25/6

Jan. 1844 #1376

John B. Camden &
Peter G. Camden
vs.
Jacob Snowden et.al
Carroll County

(1)

State of Missouri }
County of Carroll } Carroll Circuit Court October Term 1841

To the Carroll Circuit Court John B Camden And Peter G Camden Plaintiffs State that they are the Legal Owners of a note against the defendants James W Freeman and Jacob Snowden to the following Effect —

$744.34 One day after date we promise to pay to the Order of John B Camden and Peter G Camden Surviving Partners of J B & P. Camden & Co which firm was composed of John B Camden Marbel Camden & Peter G Camden Seven Hundred forty four dollars Thirty four cents for value received to bear ten per cent Inst per annum after due until paid September 2d 1841

James W Freeman
Jacob Snowden

Yet the debt remains unpaid therefor they demand Judgement for their debt and damages for the detention thereof together with costs

R D Wray atty for Plaintiff

State of Missouri } Set
County of Carroll } The State of Missouri

To the Sheriff of Carroll County Greeting

You are hereby Commanded to Summons James W Freeman and Jacob Snowden of said County of Carroll that all Excuses and delays Set aside

they and Each of them personally be and appear before The Hon^e Judge of our Carroll Circuit Court at the Court House in Carrollton in Said County of Carroll on the first Monday after the fourth Monday in September 1841) it being the 4th day of October 1841) Then and there to answer the Complaint of John B Camden and Peter G Camden Surviving partners of J B & W Camden &c In an action of debt by petition in debt and hereof they are not to fail under the legal penalty thereof and hereof to our Said Carroll Circuit Court how you Execute this Writ—

Witness Joseph Dickson Clerk of our Said Carroll Circuit Court at office with his Private Seal affixed there being no official Seal yet procured this 4th day of September in the year 1841 Joseph Dickson cl^k

I James Foster Sheriff of Carroll County do hereby certify That I Read this petition and Summons to Jacob Snowden and James W Sherman in Carroll County on the 4th day of September 1841

James Foster Sheriff
Carroll County

Sheriffs Fee $2.00

J B Camden &c. ats Plaintiffs
vs. } Petition in debt
Sherman & Snowden Defts

Defendants aforesaid filed their Motion to Set aside

The writ issued in the cause
James W Freeman
Jacob Snowden
vs
J W B Camden et. al } The defendants in this cause moves the court to quash the writ and set it aside for irregularity— For that the writ does not agree with the petition in this. That the petition describes the plaintiffs simply as John B Camden & Peter G. Camden while the writ Commands the defendants to answer the action of John B Camden and Peter G Camden surviving partners of J B & P W Camden &c and for that it is otherwise irregular and Informal Jones for defendant

J B Camden et al pltfs
vs } petition in debt
Freeman & Snowden defts

The defts aforesaid by their attorney file their demurrer to said plaintiffs petition

James W Freeman
Jacob Snowden
vs
John B & Peter G Camden } And the said defendants by their attorney comes and defends the wrong & injury when &c. and say that the said petition and the matters therein contained in manner and form as the same are above stated and set forth are not sufficient in law for them the said plaintiffs to have or maintain their aforesaid action thereof against the

Said defendants. and they the said defendants are not bound by law to answer the same— and this he is ready to verify Wherefor by reason of the insufficiency of the said petition in this behalf the said defendants pray Judgment and that the said plaintiffs may be barred from having or maintaining their aforesaid action thereof against them &c— and the said defendants according to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided. State and show to the Court here the following Cause of demurrer to the said Petition. That is to say that the instrument Sued upon shows that it was given to the plaintiffs as Surviving partners of the firm of J B & W Camden &c. that they hold it as said Surviving partners and should have declared as such in their said petition That the record shows that they are Surviving partners and should have Sued as such. and not in their own right or name— And also that the said Petition is in other respects uncertain informal and insufficient

Jones for defts—

J B & Camden &c Plffs
vs. } Petition in debt
Freeman & Snowden defts

The Motion to quash the writ in this cause is by the Court here overruled

I B Camden &c Plaintiffs
vs. } Petition in debt
Sherman & Snowden defts

The plaintiffs aforesaid by their attorney file their Causes in demurrer demurr argued and by the Court here overruled

I B Camden et al
vs. } petition in debt
Sherman & Snowden } And the said plaintiffs saith that the said petition and the matters therein contained. in Manner And form as the same are stated and above set forth are sufficient in law for them the said plaintiffs to have and Maintain their aforesaid action thereof against the said defendants. and the said plaintiffs are ready to verify and prove the same as the Court here shall direct and award. Whereof no as much as the said defendants have not answered the said petition. nor hitherto in any Manner denied the same the said plaintiffs pray Judgment and their debt aforesaid together with their damages by them sustained on occasion of the detention thereof to be adjudged to them &c

R D Ray atty for Plffs

I B Camden &c plffs
vs. } petition in debt
I. W. Sherman & Jacob Snowden defts } And now at this day comes

The parties aforesaid by their respective attorneys and the Court renders Judgment on the demurrer against said defendants for the Sum of Seven Hundred and forty four Dollars and Thirty four Cents debt and Six dollars and Sixty three Cents damages in the way of Interest

It is therefore Considered by the Court here that the plaintiffs aforesaid recover of said defendant the debt and damages aforesaid as found and assessed by the Court together with his costs and charges by him in this suit in this behalf laid out and expended and that there be thereof Execution &c &c

I N Blamden &c pltfs
vs. } Petition in debt
I N Thurman & Jacob Snowden defts

The defendants aforesaid by their attorney filed their Motion in arrest of Judgment rendered in this cause which said Motion is by the Court here overruled to which opinion of the Court the defendants aforesaid by their attorney Excepts and files their bill of Exceptions which is Signed by the Court and filed as a part of the records in this Cause

I N Blamden &c / plentiffs.
vs. Petition in debt.
I N Thurman & Jacob Snowden defts }
The defendants aforesaid &

Truman & Snowden
vs
I B & Peter Hamden } The defendants in this cause moves the Court to arrest the Judgment of the Court upon the demurrer & defendants Motion — For that the Court Erred in Matters of Law in overuling the defendants Motion to Quash the Writ & Set it aside for Irregularity For that the Court erred in Matters of Law in overuling the defendants demurrer and giving Judgment against them upon it — And for that the Court erred in Matters of Law in giving its Judgments aforesaid —

Jones Atty for defts

I B Hamden vs plaintiff
vs } petition in debt
I W Truman Jacob Snowden defts

The defendants aforesaid by their Attorney filed their Motion for a new trial in this cause which Motion is by the Court overuled

Truman & Snowden
vs
I B & Peter Hamden } The defendants in this cause moves the Court to Set aside the Judgment of the Court and Grant a new Trial. For that the Court erred in overuling the defendants Motion to quash the Writ and Set it aside for irregularity. for that the Court erred in overuling and giving Judgment on the defendants demurrer and for that the Judgment of the Court was Contrary to law

Jones Atty for Defts

John B & P Camden & Co pltfs
vs. } Petition in debt
Jas W Sherman & Jacob Snowden defts } And Now at this day comes the defendants aforesaid by their Attorney and prays an Appeal to the Supreme Court in this Cause and Jacob Snowden one of said defendants having filed his affidavit as by law required—

John B & Peter B Camden
vs
Sherman & Snowden } Jacob Snowden one of the above named defendants Makes Oath and sweares that the appeal in the above cause is not Made of Vexation or delay but because this affiant believes that the appellants are aggrieved by the Judgment of the Court—

Jacob Snowden

Subscribed and Sworn and Subscribed in Open Court An the 7th day of October 1811. Joseph Dickson Clk

an appeal is granted and thereupon Comes Jas W Sherman and Jacob Snowden the defendants aforesaid with Bartly Pitts and Morgan P Ellis their Securities and acknowledged themselves to owe and be indebted to said plaintiffs in the sum of One thousand dollars each the said defendants that is to say they the said defendants in the sum of One thousand and they the said Morgan P Ellis and Bartly Pitts in the sum of five Hundred dollars Each to be levied of their respective

Costs and Costs Damages and Damants to the use of said plaintiffs to be Recovered but to be void upon this express condition that said appellants will prosecute their said appeal with due diligence to a decision in the Supreme Court and that if the Judgment appealed from is affirmed or their appeal dismissed they will pay whatsoever of the debt damages and costs have been recovered against them by the Judgment of the Circuit Court to gether with the Interest that shall grow due thereon and that they will otherwise perform the Judgment of the circuit Court and that they will also pay the costs and damages that may be recovered against them in the Supreme Court upon their appeal

John B & Peter G Camman
vs
Freeman & Snowden

Be it remembered that on the trial of the above cause the defendants filed the following Motion in arrest of Judgment

The defendants in this cause Move the Court to arrest the Judgment of the Court upon the demurrer & defts Motion—

For that the Court Erred in Matters of Law in overruling the defendants Motion to quash the writ & Set it aside for irregularity—

For that the court erred in Matters of law in overruling the defendants demurrer and giving Judgemnt again them upon it

And for that the court erred in Matters of Law in giving its Judgemnt aforesaid Jones atty for pltf Which Said Motion was overruled by the court to which opinion of the court the defendants Excepts the defendants also filed the following Motion to Sete aside the Judgemnt and to Grant a new trial

The defendants
In this cause Move the court to Set aside the Judgemnt of the court and Grant a new trial

For that the court erred in overruling the defts-endants Motion to quash the writ and Set it aside for irrigularity

For that the court erred in overruling and giving Judgemnt on the defendants demurrer and for that the ~~court erred~~ Judgemnt of the court was contrary to Law Jones Atty for Defts

Which Said Motion was overruled by the court to which opinion of the court the defendants Excepts and prays that this their Bill of Exceptions to all the above opinions of the court may be Signed and Sealed by the Said court and made a part of the Records in this cause which is done accordingly

Austin A King Pres

Clerks Fee for Transcript
Copying 200 words @ 1¢ 2.00
Certificate & Seal .50
$2.50

State of Missouri } ss
County of Carroll } I Henry K Miller clerk of the Circuit Court within and for the County of Carroll aforesaid do hereby Certify that the foregoing Transcript Commencing at Page 1 and Ending with Page 10 is a true and perfect Transcript of the Record and proceedings of the Suit in said Circuit Court of the County of Carroll aforesaid Wherein I.B. Camden &c is Plaintiffs and Harmon Snowden defendants

In testimony Whereof I Henry K Miller clerk as aforesaid have hereunto set my hand and affixed my private Seal at office this 29th day of October 1841

Henry K Miller clk

1842 (19)

J. B & P. G. Camden

vs

Transcript

J. Snowden & J. W. Freeman

Filed April 4th
1842 —
Wm Spratt Clerk
SC

(1)

State of Missouri }
County of Carroll } Carrol Circuit Court October Term 1841

To the Carroll Circuit court Edward McDaniel plaintiff States that he is ~~indebted~~ the legal owner of a Bond against the defendant Jacob Snowden to the following Effect— $283.35/100 For value rec^d fifteen Months after date I promise to pay to the order of E. McDaniel The Sum of Two Hundred & Eighty three dollars And thirty five cents as witness my hand and Seal this 18^th of March 1840—

Jacob Snowden Seal

Yet the debt remains unpaid therefore he demands Judgment for his debt and damages for the detention thereof Together with Costs R D Ray Atty for Plaintiff

State of Missouri } Set
County of Carrol } The State of Missouri to the Sheriff of Carroll County Greeting you are hereby Commanded to Summons Jacob Snowden of Said County of Carroll that all excuses and delays Set aside he ~~be~~ personally be- and appear before the Hon^r Judge of our Carroll Circuit at the Court House in Carrollton in said County of Carrol on the first Monday after the fourth Monday in September in the Year 1841 (It being the 4^th day of October 1841) Then and there to answer the Complaint of Edward McDaniel in an action of Debt by petition in debt and herof he is not to fail under the Pegue —

Penalty thereof and certify to our said Carroll Circuit Court how you Execute this Writ—

In Witness Joseph Dickson Clk of our said Carroll Circuit Court at office with his Private Seal affixed there being no official Seal yet procured this 4th day of September 1841 Joseph Dickson Clk

I James Trotter Sheriff of Carroll County do hereby certify that I read this petition and summons to Jacob Snowden in Carroll County on the 4th day of September 1841

James Trotter Sheriff of Carroll County

Sheriffs Fee $1.00

Edward McDaniel Plaintiff
vs. } Petition in Debt
Jacob Snowden deft

The defendant aforesaid by his attorney files his Special plea in this cause—

Edward McDaniel Plaintiff
vs. } Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden deft

The defendant aforesaid by his attorney filed his plea of No Consideration

(2)
(3)

Edward McDaniel plff
vs. Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden deft
defendant aforesaid by his attorney filed
his plea failure of Consideration

Edward McDaniel plff
vs. Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden deft—
The defendant aforesaid filed his plea Non
Est Factum
Jacob Snowden
ats
Edward McDaniel And the said defendant by his attorney
Comes and defends the wrong and injury when &c and
Says that the said supposed Bond is not his deed and
of this he puts himself upon the Country &c
Jones for defts

Edward McDaniel plff
vs Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden deft
The plea of No Consideration filed in this cause
is by the Court here overruled
Edward McDaniel Plff
vs. Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden deft The plea of failure of Consideration
Filed in this cause is by the Court here overruled

Edward McDaniel plff
vs. } Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden defft

The plea non est factum filed in this cause is by the court here overruled —

Edward McDaniel plff
vs. } Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden defft

The special plea filed in this cause is by the Court here overruled —

Edward McDaniel plff
vs. } Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden defft

The defendant aforesaid by his attorney withdraws the three special pleas filed in this cause

Edward McDaniel plff
vs. } Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden defft

The defendant aforesaid by his attorney filed plea of Arand Generally in this cause

Jacob Snowden
atts }
Edward McDaniel }

And the said defendant by his attorney comes and defends the wrong and injury when &c—

and Says that the said plaintiff ought not to have or Maintain his aforesaid action thereof against him the said defendant — Because he says that the said Bond in the said petition mentioned was obtained from the said defendant by the said plaintiff by fraud. Covin and Misrepresentations. So doth he the County of Carroll aforesaid. Wherefore he the said defendant Said that the said bond in the said petition Mentioned is void in law and this he the said defendant is Ready to verify. Wherefore he prays Judgment if he ought to be charged with the said debt by virtue of the said Bond &c. Jones for defts

Edward McDaniel Plff
vs
Jacob Snowden deft
Petition in debt

The defendant aforesaid by his attorney files his Motion to dismiss the Suit —

Edward McDaniel
vs
Jacob Snowden

The defendant in this cause moves the Court to dismiss the Suit — For that the plaintiff is a non resident of the State of Missouri. and there has been and is no Bond filed for Security of Costs

For that the Statute requires that before a Suit is instituted — by an Non Resident — that he Should file a bond

(6)

with Security for costs and this the plentiff has wholly failed to do — Jones for defts

Edward McDaniel pltff
vs. Z Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden deft

And now at this day comes the plentiff aforesaid by his attorney and files his Replication to defendants plea of general fraud and deceit —

Edward McDaniel
vs. Z Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden deft

And the said plentiff as to the said plea of the said defendant by him (Secondly) above pleaded — saith that the said plentiff by reason of any thing by the said defendant in that plea alleged ought not to be barred from having and maintaining his aforesaid action thereof against the said defendant because he says that the said Bond in the said petition mentioned was obtained fairly and honestly by the said plentiff and was not obtained from the said defendant by the said plentiff by fraud Covin or misrepresentation in manner and form as the said defendant hath in his said plea by him (Secondly) above pleaded alledged — and this the

(7)

Said plaintiff prays May be ingraved of by the County &c
Edward McDaniel Pltf R D Ray attorny for Pltf
vs. } Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden Defts

The Motion filed by defendant attorney to Rule plaintiff aforesaid to give Security for costs taken up and before disposing the same R D Ray an attorny of the court by Especial leave of the court filed a bond for the costs and said Motion is overuled and neither party by-airing a Jury the cause is submitted to the court Setting as a Jury Whereupon the court doth find the issues for said plaintiff and finds the debt to be Two Hundred and Eighty Three dollars & Thirty five cents and the damages by way of Interest to be the Sum of Four dollars—

It is therefore considered by the court here that the plaintiff aforesaid Recover of said defendants the debt and damages aforesaid in from aforesaid as found and assessed by the court to gether with his costs and charges by him in this suit in this behalf laid out and expended and that there be Execution &c &c

Know all men by these presents that I Robt D Ray of the county of Carroll and State of Missouri do hereby acknowledge myself bound unto Jacob Snowden to and for the use of persons concerned or interested herein bound to pay all costs which have or hereafter may accrue in the case of Edward McDaniel against said

Jacob Snowden by petition in debt in Carroll Circuit Court now pending - Given under my hand and seal this Seventh day of October AD 1841 -

R.S. Ray Clk

Edwd M^c^Daniel Plff
vs. } Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden deft

And now at this day comes the defendant aforesaid by his attorney and files his Motion in arrest of Judgment in this cause, and for a new Trial. Which said Motion are by the Court here overruled and thereupon said defendant files his affidavit for an appeal in this cause which is granted -

Edwd M^c^Daniel }
vs }
Jacob Snowden } The defendant in the above cause moves the Court to arrest the Judgment for that the Court Erred in overruling the defendants Motion to dismiss the Suit and for that the Court erred in forcing defendants Council in to Trial

Jones for deft

Edwd M^c^Daniel }
vs }
Jacob Snowden } The defendant in the above cause moves the Court to Set aside the Judgment of the Court and grant a new trial - For that the Court Erred in overruling the defendants Motion to dismiss the Suit

(5) (9)

And for that the court Erred in forcing the defendants Into Trial

Jones for defts

Edward McDaniel
vs
Jacob Snowden

Jacob Snowden the above named defendant Makes oath that the appeal in the above Cause is not Made of Vexation or delay but because this affiant believes that he is aggrieved by the Judgment of the court

Jacob Snowden

Subscribed and Sworn in Open court on the Seventh day of Oct 1841

Joseph Dickson Clk

And thereupon comes Jacob Snowden the defendant aforesaid with Morgan P. Ellis as his Security and Acknowledged themselves to owe and be indebted to said Plaintiff in the Sum of three Hundred dollars Each to be Levied of their respective goods and Chattels Lands and Tenements to the use of said plaintiff to be Rendered. Which to be void upon this express condition that said appellant will prosecute his said appeal with due diligence to a decision in the Supreme Court and that if the Judgment appealed from is affirmed or his appeal dismissed he will pay whatever of the debt damages and Costs has been Recovered against him by the Judgment of ~~said~~ the Circuit Courts together with the

Interest that Shall grow due thereon and that he will otherwise perform the Judgment of the Circuit Court and that he will also pay the costs and damages that may be adjudged him in the Supreme Court upon his appeal

Edward McDaniel Plff
vs Petition in debt
Jacob Snowden defdt

And now at this day comes the defendant aforesaid by his attorney and files his bill of Exceptions in this cause to the opinion of the Court in overruling his Motion in arrest of Judgment and new trial which said bill of Exceptions is by the Court here signed and Sealed and made a part of the Record in this cause

Edward McDaniel
vs
Jacob Snowden

Be it remembered that on this Trial of the above Cause the defendant filed the following Motion to dismiss the plaintiffs Suit

The defendant in the above Cause moves the Court to set aside the Judgment of the Court and Grant a new Trial — For that the Court Erred in overruling the defendants Motion to dismiss the Suit —

Which Motion was overruled by the Court and the plaintiffs Counsel was permitted to file a bond for Security for Costs on this Trial which bond was filed

befor the Motion was disposed of to which opinion of the Court the defendants Excepts - the defendant also pleaded Non Est Factum and Fraud Generally and his Counsil insisted that he had a Meritorious defence - The Evening previous to the trial the defendant went to his residence into the Country Six Miles from the Court House with directions from his Counsil to return at Nine Oclock when his cause would be tried. the Court adjourned on that Evening to meet at Eight oclock next Morning when the Court with the cause was called for Trial at ½ past 8 oclock Several Cases which stood before the defendants were Continued and the defendants Counsil Shewing no cause for Continuance went to Trial without the defendant himself being present who wished to make an affidavit for a continuance of the cause in Order to prove the fraud as alleged - Judgment was thereupon rendered by the Court without the defendants Counsil having an opportunity to introduce Evidence or having the cause Continued - he insisting that he had a Meritorious defence - to which opinion of the Court in Rendering Said Judgment - Made Such Circumstances the defendant Excepts and prays that this his bill of Exceptions be Signed and Sealed and made a part of the Record in this cause which is done accordingly

Austin A King Cir.

Clerks Fee for Transcript
Copying 2400 Words of fig 200
Certificate & Seal 50
$2.50

State of Missouri } Sct
County of Carroll } I Henry H Miller Clk of the Circuit Court within and for the County of Carroll aforesaid do hereby Certify that the foregoing Transcript Commencing at Page 1 and Ending with Page 11 is a full true and perfect Transcript of the Record and proceedings of the Suit in said Circuit Court of the County of Carroll aforesaid Wherein Edward McDaniel is Plaintiff and Jacob Snowden defendant

In Testimony Whereof I Henry H Miller Clerk aforesaid Have hereunto Set my hand and affixed my private Seal at Office there being no official Seal yet procured this Twenty Eighth day of October 1841

Henry H. Miller Clerk

(27)

Edward McDaniel

vs

Transcript

Jacob Snowden

Filed April
4th 1842

Wm Spratt clerk

Jacob Snowden
vs
Edward M'Daniel

Appeal from Cowell Circuit Court
& Supreme Court of April Term 1843

And now at this day comes the said appellant by his attorney and says that in the said Record & proceedings, and in giveing the said judgement, there is manifest Error in this:

1st That it appears by the said Record & proceedings that judgement was given against the appellant, whereas by the Law, judgement ought to have been given against the appellee.

2d That there is error in this. That the Court erred in over-ruling the appellants pleas of Non-est-factum - failure of Consideration, want of consideration, & pleas Specially, and that without demurrer.

3d The Court erred in forcing the ~~appellant~~ appellants Council into trial, when other causes were before it on the docket & the cause did not come on in Course.

4 That the Court erred in not ~~hearing~~ hearing a Motion for a Continuance of the Cause

4th That the Court erred in overruling the appellants Motions in arrest of judgement & for a new trial

Wherefore, for the errors aforesaid, and for others appearing in the said Record and proceedings, the said ~~appellant~~ appellant prays that the said judgement be reversed, annulled, and entirely for nothing esteemed, and that ~~he~~ he be restored to all things, which he may have lost, by reason of said judgement, and that the Court here proceed as well to the examination of the record & proceedings as the said Errors above assigned & that the said appellee join in Error &c.

M C Lee & Ryland
for appellants

Jacob Snowden

vs

Edward M'Daniel

Assignment of Errors

Filed April 25th
1842
Wm Spratt clerk

Error-

John B & Peter G. Camden Defendants in
Error
vs
James W. Freeman & Jacob Snowden Plaintiffs in Error

In Supreme Court
April Term 1842.

And the said defendants in Error come
and say that there is not any error in
the Record & proceedings of said Cause
or in the Judgement and that
said judgement ought to have been
given for the Defendants in Error
and not for the plaintiffs in Error
Wherefore the said Defendants in
Error pray that the said judgement
may in all things be affirmed

R. C. Ewing
Atty for defendants in
Error.

Snowden
vs Error
McDaniel

Sinder in Error

Filed April 26th
1842 —
Wm Spratt clerk

Dear Sir — Keytesville Dec. 1st

I wish you to issue a writ of Error to Carroll Circuit Court in the Case of Jacob Snowden & others vs John B. & Peter G. Camden — the latter being plfs in the lower Court in a suit by Scire facias on a recognizance for an appeal heretofore taken to the Supreme Court — the former except to the judgt of the Circuit Court —

I write Ray yr. fee when I come down to Jefferson in Jany. — Please write me & let me know the days of the term on which the case from Ring & Clarke Circuits are set for trial —

Yr friend
Fangfellow

John B. Camden
Peter G. Camden } plfs below
dfts above

Jacob Snowden
James W Freeman
Morgan P Ellis } dfts below — plfs here —

I think perhaps an other war security besides Ellis but am not certain
Say Snowden & others

Snowden

N Y Post.

Camden.

Files 14 Dec 1843

H. L. Bowden

STATE OF MISSOURI----Sct.

The State of Missouri....To the Judge of the Fifth —— Judicial Circuit in said State....**GREETING**:

WHEREAS, *In the record and proceedings in a certain cause lately pending in the Circuit Court within and for the County of* Carroll —— *in said circuit, wherein* John B Camden & Peter G Camden were plaintiffs, and Jacob Snowden and others were ——— *defendants manifest error, hath intervened, to the great damage of the said* defendants. — *as by* their *complaint, we are informed;*

Now, we being willing that the error, *if any there be, should be corrected, and full and speedy justice done to the parties aforesaid, in that behalf,* **command you,** *that you send to us certified under your* **seal,** *the record and proceedings in the cause aforesaid, as fully as the same remain of record before you in said Court, so that we may have them before us at our Supreme Court, to be begun and held at the City of Jefferson, in the County of Cole, in said State, on the* Second *Monday in* January — *next, so that our Judges of our Supreme Court on inspecting the record and proceedings aforesaid, may cause to be further done therein, for correcting the error, what of right and according to law ought to be done.*

WITNESS, Hampton L. Boon, *Clerk of our said Supreme Court, at office, in the City of Jefferson, the* fourteenth ——— *day of* December *in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and forty-*three, with his private seal, having no seal of office yet provided.

H. L. Boon.

State of Missouri } ss
County of Carroll } I Lewis A Rees Clerk of the Circuit Court in and for said County of Carroll return this writ accompanied with a full true and perfect transcript of all the records and proceedings had in said Carroll Circuit Court in the within mentioned cause

In Testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my private seal at office in Carrollton this 26th day of December 1843

Lewis A Rees Clerk

Sup. Ct. Mo.
January Term 1844.

Jacob Smarden et. al
vs
John B. Camden &
Peter G. Camden.

State of Missouri } Ss
County of Carroll } Pleas held before the
Honorable Austin A King Judge of the
Carroll Circuit Court in the fifth judi
cial Circuit for said State began and
held at the Court house in Carrollton
in and for said County of Carroll on
the eighteenth day of September in the
year of our Lord One thousand eight
hundred and forty three

Be it remembered that heretofore
to wit on the twenty eighth day of April
in the year of our Lord One thousand eight
hundred and forty three John B Camden
and Peter G Camden ordered out of the
office of the Clerk of said Circuit Court
a writ of Scire facias against James W
Freeman Jacob Snowden Bartley Pitts
and Morgan P Ellis which said scire
facias is in words and figures following
To wit (State of Missouri }
County of Carroll } To wit

The State of Missouri
To the Sheriff of Carroll County = Greeting
Whereas John B Camden and Peter G Camden
at the Court house in said County on the sixth
day of October in the year of our Lord eight
=een hundred and forty one at our Carroll
Circuit Court at the October term thereof
recovered by the Consideration of said Court
Judgment against James W Freeman
and Jacob Snowden
for the sum of Seven hundred and forty four

dollars and thirty four Cents debt and
Six dollars and twenty Cents damages by
way of Interest together with their Costs and
Charges by them in that Suit in that behalf
laid out and expended and that there should
be there of Execution, and whereas afterwards
to wit on the Seventh day of October in the
Year aforesaid at Said term of Said Court
at Carroll County aforesaid Came the Said
defendants by their attorney and prayed
an appeal to the Supreme Court in Said
Cause and the Said Jacob Snowden having
filed his affidavit as by law required
an appeal was then and there in Said Cause
by Said Court granted to the Supreme
Court. and thereupon Came James W Free-
man and Jacob Snowden the defendants afo-
resaid with Bartley Pitts and Morgan
P Ellis their Securities therein and acknowl-
edged themselves to owe and be indebted
to the Said plaintiffs in the Sum of One
thousand dollars that is to Say they the Said
~~plaintiffs~~ defendants in the Sum of One
thousand dollars and they the Said Morgan
P Ellis and Bartley Pitts in the Sum of five
hundred dollars each to be levied of their
respective goods and Chattels lands and
tenements to the use of the Said plaintiffs
to be rendered. but to be void upon the
express Condition that the Said appellants
would prosicute their Said appeal with
due diligence to a decision in the Supreme
Court and that if the Judgment aforesaid

from thence to affirmed or their appeal dismissed that they would pay whatever of the debt damages and Costs had been recovered against them by the judgment of the said Circuit Court to gether with the interest that should grow thereon and that they would otherwise perform the Judgment of the Circuit Court and that they would also pay the Costs and damages that might be adjudged against them in the Supreme Court upon their appeale and whereas afterwards to wit at the April Term of the Supreme Court aforesaid for the Year of our Lord eighteen hundred and forty two the said James M Freeman and Jacob Snowden did prosicute their said appeal to a decision in the Supreme Court and whereas the said judgment aforesaid from thence and there by said Supreme Court affirmed and whereas the said James M Freeman Jacob Snowden Bartley Pitts and Morgan P Ellis have not nor has either or any of them paid the said debt damages and Costs together with the interest thereon recovered as aforesaid by the aforesaid Judgment of the said Circuit Court or either of them or any part thereof to the said plaintiffs or either of them nor have they or either of them otherwise performed the judgment of the said Circuit Court

These are therefore to Command you to summons the said James M Freeman Jacob Snowden Bartley Pitts and Morgan P Ellis

to be and appear on the fourth Monday in May A.D. 1845 before our said Carroll Circuit Court to be begun and held at the Court house in Carrollton within and for said County of Carroll on the twenty second day of May A.D. 1845 and Show Cause if any they have why Execution shall not be issued against them for the aforesaid debt damages and Cost and have you then and there this writ

Witness Lewis A Rees Clerk of our said Carroll Circuit Court at office with his private seal affixed there being no official seal yet procured this 28th day of April 1845

(Seal)

Lewis A Rees Clerk

And the endorsements of the Sheriff made thereon as & for the return of the Service of the said writ is in the following words and figures To wit

I James M Goodson Sheriff of Carroll County do certify that I Executed this Scire facias and Summons by reading the same to the within named James N Freeman and Morgan P Ellis in Carroll County on the 29th of April 1845 and to the within named Bartley Pitts in Carroll County on the first day of May 1845 and to the within named Jacob Snowden in Carroll County on the 2nd day of May 1845 May 2nd 1845

James M Goodson Sheriff of Carroll County

Sheriffs fee $4.00

And afterwards to wit on the 22d day of May 1843 at a circuit Court begun and held at the Court house in Carrollton within and for said County of Carroll and on the first day of said Term among the records of said Court is the following to Wit

John B & Peter G Camden
Vs } Scirifacias
Jacob Snowden Morgan P Ellis & Others

Now at this day Come the defendants by their attorney and file their demurrer to the Scirifacias and declaration

John B & Peter G Camden
Vs } Petition in Debt
James W Freeman & Jacob Snowden

Now at this day Come the plaintiffs by their attorney and filed their motion to amend the record in this Cause

And on the Second day of said Term among the records thereof is the following To wit

Now at this day Comes the defendants aforesaid by their attorney and withdraws their demurrer filed in this Cause and files their plea Nultiel Record and leave is given them to file notice of Special Matter thirty days before the next term of this Court and

next term to be the trial term and issue made

John B Camden & Peter G Camden
vs } Petition in Debt
James M Freeman & Jacob Snowden

The Motion filed to amend the records in this Cause is sustained and the amendment allowed which said motion is in words and figures following To Wit.

State of Missouri } In Carroll Circuit Court
County of Carroll } May Term 1844

John B Camden & Peter G Camden
vs } Action of Petition in Debt
James M Freeman & Jacob Snowden

The Plaintiffs by their attorney in the above named Suit moves the Court here for leave to amend the record of the Judgment and recognizance in this Cause obtained at the October term of this Court A.D. 1841 in this that is to say in the first line of said Judgment instead of J B & N Camden & Co insert these words To Wit John B Camden and Peter G Camden, and also in the Sixth line of said Judgment from the beginning in stead of the word defendant insert the word defendants and also in the tenth line from the beginning of said Judgment instead of the word defendant insert the word defendants

is such a record of recognizance entered into by the said James W Freeman Jacob Snowden Bartley Pitts and Morgan P Ellis in favor of the said John B Camden and Peter G Camden as the said John B Camden and Peter G Camden hath above in that behalf alleged, and also that there is such a record of affirmance by the supreme Court of the aforesaid Judgment appealed from as the said John B Camden and Peter G Camden hath in that behalf above alleged Therefor it is considered by the Court here that the said John B Camden and Peter G Camden have execution against the said James W Freeman Jacob Snowden Bartley Pitts and Morgan P Ellis for as well the debt damages Costs and Charges aforesaid in the writ of Scirifacias aforesaid mentioned according to the force form and effect of the recognizance aforesaid to wit for the sum of three hundred and thirty nine dollars to gether with their Costs and Charges by them in this suit in this behalf laid out and expended

John B Camden & Peter G Camden
Vs } Scirifacias
James W Freeman Jacob Snowden
Bartley Pitts and Morgan P Ellis

and also in the recognizance in the first line there of instead of John B & P Camden &c insert these words to wit John B Camden and Peter G Cam=den

Rdy atty for Plff

And afterwards to wit at the Sept==emb= Term of said Court they can and there at the Court house in Car=ollton in and for Said County of Carroll on the 18th day of Septem=ber 1843 and on the third day of said term among the records there=of is the following To wit

John B Camden and Peter G Camden
vs
James W Freeman, Jacob Snowden
Bartley Pitts and Morgan P Ellis

Now at this day Come the par=ties aforesaid by their respective att=orneys and the Cause being Submi=tted to the Court for trial upon the plea of Nul tiel record upon which the record aforesaid being seen and inspected by the Court here it suff=iciently appears to the Same Court that there is such a record of recovery against them the Said James W Free=man and Jacob Snowden at the Suit of John B Camden and Peter G Cam=den as the Said John B Camden and Peter G Camden hath above in that behalf alleged and that there

Now at this day Comes the defend
ants aforesaid and files their motions for
a new trial and in arrest of Judgment
and also their Bill of Exceptions which
is signed Sealed and made a part of the
records which said Bill of exceptions
is in words and figures following
To Wit
Jacob Snowden James W Freeman and
Bartley Pitts & Morgan P Ellis
als
John B & Peter G Camden
September Term 1843

Be it remembered that on the 20th
day of September A.D. 1843 on the 3rd day
of this September Term 1843 in the
Carroll Circuit Court this Cause Came
on to be heard by the Court upon
the plea of nul tiel record and
thereupon the said plaintiffs offer-
ed to read in evidence as the record
of the Supreme Court of the State of
Missouri the following (James W
Freeman & Jacob Snowden Defts & Appellants
vs Appeal from the Circuit
Court of Carroll County
John B & Peter G Camden Plffs & appellees

Now at this day Come the parties
aforesaid by their attorneys whereupon all
and singular the record and proceedings
had in the Circuit Court and the judgment
therein rendered and the matters and things here-
in assigned for Error by the said appellants
to being seen examined and by the Court fully
understood and mature deliberation being

thereupon had, It appears to the Court here that in the record and proceedings and in giving of the Judgment aforesaid by the Circuit Court aforesaid there are no errors in manner and form set forth and alleged by the said appellants in their assignment of Errors aforesaid, Therefore it is Considered by the Court here that the Judgment of the Circuit Court ~~be~~ aforesaid be and the same is affirmed and it is further Considered by the Court that said appellees recover against said appellants their Costs and Charges by them in this behalf laid out and expended and that they have thereof Execution,

State of Missouri }
County of Lafayette } ss

I William Spratt Clerk of the Supreme Court for the Second Judicial District in the State of Missouri do hereby Certify that the foregoing is a true and perfect Copy of the Judgment rendered by said Court in the above Cause at the April Term thereof 1842 as fully as the same now appears of Record

In Testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Seal of
(Seal) said Court at office in Lexington this
18th day of May A.D. 1842

William Spratt Clerk

To the reading of which the defendants objected but their objections was by the Court overruled and the same was read in evidence to the overruling of which objections

the said defendants and permitting the record to be read by the Court the defendants excepted and have her their exceptions allowed and thereupon the said plaintiffs offered and read in evidence the records of a judgment of the Camden Circuit Court with the annexment of the same which said judgment is in words and figures following to wit

J B & H Camden & Co pltfs

vs

Petition in Debt

J. W. Freeman & Jacob Snowden Defts

And now at this day comes the parties aforesaid by their respective attorneys and the Court renders judgment on the demurrer against said defendant for the sum of seven hundred and forty four dollars and thirty four Cents debt and six dollars and twenty Cents damages by way of Interest —

It is therefore Considered by the Court here that the plaintiffs aforesaid recover of the said defendant the debt and damages aforesaid as found and assessed by the Court together with his Costs and Charges by him in this suit in this behalf laid out and expended and that there be thereof Execution &c &c

and the annexments annexed in said judgment are in the words and figures following To wit

In the first line of said Judgment instead of J B & H Camden & Co insert these words to wit John B Camden and

(4)

Peter G Camden and also in the 24th line from the beginning of said Judgment instead of the word defendant insert the word defendants and also in the tenth line from the beginning of said Judgment instead of the word defendant insert the word defendants)

To the reading of which in evidence the defendants objected and their objection being overruled by the Court the defendants excepted to the Opinion of the Court and have here their exceptions allowed

And thereupon the plaintiffs offered and read in evidence the record of the Carroll Circuit Court of the recognizance and the amendments thereof as follows

John B & P Camden & Co pltfs
vs
Petition in Debt
Jas W Freeman & Jacob Snowden Defts

And now at this day Came the defendants aforesaid by their attorney and prays an appeal to the Supreme Court in this Cause and Jacob Snowden one of the defendants having filed his affidavit as by law required an appeal is granted and thereupon Came James W Freeman and Jacob Snowden the defendants aforesaid with Bartley Pitts and Morgan P Ellis their securities and acknowledged themselves to owe and be indebted to said plaintiffs in the sum of one thousand dollars that defendants in the sum of one thousand dollars and the said to say they the said Morgan P Ellis and Bartley Pitts in the sum of five hundred dollars each to be levied of their respective

goods and Chattels lands and tenements to the use of the said plaintiffs to be rendered but to be void upon this express Condition that said appellants will prosecute their said appeal with due diligence to a decision in the Supreme Court and that if the Judgment appealed from is affirmed or their appeal dismissed they will pay what ever of the debt damages and Costs have been recovered against them by the Judgment of the Circuit Court together with the interest that shall grow due thereon and that they will otherwise perform the Judgment of the Circuit Court and that they will also pay the Costs and damages that may be adjudged against them in the Supreme Court upon their appeal

And the amendments allowed in said recognizance are in the words and figures following To wit

In the first line thereof in stead of John B & P Lemaden & Co insert these words to wit John B Lemaden and Peter G Lemaden)

To the ruling of which the defendants objected and their objections being overruled by the Court excepted to the opinion of the Court and have here their exceptions allowed

This was all the evidence offered in the Cause the Court thereupon gave Judgment for the plaintiffs

(13)

and thereupon the defendants fence here their motion to set aside their Verdict in this Cause as follows

(J B & P G Camden
vs
Jacob Snowden & Others

And the said Defendants Come and move the Court here to set aside the Verdict in this Cause and grant them a new trial

Because the Verdict is Contrary to the law and the evidence

That the record should not have been received in evidence

That the record produced in evidence did not authorise the Verdict

That the record did not afford sufficient information on which to render the Verdict

Strong fellow & Read for Defts

which being heard by the Court was overruled to the overruling which motion the defendants except and have here their exceptions allowed

and thereupon defendants fence here their motion in arrest and to set aside the Judgment in this Cause which said motion is in the words and figures following To wit

(J B & P G Camden
vs
Jacob Snowden & others

} Motion in arrest of Judgment

The defendants by their attorneys Come and move the Court here to arrest the

Judgment in this Cause because there is error in this. That the Court erred in receiving the record offered here in evidence

The Court erred in giving Judgment for Plaintiffs

The Court erred in permitting the amendments to be made of the record

That the Scire facias is defective in not shewing that Execution issued on the Judgment of the Circuit Court against the defendants Snowden and Freeman before this suit was Commenced

Storey Fellows & Rees for Defts)

Which being Overruled by the Court the the said defendants excepted to the overruling the same and have had their exceptions allowed and the same is signed and sealed and made part of the records in this Cause

Austin A King Circ Ct

State of Missouri } ss
County of Carroll } I Lewis A Rees Clerk of the Circuit Court within and for the County of Carroll in the State of Missouri aforesaid do Certify that the foregoing Commencing on page 1 and ending on page 15 is a full true and Complete transcript of the record and proceedings of the said Circuit Court in the Cause therein mentioned

In Testamony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office in Carrollton having no official seal yet provided this 26th day of December 1843

Lewis A Rees Clerk

(14) Jany Term 1844 HB

Box 33

1376

28

John B Camden

1376 dft

Peter G Camden

vs

Scire facias

James W Freeman

Jacob Snowden pltff

Bartley Pitts &

Morgan P Ellis

A True Copy of the

Record

Clerks fees for

Transcribing 44 50 -

words & figures $4 45

Certificate & Seal 50

Certificate & Seal

to return on writ

of error 50

$5 45

Lewis A Ruslen

Filed January 4. 1844.

H L Boonck

To Robert A Ray, Attorney of Record for
John B & Peter G Camden

Sir — You will take notice that we have sued out a
Writ of Error returnable to the next Term of the
Supreme Court of the State of Missouri to be
held at Jeffersonbity in said State on the
Second Monday in January next in a certain
cause lately pending in the circuit court for
Carroll County in said State in which said
~~Peter~~ John B & Peter G Camden were Plaintiffs
and we were defendants

December 23rd 1843

James W Freeman
Jacob Snowden
Morgan & Ellis
Bartley Pitts

This Notice is Executed by Leading the Same to the within named Robert D. Ray. Attorney for the within named John B. & Peter L. Camden on the 23rd day of December 1843 in Carroll County by Sheriff Fee $.50 Jas. N. Goodson Sheriff of " " Carroll County

Answer Exd
& 3 Notices
R. D. Ray

Filed January 4. 1844
N. L. Boon Clk

James W Freeman
Jacob Snowden
Bartley Pitts
Morgan & Ellis
Peter & Camden
John B Camden

Plaintiffs -

In Supreme Court
January Term 1826

In Error

Defts

And now at this day come the Plaintiffs in Error by their attorney and say that in the record and proceedings aforesaid as well as in rendering the judgment aforesaid there is error in this viz

By the record and proceedings aforesaid it appears upon the trial of said cause that the court admitted certain evidence offered by the Plaintiffs below (defendants in error) and objected to by the defendants below (plaintiffs in error) whereas by the law of the land the evidence so offered and objected to ought to have been rejected. therefore the said court is manifest error

There is also error in this viz

That by the record and proceedings in said cause it appears that said court overruled the motion of the defendants below (Plaintiffs in Error) to set aside the verdict and grant a new trial. whereas by the law of the land the court ought to have sustained said motion and to have granted a new trial. whereupon it is that there is manifest error.

There is also error in this -

That by the record and proceedings in said cause it appears that the court overruled the motion of said defendants below (Plaintiffs in Error) to arrest the judgment and to set aside the same. Whereas by the law of the land the said court ought to have sustained said motion and to have arrested said

Showden et al
v
J B Wheeler & Hardeman

Assignment of Error

Filed Jany 20. 1844
H. L. Bowen

State of Missouri }
Boone County } Sct.

This day personally came W T Wingfield before the undersigned Judge of the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri and being sworn saith - That Robert D Ray upon whom notice of the writ of Error to the Carroll Circuit Court was served ~~was and is the~~ in the case of Jacob Rawdon et al plaintiffs in Error against John B and Peter H Camden defendants in Error was and is attorney of Record for said defendants in Error in said Carroll Circuit Court in said cause —

Subscribed and sworn to this 23rd day Jany 1844

Sworn to before the undersigned.

W. B Napton

W T Wingfield

Jacob Snowden et al

vs } affidavit

Camden's.

Filed Jany 23. 1844

H. L. Boonneck

Jacob Snowden & others v. J.B. & P.G. Camden

Error to ~~Barry~~ Carroll C.C.

This was a scire facias on a recognizance, entered into by the plaintiffs in error to John B. & Peter G. Camden & Co. The scire facias recited the original judgment, the recognizance & the judgment of the supreme Court on the appeal. The writ was served upon all the defendants, that the return terem thereof, the plaintiffs below asked leave to amend the record of the original judgment, & recognizance, by inserting in the first line of said judgment the words "John B. Camden & Peter G. Camden" instead of "J.B. & M. Camden", & in the sixth line of said judgment, the word "defendants" instead of "defendant", & the same in the tenth line, the plaintiffs also moved to amend the recognizance by inserting the words "John B Camden and Peter G. Camden" instead of "John B. & P. Camden & Co"; which amendments were made accordingly. The defendants pleaded nul tiel record, & on this issue, the plaintiffs read in evidence a copy of the record of the Supreme Court affirming the judgment of the Carroll Circuit Court, to the reading of which defendants objected, but the Court allowed the same to be read, & the defendants excepted & saved their exceptions. The plaintiffs also read the original judgment & recognizance taken in said Carroll Circuit Court, with the amendments thereto, to which objections were made & overruled & the opinion of the Court excepted to.

The verdict was for the plaintiffs below, and the defendants moved for a new trial & in arrest of judgment. These motions were overruled & the cause is brought here by writ of error.

The errors assigned, are that the Court below improperly allowed the record of the original judgment, & the amendments thereto, to be given in evidence; that the Court also erred in permitting the amended recognizance to be given in evidence, & that it was also error to allow the record of the judgment of this court in evidence. The two first are objected to as inadmissible, because the amendments were improperly allowed, & the copy of the record of the Supreme Court is objected to, because it was not a full transcript of all the proceedings of said Court in that cause, but a copy of the judgment only.

In relation to the two first errors, we observe, that the amended records given in evidence are not objected to because of any variance ~~between them~~ from the records ~~&c~~ set out in the scire facias, but because the amendments were improperly allowed. If the plaintiffs in error wished to have the opinion of this Court in relation to the propriety of the amendments, he should have objected to them, at the time they were made, The bill of exceptions should

have preserved this fact, & also the entire record of the proceedings on which the original judgment was founded, ~~this~~ in order that we might examine whether such amendments were legally made. The amendments seem to consist entirely of a change of the names of the parties; whether such ~~amendments~~ mistakes would be clerical ~~or not~~, ~~and only so~~ & therefore within the ~~provisions~~ powers conferred by our statute (Rev. Co. 35 p. 466. 469.) or whether they affected the right of the parties, ~~& therefore not amendable after the term~~ could only be seen by an inspection of the entire record. The point is not saved.

The same may be said in relation to the sufficiency of the record of the judgment of this Court. The record of this judgment corresponds with the one declared on, & it was clearly sufficient on the plea of nul tiel record. If the scire facias was defective in not setting forth all the proceedings of the said Court, previous to the judgment, the defendants should have demurred. The defect certainly is not such an one as can be taken advantage of on writ of error.

We concur
T Tompkins
W. Scott

Judge affirmed
W. B. Napton

44

Jacob Snowden
& others
v.
Camden

Opinion

W. B. Napton
Jan'y. Term
1844.

files Feby 16. 1844
H. L. Boon clk

STATE OF MISSOURI-----Sct. The State of Missouri----To the Sheriff of the County of ~~Ray~~ Carroll in said State-----GREETING:

Whereas, John B. Camden & Peter G. Camden on the Sixteenth day of February in the year eighteen hundred and forty-four at our Supreme Court for the State of Missouri aforesaid, before our Judges of said Court, recovered against James W. Freeman, Jacob Snowden, Bartley Pitts & Morgan P. Ellis the sum of Nine dollars and eighty five Cents for their costs and charges by them expended in a certain suit wherein the said James W. Freeman, Jacob Snowden and others were appellants, and the said John B. Camden and Peter G. Camden were appellees, which to the said defendants were adjudged, as appears to us of record:== Therefore, we command you, that of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of the said James W. Freeman, Jacob Snowden, Bartley Pitts, and Morgan P. Ellis in your bailiwick, you cause to be made the aforesaid costs and that you have the same before the Judges of our said Supreme Court, on the first Monday in July next, to render unto the said John B. Camden and Peter G. Camden the costs aforesaid: and that you certify to our said Judges, how you execute this writ, and have you then there this writ.

Witness, Hampton L. Boon, Clerk of our said Supreme Court, at office, in the City of Jefferson the Tenth day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty-four.

H. L. Boon

Received for Record this 10th day of
October A.D. 1844 and recorded in Camden County
Records Book "C" Page 85 &c.

Test Samuel Comptn Clk

41.

Supreme Court
July Term 1844

Jos. & Jos. Coates
vs
Wm Everitt
Levi Dunstan et al

Com. Fee . . 4.40
Sum. ch. Keep . . 1.25

$ 10.85

Received for this Execution in the Bank of Kutztown Berks County
at Kensink dated 25th May 1844

This Execution is issued
this 25th day of July A.D. 1844

Samuel Comptn Clk
Supreme Court

Received in Camden County Feb. 4th 1845

Sheriff's Office Camden Co. N.J.
Feb. 4th 1845

I do hereby certify that the within
Execution was received by me
on the 4th day of February
A.D. 1845 and that I have
executed the same by
Levying on the Real Estate
of the within named
Levi Dunstan
Sheriff

Snowden et al- plfs in Error
J B. & P. G. Camden defts in Error

This was a proceeding by Sci. Fa. in the Carroll Circuit Court, by J B & P. G Camden against the plfs in Error on a Recognizance for an appeal to the Supreme Court. Defendants pleaded nul tiel Record- on which a trial was had.

On the trial the plaintiffs offered in evidence a recognizance entered into by the plaintiffs in Error, to "John B & P Camden & Co." reciting that a judgment in favour of said "John B & P Camden & Co. against Snowden & Freeman- and conditioned for the prosecution of an appeal from said Judgment. (This recognizance was entered into at the October Term of the Carroll Circuit Court 1841.) together with an amendment of this recognizance made by said Court at the May Term 1843. by which amendment the recognizance was made to "John B & Peter G Camden"- The defendants below objected to the reading of this recognizance and amendment- But their objection was overruled & they excepted-

See Record p. 6.

The plaintiff below then offered in evidence a judgment rendered at the October Term of the Carroll Circuit Court 1841 in favour of "J B & P Camden & Co against Snowden & Freeman- with an amendment made in said judgment by said Court at the May Term 1843. To the reading of which the defendants below objected and their objections

See Record p. 6

being overruled by the Court excepted.

Plaintiffs below then offered to read in evidence a certified copy of a judgement of the Supreme Court in favour of the plaintiffs below and against the defendants Snowden & Freeman. with no other part of the Record of the Supreme Court. To reading which defendants below objected and their objections being overruled excepted — Court then gave judgment for plaintiffs below — Defts below moved for new trial - to set aside verdict & judgment & in arrest of judgment. all which motions were overruled and the cause comes here by writ of Error.

See Record p. 9.

Mr Mayfield for pltfs in Error relies on following points & authorities to reverse the judgt. of the Court below —

1st The Court erred in admitting as evidence the judgment in favour of J B & M Camden & Co. and the amendment thereof —

The Amendment being made in this case not only after the term but after a lapse of two years from the judgment.

2. The Court erred in admitting in evidence the recognizance to J B & P Camden & Co. with the Amendment thereof —

For the same reason as above and with greater force if possible, Securities thus being made responsible to strangers We insist that this is error —

See Ashby vs Glasgow 7 Mo. De. 320.

3 The certified Copy of the judgment of the Supreme Court was not evidence - The whole record of the Supreme Court was necessary to shew jurisdiction of the Cause and it should have been certified - a mere part thereof is no evidence and the Copy of the judgment ought not to have been received

4 There being no legal evidence the Court erred in overruling the motion for a new trial.

5 The Court erred in overruling motion in arrest of judgment

B F Singleton

Snowden et al

vs

J. B. & P. G. Camden

Plaintiffs Brief

R. J. Springfield

Cases Cited

Ashby vs Mason 7 Mo. D. 320

John B. Camden
Peter G. Camden
ats.
James N. Freeman
Jacob Snowden
Bartley Pitts
Morgan P. Ellis

In the Supreme Court
January Term 1844.

On Error from the Cannel
Circuit Court.

This is a Proceeding on Scire facias against the Plaintiffs in Error on a recognizance.

At the October Term 1841. of the Cannel Circuit Court, J.B. Camden & P.G. Camden, the defendants in error, recovered judgment against James N. Freeman, and Jacob Snowden for the sum of 744 dollars & 34 Cents debt, and 6 dollars & 20 Cents damages. The defendants appealed to the Supreme Court, and entered into recognizance with said Bartley Pitts and Morgan P. Ellis as Securities. The judgment of the Court below was affirmed. (7. Mo. R. p. 298.)

On the 28th of April 1843, the defendants in error sued out a Scire facias on the recognizance. reciting the Original judgment, the recognizance, & the Proceedings on the appeal in the Supreme Court.

The writ of Scire facias was served on all the defendants below.

At the return term of the Scire facias, the Plaintiffs below, asked leave to amend the record of the Original judgment & recognizance by inserting in the first line of said judgment the word, John B. Camden and Peter G. Camden, instead of J. B. & M. Camden & Co. and in the sixth line of said judgment the word defendants instead of the word defendant, and the same in the tenth line, and instead of John B. & P. Camden

& Co in the recognizance. The word John B. Camden & Peter G. Camden - Their Amendments were permitted by the Court -

The defendants below filed their plea of Nul tiel record, and asked leave to file Notice of Special Matter within thirty days before the next term of the Court, which was granted, but no such Notice was filed, and the Cause was submitted to the Court on the plea of Nul tiel record - The issue was found for the Plaintiffs below, and execution awarded against all the defendants -

The defendants filed their Motion for a new trial, and in arrest of Judgment, which Motions were overruled.

The bill of exceptions states that the plaintiffs below, on the trial of the issue read in evidence a copy of the record of the Supreme Court affirming the judgment below, to the reading of which the defendants objected & excepted. also the Original judgment against Snowden & Fruman. and the Amendments Made thereto. also the proceedings on the appeal & the recognizance and Amendment thereof. to the reading of all which the defendants objected & excepted - The forgoing was all the evidence in the Cause.

The defendants moved to set aside the Verdict.

1. Because the Verdict was Contrary to law & evidence.
2. Because the record should not have been received in evidence.
3. Because, the record in evidence did not authorize the judgment -
4. Because the record did not afford sufficient information on which to render the Verdict.

The Motion was overruled. Whereupon the defendants filed their Motion in arrest of Judgment.

Because tho the Court erred in receiving the record offered in evidence, and in permitting the amendments to be made, and in giving judgment for the Plaintiffs. And because the Scire facias was defective in not shewing that execution issued on the judgment of the Circuit Court against the defendants Bowden & Freeman before this suit was commenced. The Motion was overruled, and the cause is brought here by a writ of Error.

Points & Authorities by
Leonard Bay for Defendants in Error

1. The Points relied on by the Plaintiffs in error, in relation to the Amendments, and the transcript of the judgment of the Supreme Court, do not arise in this Cause. The only Plea is nul tiel record, and of course the only matter in issue was whether there was such a record as the Plaintiffs below alleged. The Propriety or impropriety of the Amendments, and the sufficiency of the transcript were not in issue. Besides, the Plaintiffs in error did not except to the judgment of the Court in Permitting the Amendments to be made, but on the trial excepted to the judgment of the Court in Permitting the Amendments to be read in evidence.

2. Admitting that the question of the Propriety of the Amendments was involved in the issue, the defect in the Recog and Scire facias could be supplied and the Amendments made under the Provisions of the 7th & 8th Sections of the ~~[illegible]~~ "~~[illegible]~~" 6th Article of the Act relating to Practice at Law. R. S. 1835. p. 468-9. See Hunt v. Reynolds reported in 3. Conn 42.

Mitchell vs. Sparks 1. Seamon 122.

Hon. N. Cannon
Pitts. Pa.
vs
Lewis M. Freeman
Sur. Ackerman
Bailey Pitts
Morgan & Ellis

Statement & Case
Points.
By Counsel on Behalf
of the Defendants
in Error.

Freeman Snowden
vs
Wm P. G. Camden

Appeal from Carroll Circuit Court
& Supreme Court of April Term 1842

And now at this day come the said appellants by their Attorney and say that in the said Record & proceedings and in giveing the said Judgement, there is manifest Error in this:

To wit. 1. That it appears by the Record and proceedings in the cause that judgement was given against the appellants, and in favor of the appellees, whereas in said judgement ought to have been given in favor of the appellants.

2 There is Error also in this, to wit. that the court erred in overruling the appellants motion to quash the writ

3 There is error also in this, to wit. that the court erred in overruling the appellants demurrer and giveing judgement thereon

4th There is error also in this. to wit. that the court erred in overruling the appellants Motions in arrest of judgment and for a new trial

Wherefore for the said Errors, and for others appearing in said Record & proceedings, the said appellants pray that the said judgment be reversed, annulled and for nothing esteemed, and that they be restored to all things which they have lost by reason of said judgement, and that the court here proceed as well to the examination of the record and proceedings, as the said Errors above assigned, and that the said appellees join in Error &

McLane & Ryland
for appellants.

Freeman & Crowder

vs

J. B. & P. G. Camden

Assignment of Errors

"Filed April 25th
1842
Wm Spratt clerk

L. B. & P. G. Camden } Appeal from Carroll
vs. } Circuit Court
Newman & Snowden } Supreme Court

The Appellees & plaintiffs below brought suit on a note against James W. Newman and Lacede Snowden, by Petition in Debt in the Carroll Circuit Court October Term 1841. A motion to quash writ was filed by defendant & overruled. Defendant also filed his demurrer to plaintiffs Petition, which was by the Court overruled & judgement rendered for plaintiff for the amount of debt & damages called for by note. Appellees insist that the Court below rendered judgement for the right party

1 Because Petition in Debt will properly lie on such a note

2 Because writ & Service were good & in conformity with the Statute

3 If writ was bad, there is no evidence on the record that the motion to quash was filed before the pleas were put in & after pleas filed the objections to it were waived & cured

4 Because the Petition is good & therefore demurrer properly overuled.

R. C. Ewing
for Appellees.

L. B. & P. G. Camden

vs Z. Brope

Freeman & Snowden

Appellees Brief

R. C. Ewing

Edward McDaniel Defendant in Error
Jacob Shoulder plaintiff in Error.

In Supreme Court
April Term 1842

And the said defendant in Error comes and says that there is not any Error in the Record & proceedings of said Cause or in the judgement and that said judgement ought to have been given for the defendant in Error and not for the plaintiff in Error.

Wherefore the said defendant in Error prays that the said judgement may be in all things affirmed.

R. C. Ewing Atty
for defendant in Error.

Truman Snowden

vs Error

J. B. & P. G. Camden

Joinder in Error.

Filed April 26th
1842 —
Wm Spratt clerk