State of Missouri Office of Secretary of State

Case No. AP-09-40

IN THE MATTER OF:

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED, CRD No. 7691 4 World Financial Center New York, New York 10080,

Respondent.

CONSENT ORDER

WHEREAS, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("Merrill Lynch") is a broker-dealer registered in the state of Missouri, with a Central Registration Depository ("CRD") number of 7691; and

State securities regulators from multiple jurisdictions have conducted coordinated investigations into the registration of Merrill Lynch Client Associates ("CAs") and Merrill Lynch's supervisory system with respect to the registrations of CAs; and

Merrill Lynch has cooperated with regulators conducting the investigations by responding to inquiries, providing documentary evidence and other materials, and providing regulators with access to facts relating to the investigations; and

Merrill Lynch has advised regulators of its agreement to resolve the investigations pursuant to the terms specified in this Consent Order (the "Order"); and

Merrill Lynch agrees to make certain changes in its supervisory system with respect to the registration of CAs, and to make certain payments in accordance with the terms of this Order; and

Merrill Lynch elects to waive permanently any right to a hearing and appeal under Section 409.6-604 and 409.6-609, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2008) with respect to this Order; and

Solely for the purpose of terminating the multi-state investigations, including the investigation by the Enforcement Section of the Missouri Securities Division ("Enforcement Section", and in settlement of the issues contained in this Order, Merrill Lynch, without admitting or denying the findings of fact or conclusions of law contained in this Order, consents to the entry of this Order.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Commissioner of Securities, as administrator of the Missouri Securities Act of 2003, hereby enters this Order:

I.

FINDINGS OF FACTS

1. Merrill Lynch admits the jurisdiction of the Commissioner of Securities in this matter.

Background on Client Associates

- The CAs function as sales assistants and typically provide administrative and sales support to one or more of Merrill Lynch's Financial Advisors ("FAs"). There are different titles within the CA position, including Registered Client Associate and Registered Senior Client Associate.
- 3. The responsibilities of a CA specifically include:
 - a. Handling client requests;
 - b. Resolving client inquiries and complaints;
 - c. Determining if client issues require escalation to the FA or the branch management team; and
 - d. Processing of operational documents such as letters of authorization and client check requests.
- 4. In addition to the responsibilities described above, and of particular significance to this Order, some CAs are permitted to accept unsolicited orders from clients. As discussed below, Merrill Lynch's written policies and procedures require that any CAs accepting client orders first obtain the necessary licenses and registrations.

- 5. Notably, FAs might have a "primary CA" and a "secondary CA". As suggested by the designation, the customary practice is that the primary CA would handle the FA's administrative matters and client orders. However, if the primary CA was unavailable, the secondary CA would handle the FA's administrative matters and client orders.
- 6. During the period from 2002 to the present, Merrill Lynch employed approximately 6,200 CAs per year.

Registration Required

- 7. Pursuant to Section 409.4-402, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2008), it is unlawful for an individual to transact business in this state as an agent unless the individual is registered under this act as an agent or is exempt from registration as an agent under subsection (b).
- 8. Pursuant to Section 409.1-102(26), RSMo. (Curn. Supp. 2008), "Sale" includes every contract of sale, contract to sell, or disposition of a security or interest in a security for value. Accepting unsolicited orders in Missouri without being registered as an agent constitutes transacting business in the State of Missouri.
- 9. Pursuant to Section 409.4-402(d), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2008), it is unlawful for a broker-dealer engaged in offering, selling, or purchasing securities in this state, to employ or associate with an agent who transacts business in this state on behalf of broker-dealers unless the agent is registered under subsection (a) or exempt from registration under subsection (b).

Merrill Lynch Requires Registration of Client Associates

- 10. In order for a CA to accept client orders, Merrill Lynch generally required each CA to pass the series 7 and 63 qualification exams and to register in the appropriate jurisdictions.
- 11. At all times relevant to this Order, Merrill Lynch's policies and procedures specified that each CA maintain registrations in the same jurisdictions as his or her FA, or broadly required that each CA maintain registrations in all necessary jurisdictions.

Regulatory Investigations and Findings

- 12. In May 2008, the Enforcement Section received a tip alleging that Merrill Lynch was failing to ensure its CAs were in compliance with jurisdictional registration requirements and its own procedures. The tip alleged that Merrill Lynch CAs were registered in two jurisdictions the CA's home state and one neighboring state because Merrill Lynch only paid for registrations in two jurisdictions.
- 13. The Enforcement Section opened an investigation into Merrill Lynch's practices in connection with CA registrations.
- 14. During the summer of 2008, Merrill Lynch received inquiries regarding CA registrations from a number of state securities regulators.
- 15. Because Merrill Lynch's relevant trade records were maintained in hard copy and only at branch offices across the country, the Enforcement Section's investigation focused on systemic issues with Merrill Lynch CA registrations and related supervisory structure instead of attempting to identify each incidence of unregistered activity. Specifically:
 - a. After accepting a client order, CAs accessed the electronic trading system to enter the order;
 - b. The CAs did not have to identify themselves during the order entry process. Therefore, there is no electronic record that identifies which orders were accepted by CAs;
 - c. Instead, Merrill Lynch maintained a daily report that recorded the identity of the person who accepted and/or entered each order. However, this report was not maintained electronically, and was only maintained at the branch office where the order was entered. Merrill Lynch represented that this daily report was the only record that could identify who accepted a client order.
 - d. Merrill Lynch's trading system checked the registration of the FA, but did not check the registration status of the person accepting the order to ensure that the person was registered in the appropriate jurisdiction.
- 16. The Enforcement Section's investigation found that although the FA was registered in Missouri many CAs who accepted orders were not registered in Missouri as agents of Merrill Lynch. This difference in registration status increased the possibility that CAs would engage in unregistered activity.
- 17. The Enforcement Section's investigation found that certain Merrill Lynch CAs engaged in the sale of securities in Missouri at times when the CAs were not appropriately registered in Missouri.

Merrill Lynch's Remedial Measures and Cooperation

18. As a result of the inquiries by the Enforcement Section and other state regulators, Merrill Lynch conducted a review of its CA registration practices.

- 19. Merrill Lynch's review found that as of June 30, 2008, the firm had 3,780 registered CAs. Approximately 2,200, almost 60%, of those registered CAs were only registered in their home state or their home state and one additional state.
- 20. Consistent with the fact that many Merrill Lynch CAs were only registered in one or two jurisdictions, Merrill Lynch's review found incidences of trading by CAs not properly state registered.
- 21. In October 2008, Merrill Lynch amended its registration policy to require that each CA mirror the state registrations for the FAs that they support. Merrill Lynch's Registration Compliance personnel participated in calls with branch management to advise the field about this requirement.
- 22. As Merrill Lynch worked on a more permanent solution, it also developed a temporary report intended to identify instances where a CA's registration did not match the FA or FAs the CA supported.
- 23. Between October 1, 2008 and January 28, 2009, four hundred and twenty seven (427) CAs registered with the Commissioner of Securities as agents of Merrill Lynch. Yet, data as of February 28, 2009 indicated that significant gaps remained between the registrations of CAs and their FAs.
- 24. However, Merrill Lynch, as a compliance enhancement, also developed an electronic system that will prevent a person from entering client orders from a state in which the person accepting the order is not registered. Merrill Lynch has represented to the Staff that the firm began implementing this new system in June 2009 and expects it to be fully implemented by December 31, 2009.
- 25. Merrill Lynch provided timely responses and substantial cooperation in connection with the regulatory investigations into this issue. Furthermore, as displayed by the corrective actions described above, Merrill Lynch has acknowledged the problems associated with its CA registrations and supervisory system.

П.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commissioner of Securities has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the Missouri Securities Act of 2003, Chapter 409, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2008).
- Merrill Lynch's failure to establish an adequate system to monitor the registration status of persons accepting client orders
 constitutes a failure to reasonably supervise its agents pursuant to Section 409.4-412(d)(9), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2008) and
 this conduct constitutes grounds under Section 409.4-412(b), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2008), to revoke, suspend, condition, or
 limit its registration in the State of Missouri.
- Merrill Lynch's failure to require its CAs to be registered in the appropriate jurisdictions constitutes a failure to enforce its
 established written procedures, and pursuant to Section 409.4-412(d)(9), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2008), constitutes grounds
 under Section 409.4-412(b), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2008), to revoke, suspend, condition, or limit its registration in the State of
 Missouri.
- 4. Pursuant to Section 409.4-402(d), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2008), Merrill Lynch's sales of securities in Missouri through unregistered CAs constitutes grounds under Section 409.4-412(d)(2), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2008), to revoke, suspend, condition, or limits its registration in the State of Missouri.
- Pursuant to Section 409.6-604, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2008), Merrill Lynch's sales of securities in Missouri through agents not
 registered in Missouri constitutes grounds to order Merrill Lynch to cease and desist engaging in the sale of securities in
 Missouri through unregistered agents.
- 6. Pursuant to Section 409.6-604(h), RSMo. (Cum Supp. 2008), the Commissioner is authorized to issue administrative consent orders in the settlement of any proceeding in the public interest.
- 7. The Commissioner finds this Order to be in the public interest, necessary for the protection of public investors and consistent with the provision of Chapter 409, RSMo. (Cum. Supp 2008).

Ш.

UNDERTAKINGS

- Merrill Lynch hereby undertakes and agrees to immediately establish and maintain a trade monitoring system that prevents any person from entering client orders that originate from jurisdictions where the person accepting the order is not appropriately registered.
- 2. Merrill Lynch further undertakes and agrees to file with the Enforcement Section, within sixty days of the date of this Order, a report describing Merrill Lynch's improvements in its ability to monitor the identity and registration status of each person who accepts a client order entered on Merrill Lynch's trading system.

3. For the period from the date of this Order through December 31, 2010, Merrill Lynch further undertakes and agrees to notify the Enforcement Section if it finds that any person associated with Merrill Lynch accepted a client order in Missouri as an agent of Merrill Lynch without being registered, or exempt from registration with the Commissioner.

IV.

ORDER

On the basis of the Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Merrill Lynch's consent to the entry of this Order, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- This Order concludes the investigation by the Enforcement Section and any other action that the Enforcement Section could
 commence against Merrill Lynch under applicable Missouri law on behalf of Missouri as it relates to unregistered activity in
 Missouri by Merrill Lynch's CAs and Merrill Lynch's supervision of CA registrations during the period from January 1, 2004
 through the date of this Order.
- 2. This Order is entered into solely for the purpose of resolving the referenced multi-state investigation, and is not intended to be used for any other purpose. For any person or entity not a party to the Order, this Order does not limit or create any private rights or remedies against Merrill Lynch including, limit or create liability of Merrill Lynch, or limit or create defenses of Merrill Lynch, to any claims.
- 3. Merrill Lynch is hereby CENSURED.
- 4. Merrill Lynch is hereby ordered to cease and desist from engaging in the sale of securities in the Missouri through persons not registered as agents of Merrill Lynch with the Commissioner.
- 5. Merrill Lynch is hereby ordered to pay the sum of three hundred sixty-seven thousand dollars (\$367,500.00) to the Missouri Investor Education Fund by sending this amount to the Missouri Securities Division at 600 West Main Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65201, within ten days of the date of this Order.
- 6. Merrill Lynch shall pay up to a total of twenty six million, five hundred sixty-three thousand, ninety four dollars and fifty cents (\$26,563,094.50) in fines, penalties and any other monetary sanctions or payments among the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands pursuant to the calculations discussed with the multi-state working group.
- However, if any state securities regulator determines not to accept Merrill Lynch's settlement offer, the total amount of the payment to the State of Missouri shall not be affected, and shall remain at three hundred and sixty-seven thousand (\$367,500.00).
- 8. Merrill Lynch is hereby ordered to comply with the Undertakings contained herein.
- 9. This order is not intended by the Enforcement Section to subject any Covered Person to any disqualifications under the laws of the United States, any state, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, or the U.S. Virgin Islands including, without limitation, any disqualification from relying upon the state or federal registration exemptions or safe harbor provisions. "Covered Person," means Merrill Lynch or any of its affiliates and their current or former officers or former officers, directors, employees, or other persons that would otherwise be disqualified as a result of the Orders (as defined below).
- 10. This Order and the order of any other State in related proceedings against Merrill Lynch (collectively, the "Orders") shall not disqualify any Covered Person from any business that they otherwise are qualified, licensed or permitted to perform under applicable securities laws of Missouri and any disqualifications from relying upon this state's registration exemptions or safe harbor provisions that arise from the Orders are hereby waived.
- 11. This Order shall be binding upon Merrill Lynch and its successors and assigns as well as to successors and assigns of relevant affiliates with respect to all conduct subject to the provisions above and all future obligations, responsibilities, undertakings, commitments, limitations, restrictions, events, and conditions.
- 12. Merrill Lynch shall pay its own costs and attorneys' fees with respect to this matter.

SO ORDERED:

WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL OF MY OFFICE AT JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI THIS 22^{ND} DAY OF OCTOBER, 2009.

ROBIN CARNAHAN SECRETARY OF STATE

(Signed/Sealed) MATTHEW D. KITZI COMMISSIONER OF SECURITIES

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER BY MERRILL LYNCH

Merrill Lynch hereby acknowledges that it has been served with a copy of this Consent Order ("Order"), has read the foregoing Order, is aware of its right to a hearing and appeal in this matter, and has waived the same.

Merrill Lynch admits the jurisdiction of the Commissioner, neither admits nor denies the Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order, and consents to entry of this Order by the Commissioner as settlement of the issues contained in this Order.

Merrill Lynch agrees that it shall not claim, assert, or apply for a tax deduction or tax credit with regard to any state, federal or local tax for any administrative monetary penalty that Merrill Lynch shall pay pursuant to this Order.

Merrill Lynch states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Order and that it has entered into this Order voluntarily.

Teresa M. Brenner represents that she is Associate General Counsel of Merrill Lynch and that, as such, has been authorized by

Merriii Lynch t	o enter into this Orde	r for and on benaff o	of Merriii Lynch.		
Dated this	day of	, 2009 .			
By:	NCH, PIERCE, FEN				
SUBSCRIBE	O AND SWORN TO	before me this	day of	, 2009	
[Affix seal]					
Notary Public is State of North					
My Commissio	on expires:				
	•		•	on mirroring prior to 2 appropriate registrati	nended the