

St. Louis Circuit Court

Case Files - Civil

October Term 1866, No. 2668

Box 116

Folder 2

11 images

Rose, Celina S.

v.

Rose, Noble S.

Divorce

Plaintiff by next friend Doctor R. Appleberry;
married October 18, 1853 at Louisiana, Missouri;
plaintiff alleged desertion, lack of support, requested
custody of 3 children Del W., William C.,
Marcellus; publication included.

Plaintiff attorney: Shreve, Luther M.;
Sanders, Rufus K.

COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS, —ss.

The State of Missouri,

To the Sheriff of St. Louis County, GREETING:

We command you to Summon

to appear before the Judges of our Circuit Court on the First day of the next Term thereof to be held in the City of St. Louis, within and for the County of St. Louis, on the First Monday of next then and there to answer the complaint of

as set forth in the annexed petition: and have you then and there this writ

Witness, FRANK A. H. SCHNEIDER, Clerk of our said Court, with the seal thereof hereto affixed, at office, in the City of Saint Louis; this day of in the year of our Lord, eighteen hundred and sixty

Clerk.

I acknowledge myself bound for all costs that may accrue in the case of against

Witness my hand and seal at St. Louis, this day of 186

Seal

3

0-2868-



Circuit Court of St. Louis County.

October Term, 1866

Celina S. Cross by
her next friend, Doctor
A. C. Phelps, Compt.

vs.
John P. O'Connor

Plf. & Def.

Wm. H. Duncan

Sheweth that the said
John P. O'Connor

doth claim to be the
owner of the said
property

Security for Costs.

Wm. H. Duncan
\$3.00
Paid.

16 9.10
16 10.00
16 11.00
16 12.00
16 13.00
16 14.00
16 15.00
16 16.00
16 17.00
16 18.00
16 19.00
16 20.00
16 21.00
16 22.00
16 23.00
16 24.00
16 25.00
16 26.00
16 27.00
16 28.00
16 29.00
16 30.00

July 11th 1866. Pet. Haff filed and Order of Put in Replevin 1866
Oct 8th 66. Proof of Pet filed 34p 2164. Oct 24th 66 default
reg ord 34p 225. Jan 14th 67. Decree divorce granted pff with
custody of children 34p 517

Celina, S. Rose by
her next friend
Doctor R. Appleberry } St. Louis
vs
Robt S. Rose deft } Cir Court

Plaintiff by her next friend
states that she was married to the
defendant on the 18th day of October
AD 1853 at Louisiana Missouri
from which time up to the
month of April 1864 she & the
defendant lived together as husband
and wife; and that during this
time she did and performed all the
duties of a true & faithful wife.

That in March ~~1864~~ the defendant
left the state of Missouri & has
never returned. Therefore plaintiff
says that defendant has absented
himself from the plaintiff for the
space of over two years
without a reasonable cause.

That defendant for years before he
went away utterly failed to furnish
support for his family & that since
he left has not furnished one
Cent towards the maintenance of

Plaintiff or her Children
Plaintiff states that she is
an innocent & injured woman
that there was born as the
issue of said marriage three
children all boys - the oldest named
Del H Rose is eleven years old - the
second one William C is five years
old & the youngest Marcellus is three
years old For the reasons above
stated plaintiff asks that the
bonds of matrimony between her
and the defendant be dissolved &
that she be granted a decree of
divorce from defendant & also that
she be decreed that care and
custody of her said children &
for such other relief as she
may be entitled to

Shriner & Sanders
attys for Plff

Plaintiff upon her oath states
that the above petition & matters
as therein stated are true according
to the best knowledge & belief of the
plaintiff That the Complaint is
not made out of levity or by

Collusion fear or restraint between
Plaintiff & defendant for the
mere purpose of being separate
from each other but in sincerity
& truth for the causes mentioned in
said petition Plaintiff further
states that the defendant is not
a resident of nor residing in
the state of Missouri

Sworn to before me at the City of Rose.
Sept 21 1866
Witness my hand & Seal of Office
J. M. McDonald Clerk

278.
Alford

Car Court

Delia & Paul

21

Mable & Paul
Car & Paul

Paul & Paul
Paul & Paul
Paul & Paul

Paul & Paul

Paul & Paul

376
Paul & Paul
Paul & Paul

STATE OF MISSOURI, }
 COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS.

Personally appeared before the undersigned, a Notary Public, within and for the County of St. Louis, **GEORGE KNAPP**, who, being duly sworn, deposeth and saith that the annexed advertisement was published in the "**Missouri Republican**," a newspaper printed and published in the City and County of St. Louis, State of Missouri, of which he is printer, for *Five* times, the first insertion being on the *Twenty seventh* day of *July* 186*6* and the last insertion on the *Twenty Fourth* day of *August* 186*6*, as follows:

IN NO. 178 July 1866
 184 Aug 1866
 191 196 201 204

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this

George Knapp
Twenty Fourth day of *August* 186*6*

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my Notarial seal, at office in St. Louis, the day and year last above written.

Printer's Fee,

Affidavit,

\$ 12,05

[Signature]

Notary Public, St. Louis County, Mo.

IN THE ST. LOUIS CIRCUIT COURT
 June term, 1866. Wednesday July 11th, 1866.
 Celine Brock by her next friend Doctor H. Appel
 vs.
 Charles Brock her husband.
 Now, in this case, comes the plaintiff and files a
 petition and affidavit from which it appears that the de-
 fendant is a non-resident of this State; wherefore, on
 motion of said plaintiff by attorney it is ordered, that the
 defendant, be notified, that, a civil action, hisobars
 commenced against him, the object and general nature
 of which is to obtain a decree of divorce from the bonds
 of matrimony existing between plaintiff and said
 defendant, on the ground of desertion, and unless he
 appears at the next term of this Court, to be begun and
 held at the City of St. Louis, within and for the County
 of St. Louis, on the *First Monday of October* 1866.
 F. A. H. SCHEIDER, Clerk.
 Shreve & Sanders, Dist. Attys.

Shore - 10/10/18
10/18

0-2-1018

1/10/18 60

1/10/18 60

69

ed, the door but had to close it again, the
as so bad, went around to the back yard
r the rats, leaving with great precipitation
for suggested that a candle be lowered down
they to test the foulness of the air but rather
was opened. Soldiers in the neighborhood
immense business firms for a day and
s. Blanket belts had remained at home
with a good deal of water in the
back. Arrival of the soldiers and
for keeping a nuisance on the premises
tion made and we are paroled until the moun-
poised. Burnt some pitch on the front door-
d were then enabled to get to throw up the
s. - Who! Neighbors said they preferred
pra.
infectants nearly abolished now and fami-
again enjoying their usual health. They
don't wish to be disinfectant any more
- don't want you to stay here
WASHINGTON correspondent of the New
imes, refers as follows to some of the
ent actors in the Philadelphia Conventions
- don't want you to stay here
was another personal element that

no 1
no 2668

W. S. Rose by }
next friend }
no }
W. S. Rose }

Plff asks Judge to defend

By J

John W. Sanders
Attorney for Plff

0-2668

Pose
no
Pose

(no for judge
by default

[Faint handwritten notes and scribbles on lined paper, including a large bracket on the left side and various illegible markings.]

Rose
vs
Rose } Decree granted with
Care & Custody of Children
Shrene & Sanders
for Plff