCTION CO	1171 DES MOINES AVE	LOVELAND	CO	80537
LUNDA CONSTRUCTION CO	620 GEBHARDT RD	BLACK RIVER FAL	WI	54615
LUTTENBERGER & CO INC	1501 MONROE ST	TOLEDO	OH	43624
LVI ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	225 FENCL LANE	HILLSIDE	IL	60162
M A MORTENSON CO	700 MEADOW LN N	MINNEAPOLIS	MN	55422
MAGUIRE IRON INC	300 W WALNUT BOX 1446	SIoux FALLS	SD	57101
MARATHON BUILDERS INC	4144 N CENTRAL #660	DALLAS	TX	75204
MARICK INC	1710 2ND AVE	DES MOINES	IA	50314
MARRS ELECTRIC INC OF ARKANSAS	701 KAWNEER DR	SPRINGDALE	AR	72764
MARSHALL CONSTRUCTION INC	17739 CARTWRIGHT MTN RD	MOUNTAINBURG	AR	72946
MAX TRUE FIREPROOFING CO	6500 S 39TH AVE	TULSA	OK	74132
MBK CONSTRUCTION LTD	175 TECHNOLOGY	IRVINE	CA	92718
MCADAM LLC	720 N CEDAR	MORAN	KS	66755
MCBRIDE ELECTRIC INC	3215 E 9TH N	WICHITA	KS	67208
MCBURNEY CORPORATION THE	4274 SHACKLEFORD RD	NORCROSS	GA	30091
MCCARTIN MECHANICAL CONTRACTOR INC	2999 PARKWAY DR	DECATUR	IL	62526
MCINNIS BROTHERS CONSTRUCTION INC	119 PEARL ST	MINDEN	LA	71058
MCKNIGHT MASONRY	5319 ROSEWOOD DR	ROELAND PARK	KS	66205
MCMASTER CONSTRUCTION INC	138 NE 46TH	OKLAHOMA CITY	OK	73105

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
MOPHERSON WRECKING INC	2333 BARTON RD	GRANTVILLE	KS	66429
MCWHORTER & CO INC	P O BOX 907	ANNISTON	AL	36202
MEADOWS CONSTRUCTION CO INC	1014 FRONT ST	TONGANOXIE	KS	66086
MERIVIC INC	1050 A W JEFFERSON	MORTON	IL	61550
MERRELL BROS INC	8811 W 500 N	KOKOMO	IN	46901
METRIC VISION	8500 CINDER BED RD STE150	NEWINGTON	VA	22122
MEYERS TURF FARMS INC	19055 METCALF	STILWELL	KS	66085
MICHAEL CONSTRUCTION CO INC	SECONDARY RT 79 BOX 143	DRY BRANCH	WV	25061
MICRO PAVERS INC	127 FAUBER RD	E PEORIA	IL	61611
MID CO CONTRACTORS INC	P O BOX 391	FORT SCOTT	KS	66701
MID CONTINENTAL RESTORATION CO INC	PO BOX 429	FORT SCOTT	KS	66701
MID STATES MECHANICAL SERVICES INC	HWY 189 SOUTH	MANKATO	MN	56001
MIDLAND WRECKING INC	15 HENNING	LENEXA	KS	66215
MIDWEST CONSTRUCTION SYSTEMS INC	100 MAIN ST STE 504	LITTLE ROCK	AR	72201
MIDWEST ELEVATOR CO INC	1116 E MARKET STREET	INDIANAPOLIS	IN	46202
MIDWEST PUMP & EQUIPMENT CO	2300 S 7TH ST	LINCOLN	NE	68502
MIDWEST TOWERS INC	2806 COUNTRY CLUB DRIVE	CHICKASHA	OK	73018
MIDWESTERN POWER LINE INC	HWY 75, 2 MI NORTH	DEWEY	OK	74029
MIDWESTERN SERVICES INC	1913 7TH ST	SNYDER	TX	79549
MILLER DRILLING COMPANY INC	107 HELTON DR	LAWRENCEBURG	TN	38464
MILLER THE DRILLER	5125 E UNIVERSITY	DES MOINES	IA	50317
MILLERS PRO CUT	6410 W 72ND TERR	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66204
MILLGARD CORPORATION THE	12822 STARK RD	LIVONIA	MI	48150
MILLPOINT INDUSTRIES INC	3010 A S ELM EUGENE ST	GREENSBORO	NC	27406
MILLS ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS	2535 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	TX	75229
MISSOURI VALLEY INC	4614 MCCARTY BLVD	AMARILLO	TX	79110
MODERN PIPING INC	P O BOX 128	CEDAR RAPIDS	IA	52406
MOORHEAD ELECTRIC INC	2419 12TH AVE S	MOORHEAD	MN	56560
MORNINGSTAR CONSTRUCTION CO	8751 GODDARD	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66214
MOSLEY ELECTRIC INC	POST OFFICE BOX 789	QUINCY	IL	62301
MOUNTAIN MECHANICAL CONTRACTORS INC	2210 S SCHOOL	FAYETTEVILLE	AR	72701
MOWERY BACKHOE & TRENCHER SERVICE	25374 TONGANOXIE RD	LEAVENWORTH	KS	66048
MULTIPLE CONCRETE ENTERPRISES	1680 W 1000 N	LAYTON	UT	84041
MUNICIPAL PIPE TOOL COMPANY INC	515 5TH ST	HUDSON	IA	50643
MURPHY & SONS ROOFING	1010 NORTH 54TH ST	KANSAS CITY	KS	66102
MUSE EXCAVATION & CONSTRUCTION CO	504 S 8TH ST	ELWOOD	KS	66024
MUSTANG LINE CONTRACTORS INC	9105 N DIVISION ST STE A	SPOKANE	WA	99218
MW BUILDERS OF KANSAS INC	11100 ASH ST STE 210	LEAWOOD	KS	66211
NATGUN CORP	11 TEAL RD	WAKEFIELD	MA	01880
NATIONAL ABATEMENT CORPORATION	3080 N CENTER RD	FLINT	MI	48519
NATIONAL COATING & MFG INC	ROUTE 5 BOX 285	ADA	OK	74820
NATIONAL CONDUCTOR	4146 HWY 371 NORTH	BRAINERD	MN	56401

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION SERVICES INC	520 LANCASTER AVE	FRAZER	PA	19355
NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL MAINTENANCE SER	121 EDWARDS DR	JACKSON	TN	38302
NATIONAL SERVICE CLEANING CORP	3575 W 12TH ST	HOUSTON	TX	77008
NATIONAL STEEL ERECTORS	PO BOX 709	MUSKOGEE	OK	74402
NEBCO STEEL ERECTORS INC	2001 A ADAMS ST	GRANITE CITY	IL	62040
NEBRASKA MIDWEST CONSTRUCTION COMPA	406 N 22ND ST	NEBRASKA CITY	NE	68410
NELSON INDUSTRIAL SERVICES INC	6021 MELROSE LN	OKLAHOMA CITY	OK	73127
NEW DIMENSION INC	631 E BIG BEAVER #109	TROY	MI	48083
NEWTRON INC	8183 W EL CAJON DR	BATON ROUGE	LA	70815
NHC CONSTRUCTION LLC	5960 DEARBORN STE 15	MISSION	KS	66202
NO FAULT INDUSTRIES INC	11325 PENNYWOOD AVE	BATON ROUGE	LA	70809
NORMENT SECURITY GROUP INC	3224 MOBILE HWY	MONTGOMERY	AL	36108
NORTH CENTRAL BUILDERS INC	321 N BROADWAY	HARTINGTON	NE	68739
NORTH COAST 88 INC	170 EAST MAIN ST	NORWALK	OH	44857
NORTH MISSISSIPPI CONVEYOR COMPANY INC	HWY 7S LAFAYETTE CO RD370	OXFORD	MS	38655
NORTHERN CLEARING INC	1805 W MAIN ST	ASHLAND	WI	54806
NORTHLAND CONTRACTING INC	HIGHWAY 2 EAST	SHEVLIN	MN	56676
NORTHWEST ENERGY SYSTEMS INC	315 S GREGG ST	FAYETTEVILLE	AR	72701
NUTRIJECT SYSTEMS INC	515 5TH ST	HUDSON	IA	50643
O & M SERVICES INC	207 E MAIN ST	FAIRFIELD	IL	62837
ODONNELL & SONS CONSTRUCTION CO INC	15301 BROADMOOR ST	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66223
OFALLON ELECTRIC COMPANY	P O BOX 488	OFALLON	IL	62269
OIL FIELD ELECTRIC	P O BOX 247	WEST FRANKFORT	IL	62896
OMNITECH ROBOTICS INC	2640 S RARITAN CIR	ENGLEWOOD	CO	80110
ONEAL ELECTRIC SERVICE INC	3073 MERRIAM LN	KANSAS CITY	KS	66106
P & P CONSTRUCTION CO	1132 E LINCOLN ST	RIVERTON	IL	62561
PAGE AND WAITE INC	7501 S MEMORIAL PKWY #205	HUNTSVILLE	AL	35802
PARADISE FIBERGLASS POOLS INC	3115 N ILL AVE	SWANSEA	IL	62226
PARKWAY CONSTRUCTION & ASSOCIATES I	1660 S STEMMONS #340	LEWISVILLE	TX	75067
PAVEMENT SPECIALISTS INC	15 238 CO RD M1	NAPOLEON	OH	43545
PEOPLE & MACHINES CORP	2468 33RD AVE	COLUMBUS	NE	68601
PERINI CORPORATION	73 MT WAYTE AVENUE	FRAMINGHAM	MA	01701
PERMANENT PAVING INC	8900 INDIAN CREEK PKWY	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66210
PETERSON CONSTRUCTION	1929 W 2ND ST	WEBSTER CITY	IA	50595
PETERSON CONTRACTORS INC	104 BLACKHAWK ST	REINBECK	IA	50669
PHILLIPS & JORDAN INC	6621 WILBANKS RD	KNOXVILLE	TN	37912
PINNACLE CONSTRUCTION INC	203 N CHESTNUT ST	GLENWOOD	IA	51534
PIONEER GROUP INC	8600 JUNIPER LANE	PRAIRIE VILLAGE	KS	66207
PIPING COMPANIES INC	1520 S 129TH W AVE	SAND SPRINGS	OK	74063
PITTSBURG TANK & TOWER CO INC	515 PENNEL ST	HENDERSON	KY	42420
PIZZAGALLI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	50 JOY DR	S BURLINGTON	VT	05407
PLASTOCOR INC	25 INDUSTRIAL PARK RD	HINGHAM	MA	02043

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
PLOWMAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY INC	8249 W 95TH ST STE 105	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66212
PNEUMATIC SYSTEMS INSTALLATION INC	11213 RILEY	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66210
POULTRY BUILDINGS INC	235 SOUTH 40TH	SPRINGDALE	AR	72765
PRECAST ERECTORS INC	13400 TRINITY BLVD	EULESS	TX	75040
PRECISION CASEWORK & TRIM INC	816 SE 83RD ST	OKLAHOMA CITY	OK	73149
PRO QUIP CORPORATION	8522 E 61ST ST	TULSA	OK	74133
PROFORMANCE ELECTRIC INC	11201 W 59TH TER	SHAWNEE	KS	66203
PROGRESSIVE CONTRACTORS INC	14123 42ND ST NE	ST MICHAEL	MN	55376
PSIDB INC	W232 S7530 BIG BEND DR	BIG BEND	WI	53103
PULTE HOMES OF GREATER KANSAS CITY	8700 STATE LINE RD #309	LEAWOOD	KS	66206
PYRAMID CONTRACTORS INC	891 W IRONWOOD RD	OLATHE	KS	66061
QUALITY AWNING & CONSTRUCTION CO	7937 SCHAEFER RD	DEARBORN	MI	48126
R & R ELECTRIC INC	HWY 75 N PO BOX 181	BRECKENRIDGE	MN	56520
R IZOKAITIS CONSTRUCTION INC	14817 GRANT ST	OMAHA	NE	68116
R MESSNER CONSTRUCTION CO INC	3595 N WEBB RD #500	WICHITA	KS	67226
R N HARRIS CONSTRUCTION CO	3200 HASKELL AVE STE 140	LAWRENCE	KS	66046
RANGER PLANT CONSTRUCTIONAL CO INC	5851 E US HIGHWAY 80	ABILENE	TX	79601
RCS CONSTRUCTION INC	197 OLD ST LOUIS RD	WOOD RIVER	IL	62095
RD OLSON CONSTRUCTION A CA LP	2955 MAIN ST 3RD FLR	IRVINE	CA	92614
RDC MANUFACTURING INC	200 LUKKEN INDUSTRIAL DR	LA GRANGE	GA	30240
REASONS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY INC	3825 EAST END DR	HUMBOLDT	TN	38343
RECLAMATION ASSOCIATES INC	105 S MAIN	WALNUT	KS	66780
REDNOUR STEEL ERECTORS INC	HWY 150	CUTLER	IL	62238
RENIER CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION	2164 CITY GATE DRIVE	COLUMBUS	OH	43219
RENTENBACH CONSTRUCTORS INC	2400 SUTHERLAND AVE	KNOXVILLE	TN	37919
RESERV CONSTRUCTION CO INC	7101 SHARONDALE CT #200	BRENTWOOD	TN	37027
RETAIL CONSTRUCTION SERVICES INC	11343 39TH ST N	ST PAUL	MN	55042
RETAIL STOREFRONT GROUP INC	419 MIAMI AVE	LEEDS	AL	35094
REVENUE SOLUTIONS INC	752 WASHINGTON ST	PEMBROKE	MA	02359
RFB CONSTRUCTION CO INC	3222 NW 160 HWY	CHEROKEE	KS	66724
RICHARD GOETTLE INC	12071 HAMILTON AVE	CINCINNATI	OH	45231
RICHARDSON CORPORATION	WATER PLANT RD	OWINGSVILLE	KY	40360
RJ PITCHER INC	4575 BUCKLEY RD	LIVERPOOL	NY	13088
RMP INC	PO BOX 16141	SHAWNEE	KS	66203
ROBERT W BRITZ PAINTING COMPANY INC	14272 FRAZEE RD	DIVERNON	IL	62530
ROBERTSON PAINTING INC	3116 S ARROWHEAD CT	INDEPENDENCE	MO	64057
ROD BUSTERS INC	624 S MISSOURI ST STE 100	INDIANAPOLIS	IN	46225
ROMAN MOSAIC & TILE CO	1105 SAUNDERS CR	WEST CHESTER	PA	19380
ROSE LAN CONTRACTORS INC	952 OSAGE	KANSAS CITY	KS	66105
ROYAL ELECTRIC CONSTRUCTION INC	7905 MONTICELLO RD	SHAWNEE MISSION	KS	66203
ROYALTY ELECTRIC	1031 VERMONT ST	QUINCY	IL	62301
RP INDUSTRIES INC	105 REYNOLDS DR	FRANKLIN	TN	37064

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
RUSSELL CONSTRUCTION CO	3032 A NORTH FRAZIER ST	CONROE	TX	77303
RUSSIAN CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION	1133 S 205TH	PITTSBURG	KS	66762
RYAN FLOORS INC	305 CARL STREET	ROCKVILLE	MD	20851
SAGEZ CONSTRUCTION INC	HC61 BOX 17	HARDIN	IL	62047
SCI GENERAL CONTRACTORS INC	4530 BARKSDALE BLVD STE C	BOSSIER CITY	LA	71112
SERRAULT SERVICES OF KANSAS INC	7625 LAKESIDE AVE	MANHATTEN	KS	66502
SERVICEMAGIC INC	1626 COLE BLVD #200	GOLDEN	CO	80401
SERVICEMASTER DESIGN BUILD LLC	8615 FREEPORT PKWY 5-100	IRVING	TX	75063
SGT LTD I	3407 TORREY RD	FLINT	MI	48507
SHAW CONTRACT FLOORING SERVICES INC	616 E WALNUT AVE	DALTON	GA	30722
SHILOH STEEL FABRICATORS INC	200 EAST HWY 264	SPRINGDALE	AR	72764
SIERRA BRAVO INC	7038 STATE HWY 154	SESSER	IL	62884
SIMBECK & ASSOCIATES INC	38256 HWY 160	MANCOS	CO	81328
SKYLIGHT MANUFACTURING INC	1208 ALDINE MAIL RD	HOUSTON	TX	77039
SNELL NORTHCUTT ELECTRIC INC	P O BOX 24601	LITTLE ROCK	AR	72221
SOONER BUILDERS & INVESTMENTS INC	26005 E ADMIRAL	CATOOSA	OK	74015
SOPTIC PANNELL CONSTRUCTION CO INC	2038 S 49TH ST	KANSAS CITY	KS	66106
SOUTHERN ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC	445 METROPLEX DR	NASHVILLE	TN	37211
SPARKS & WIEWEL CONSTRUCTION CO	6200 BROADWAY	QUINCY	IL	62301
SPARROW PLUMBING & HEATING INC	313 DELAWARE	QUINCY	IL	62301
SPECIALTY CONSTRUCTORS INC	2445 ALAMO STREET SE	ALBUQUERQUE	NM	87106
SPINIELLO LIMITED INC	35 AIRPORT RD	MORRISTOWN	NJ	07962
SPORTS METALS INC	P O BOX 1338	PHENIX CITY	AL	36868
STAR CONTRACTING SERVICE INC	11245 S PENROSE	OLATHE	KS	66061
STELLAR GROUP INC	2900 HARTLEY RD	JACKSONVILLE	FL	32257
STEVENS ELECTRIC OF QUINCY INC	526 S 9TH ST	QUINCY	IL	62306
STORY CONSTRUCTION CO	300 S BELL AVE	AMES	IA	50010
STRATEGIC INFORMATION SOLUTIONS	20 N CLARK ST STE 1650	CHICAGO	IL	60602
STRAUB CONSTRUCTION CO INC	10575 WIDMER	LENEXA	KS	66215
STRUDEL ELECTRIC INC	1375 W WALNUT ST	GIRARD	KS	66743
STUEVE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	2201 E OAK ST	ALGONA	IA	50511
SUNBELT INSULATION CO INC	P O BOX 381491	BIRMINGHAM	AL	35238
SUNCON INC	#2 TERMINAL DR STE 17A	EAST ALTON	IL	62002
SUNLAND CONSTRUCTION INC	HWY 13 SOUTH	EUNICE	LA	70535
SUPERIOR CONCRETE PRODUCTS	P O BOX 201625	ARLINGTON	TX	76006
SUPERIOR FLOORS INC	3225 N PROSPECT RD	PEORIA	IL	61603
SURFACE PREPARATION TECHNOLOGIES INC	81 TEXACO ROAD	MECHANICSBURG	PA	17055
SW FRANKS CONSTRUCTION CO	2070 WEST 3RD ST	CLEVELAND	OH	44113
SW HUFFMAN CONSTRUCTION INC	PO BOX 99	OTTUMWA	IA	52501
SYLVAN INDUSTRIAL PIPING INC	815 AUBURN AVE	PONTIAC	MI	48342
SYRSTONE INC	201 S MAIN ST	NORTH SYRACUSE	NY	13212
T SQUARE MILLWRIGHT SERVICES INC	BOX 519	N WEBSTER	IN	46555

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
TAFT CONTRACTING CO INC	5525 W ROOSEVELT	CICERO	IL	60804
TANCO ENGINEERING INCORPORATED	1030 BOSTON AVE	LONGMONT	CO	80501
TECH BUILDERS INC	410 DOWNTOWN PLZ	FAIRMONT	MN	56031
TEXAS STONE & TILE INC	2683 LOMBARDY LN	DALLAS	TX	75220
THIEMS CONSTRUCTION CO INC	P O BOX 386	EDWARDSVILLE	IL	62025
THOMAS L BEAR CONSTRUCTION INC	14758 202ND ST	BLOOMFIELD	IA	52537
TIC THE INDUSTRIAL COMPANY	40185 ROUTT COUNTY RD 129	STEAMBOAT SPRGS	CO	80477
TITAN CONTRACTING & LEASING CO INC	2205 RAGU DRIVE	OWENSBORO	KY	42302
TMI COATINGS INC	2805 DODD RD	EAGAN	MN	55121
TNT CONSTRUCTION CO INC	144 EASY ST	CAROL STREAM	IL	60188
TOAN INC	5320 SPEAKER ROAD	KANSAS CITY	KS	66106
TONTO CONSTRUCTION INC	HWY 16 W 78TH ST	MUSKOGEE	OK	74401
TRAYLOR BROS INC	835 N CONGRESS AVE	EVANSVILLE	IN	47715
TRI STATE BUILDING SUPPLY CO INC	N HWY 69	PITTSBURG	KS	66762
TRI STATE PAVING INC	STATE LINE RD	PICHER	OK	74360
TRI STATE SIGNING	509 BAILEY AVE	NEW HAMPTON	IA	50659
TRIGON ENGINEERING INC	475 17TH ST STE 300	DENVER	CO	80202
TSC OF KANSAS INC	2200 W 75TH ST STE 15	PRAIRIE VILLAGE	KS	66208
TULSA DYNASpan INC	1601 E HOUSTON ST	BROKEN ARROW	OK	74012
TWEEDY CONTRACTORS INC	CORNER OF PYBURN & HOELSC	POCAHONTAS	AR	72455
TWIN CITY POOLS INC	948 KANSAS AVE	KANSAS CITY	KS	66105
UNITED CONTRACTORS INC	6678 NW 62ND AVE	JOHNSTON	IA	50131
UNITED EXCEL CORPORATION	8041 W 47 ST STE 100	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66204
UNIVERSAL CONTRACTING CO	1207 LUCAS	BURLINGTON	IA	52601
UNIVERSAL MACHINERY ERECTORS INC	3106 CLAY TURNER RD	PLANT CITY	FL	33566
VAUGHN ELECTRIC CO INC	313 E FLORIDA AVE	UNION CITY	TN	38261
VERSATILE INSTALLATIONS INC	10065 S ANDERSON DR	CHGO RIDGE	IL	60415
VFP FIRE SYSTEMS INC	825 CORPORATE WOODS PKWY	VERNON HILLS	IL	60061
VISTA CONSTRUCTION INC	2526 EAST 71ST ST STE E	TULSA	OK	74136
VISU SEWER CLEAN & SEAL INC	W230 N4855 BETKER RD	PEWAUKEE	WI	53072
VOLTEK INC	8807 W 89TH ST	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66212
VON ALST INC	2416 SMELTING WORKS RD	BELLEVILLE	IL	62226
VON ROLL INC	3080 NORTHWOODS CIR STE 2	NORCROSS	GA	30071
W G YATES & SONS CONSTRUCTION COMPA	104 GULLY AVENUE	PHILADELPHIA	MS	39350
WALKER CONSTRUCTION CO INC	HWY 50 TO KAHOLA LAKE RD	EMPORIA	KS	66801
WALT WAGNER CONSTRUCTION INC	305 S 5TH ST	LEAVENWORTH	KS	66048
WALTER CONSTRUCTION USA INC	441 SW 41ST ST	RENTON	WA	98055
WASATCH ELECTRIC A DIVISION OF DYNA	1420 SPRING HILL RD SE500	MCLEAN	VA	22102
WEATHERCRAFT COMPANY OF GRAND ISLAND	312 NORTH ELM STREET	GRAND ISLAND	NE	68801
WEBB ELECTRIC COMPANY	34375 W 12 MILE RD	FARMINGTON HILL	MI	48331
WEBER AIR CONDITIONING CO INC	2501 CONE DR	TARRANT	AL	35217
WEITZ COMPANY INC	800 SECOND AVE	DES MOINES	IA	50309

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>
WELLS & WEST INC	VALLEY VILLAGE SHOPPING C	MURPHY	NC	28906
WELSH COMPANIES	8200 NORMANDALE BLVD #200	MINNEAPOLIS	MN	55437
WESSELS CONSTRUCTION CO INC	1800 DES PLAINES AVE	FOREST PARK	IL	61030
WEST SIDE MECHANICAL INC	P O BOX 11247	KANSAS CITY	KS	66111
WESTERN INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTORS INC	5301 JOLIET ST	DENVER	CO	80239
WHITE MOUNTAIN CABLE CONSTRUCTION C	OLD DOVER RD	EPSOM	NH	03234
WHITING TURNER CONTRACTING CO THE	300 E JOPPA RD	BALTIMORE	MD	21286
WIEMELT PLUMBING & EXCAVATING INC	2709 PARKER DR	QUINCY	IL	62301
WILLIAMS ELECTRIC CO INC	695 DENTON BLVD	FORT WALTON BEA	FL	32547
WITCHER CONSTRUCTION CO	9855 W 78TH ST	MINNEAPOLIS	MN	55344
WOODS CONSTRUCTION INC	34650 KLEIN	FRASER	MI	48026
WR NEWMAN & ASSOCIATES INC	2854 LOGAN ST	NASHVILLE	TN	37211
YAZAKI EDS ENGINEERING INC	6800 HAGGERTY RD	CANTON	MI	48187
YORK CONTRACTORS INC	21025 W 105TH ST	OLATHE	KS	66061
YOUNG INSULATION GROUP OF NASHVILLE INC	7119 COCKRILL BEND IND BL	NASHVILLE	TN	37209
ZIMMERMAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY INC	11005 W 126TH ST	OVERLAND PARK	KS	66213

Updated: 4/18/2001 2:27:27 PM