

**T**his section will contain the final text of the rules proposed by agencies. The order of rulemaking is required to contain a citation to the legal authority upon which the order or rulemaking is based; reference to the date and page or pages where the notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the *Missouri Register*; an explanation of any change between the text of the rule as contained in the notice of proposed rulemaking and the text of the rule as finally adopted, together with the reason for any such change; and the full text of any section or subsection of the rule as adopted which has been changed from that contained in the notice of proposed rulemaking. The effective date of the rule shall be not less than thirty days after the date of publication of the revision to the *Code of State Regulations*.

**T**he agency is also required to make a brief summary of the general nature and extent of comments submitted in support of or opposition to the proposed rule and a concise summary of the testimony presented at the hearing, if any, held in connection with the rulemaking, together with a concise summary of the agency's findings with respect to the merits of any such testimony or comments which are opposed in whole or in part to the proposed rule. The ninety-day period during which an agency shall file its Order of Rulemaking for publication in the *Missouri Register* begins either: 1) after the hearing on the Proposed Rulemaking is held; or 2) at the end of the time for submission of comments to the agency. During this period, the agency shall file with the secretary of state the order of rulemaking, either putting the proposed rule into effect, with or without further changes, or withdrawing the proposed rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT**

**Division 110—Missouri Dental Board  
Chapter 2—General Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Dental Board under sections 332.031, 332.091 and 332.311, RSMo Supp. 1999, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 110-2.001 Definitions is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 1, 2000 (25 MoReg 477-478). No changes have been made to the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT**

**Division 110—Missouri Dental Board  
Chapter 2—General Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Dental Board under section 332.031, RSMo Supp. 1999, the board rescinds a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 110-2.130 Dental Hygienists is rescinded.**

A notice of the proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 1, 2000 (25 MoReg 478). No changes have been made to the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT**

**Division 110—Missouri Dental Board  
Chapter 2—General Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Dental Board under sections 332.031, 332.071 and 332.311, RSMo Supp. 1999, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 110-2.130 Dental Hygienists is adopted.**

A notice of the proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 1, 2000 (25 MoReg 478-484). No changes have been made to the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The Missouri Dental Board received a total of two (2) written comments. In response to these comments, the Missouri Dental Board makes the following findings.

COMMENT: On behalf of the Missouri Association of Nurse Anesthetists, Mr. Louis J. DesPres expressed concern about advocating dental hygienists as anesthesia providers in the administration of nitrous oxide to dental patients. He cites patient safety as the primary concern and suggests that dental hygienists be required to complete anesthesia training in an existing, accredited anesthesia program. Mr. DesPres also suggests that dental hygienists be required to successfully complete basic cardiac life support (BCLS), advanced cardiac life support (ACLS), pass a certifying exam by a nationally recognized certifying board, maintain certification by the certifying board, complete continuing education dedicated to their knowledge and understanding of nitrous oxide, be credentialed by the Missouri Dental Board, and be directly supervised by the operating dentist at all times before being allowed to administer nitrous oxide.

COMMENT: Dr. Leonard Shackles comments that he objects to the hygienist being able to do dentistry as set forth in Section 332.071 without a dental license.

RESPONSE: The Board appreciates the concerns expressed by the President of the Missouri Association of Nurse Anesthetists and the comments received by Dr. Shackles. Since it is the Board's obligation to protect the health and safety of the public concerning the practice of dentistry and dental hygiene in Missouri, this proposed rule is the product of many months of deliberation with the dental and dental hygiene community. These deliberations included consultation with the educators from the accredited institutions that sponsor the specialized training required in the rule. This proposed rule does not advocate that dental hygienists be utilized as anesthesia providers in the administration of nitrous oxide to den-

tal patients, neither does it allow hygienists to practice dentistry. Rather, the proposed rule authorizes dental hygienists to administer nitrous oxide and local anesthesia under the direct or indirect supervision of a licensed dentist only after having provided proof of competency in a specialized course of training and after having been issued a permit by the Missouri Dental Board. The Board's current rule on dental hygienists already authorizes the Board to issue permits to dental hygienists to administer infiltration anesthesia and nitrous oxide analgesia after having completed specialized training and a competency examination. This rule has been in effect since 1984. During that sixteen-year period, the Board has not received any complaints from the public regarding the administration of either nitrous oxide or infiltration anesthesia by a dental hygienist. Additionally, the Board is not aware of any situation that has resulted in harm to the dental patient as a result of a dental hygienist administering either infiltration anesthesia or nitrous oxide analgesia to a dental patient. Therefore, the Board finds that the proposed rule is more than adequate without change to protect the health and safety of the dental patient.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 30—Division of School Services  
Chapter 345—Missouri School Improvement Program**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under section 161.092, RSMo 1994, the board amends a rule as follows:

5 CSR 30-345.010 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 1, 2000 (25 MoReg 533). Changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment as well as the *Integrated Standards and Indicator*. Section (2), with changes, is reprinted as follows. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The Department of Elementary and Secondary Education received thirty-eight (38) comments to the proposed amendment. Two (2) comments addressed the proposed amendment to the rule. Thirty-six (36) comments addressed the Standards and Indicators used by the Missouri School Improvement Program (MSIP) which are incorporated by reference into the rule being amended.

**COMMENT:** The proposed rule will cost more than \$500. The rule does not reference the Report Writing Guide and Rubric (Scoring Guide) which are a part of the Missouri School Improvement Program.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The State Board has considered these comments and has further amended the rule by redefining the cost of the rule and by amending section (2). The changes in section (2) and the public entity fiscal note have been made and reprinted here for clarity.

**COMMENT:** It is "impossible" to provide 1500 minutes of health and safety education instruction for all middle school students (Indicator 1.2.2).

**RESPONSE:** The 1500 minutes requirement for health is unchanged from the Second Cycle. Exceptions may be granted to districts using eight (8) and ten (10) block schedules or for other acceptable reasons.

**COMMENT:** "Career education" in Indicator 1.1.1 should be changed to "career awareness."

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The State Board of Education has decided to change Indicator 1.1.1 of the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference by inserting the word awareness. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

**COMMENT:** Two comments were received on Standard 3.1, 3.2 and 4.3.

- Change staffing ratio in Indicators 3.1, 3.2 and 4.3 to district wide ratios rather than building ratios.
- Psychometrists, social workers, etc., should count in the counseling staff ratios in Standard 3.2.

**RESPONSE:** District wide variance is considered. The clear focus of these ratios is to see that all students have access to these services, not just students in selected buildings. Exceptions may be granted for acceptable reasons.

**COMMENT:** Indicator 1.2.6 would require all students to take an algebra course. Some students are not ready in the middle school to take course in algebraic concepts.

**RESPONSE:** A course that offers algebraic concepts must be "available" to all middle school students. The indicator does not require all students to take a course in algebraic concepts.

**COMMENT:** Eleven (11) comments were received on Indicator 6.1.1 that would require curriculum guides to include certain components.

- It is not appropriate to require instructional strategies and performance-based assessments for each objective.
- This requirement is not unreasonable but this is a new requirement that will require a lot of time and work to complete.
- Differentiate between "instructional strategy" and "activity". The prescriptive nature of 6.1.1 goes against the philosophy of performance assessment.
- Later Third Cycle districts will have more time to prepare than will the early Third Cycle district.
- All curriculum guides will have to be rewritten. This is an important task and should not be done quickly just to satisfy MSIP.
- Requiring board of education approval of curriculum guides is not reasonable.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The Department agrees that it may not be appropriate for each objective to have a performance-based assessment. However, the Department believes having instructional strategies and specific assessments (including performance-based assessments) for each objective is important for districts in order for their students to do well on the Missouri Assessment Program (MAP). The Department declines to address the fairness and timeliness issue in the Standards and Indicators but agrees it is an important issue that must be addressed in other components of the program. Boards of education (160.514 RSMo) are required to "adopt or develop a written curriculum which is designed to ensure" that students learn the skills in the Show-Me Standards. Requiring curriculum articulation is a Second Cycle Indicator carried over into the Third Cycle. The State Board of Education has decided to change the language in Indicator 6.1.1 of the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference by amending the Indicator to read specific assessments (including performance-based assessments) for a majority of the learner objectives. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

**COMMENT:** Four (4) comments were received on Indicator 6.1.3 which requires districts to incorporate content and processes related to equity, technology, research and workplace readiness skills in their written curriculum across all subject and grades.

- To require this across all subjects and grades is an excessive requirement. This would require a rewrite of all curriculum guides.
- First year districts in the cycle are at a disadvantage.
- It will take time to complete this.
- How do you demonstrate this Indicator? It will be complex and a burden.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The State Board declines to address the issue of fairness and timeliness in the Integrated Standards and Indicators. The Second Cycle Standards contained this requirement and it has been retained in the Third Cycle. However, the language used does not effectively convey the intent that the curriculum guides at each level (elementary, middle school and high school) should give students the opportunity to learn about these important concepts. The State Board of Education has decided to change the wording in the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference by deleting across all subject areas and grades. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

**COMMENT:** Two (2) comments were received regarding Indicator 6.2.1 that requires districts to include in their written assessment plan specific strategies for assessing the Show-Me Standards not assessed on the MAP.

- Teachers should not be forced to address this indicator at the expense of regular classroom instruction. They need support, release time and compensation.
- Must the strategies be a specific test?

**RESPONSE:** The Department requires that districts develop a means to measure the Show-Me Standards not tested by the MAP and each district may assess them as they see best for their district.

**COMMENT:** Two (2) comments were received regarding Indicator 6.2.2 that requires districts to implement strategies to motivate students to do their best on the MAP.

- The concept places the responsibility of motivating students to perform well on the MAP on Missouri educators. It is “misplaced in MSIP and should be addressed in a different forum.” It could produce unintended consequences—also needs to include responsibility of others such as parents, students, administrators and teachers.
- This needs to be done but how do we do it—particularly with disinterested high school students?

**RESPONSE:** The State Board declines to change this Indicator. The Department agrees that many segments of the community need to be involved in motivating students to perform well. However, this is an important concept for districts to think about and address in their long-range planning.

**COMMENT:** One comment was received specifically regarding Indicator 6.2.3 that requires the board of education to review disaggregated achievement and dropout data for five (5) or more students. It would be better if the required disaggregation were based upon a population of five (5) or 5%, whichever is larger. There were a number of comments under Indicators 9.1.2 and 9.1.4 that were related to this issue but more specific to the measurement for performance accountability.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The Department believes it is important for local boards to examine this data to determine if a subgroup of five (5) or more of a sub-population is lagging behind in achievement or are dropping out at a higher rate. There is a relationship between this Indicator and Indicators 9.1.3 and 9.1.4. This indicator requires examination and action if there is a difference and the Performance Indicators 9.1.3 and 9.1.4 are designed to be measured by the Department as to amount of improvement. Based on the comments, the State Board of Education has decided to change the wording in the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference by

including migrant and Limited English Proficient (LEP). The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

**COMMENT:** Four (4) comments were received regarding Standard 6.3.

- The term “assesses needs” in the Standard 6.3 needs to be clarified.
- In Indicator 6.3.1 there should be more attention and identification of at risk populations.
- Does Indicator 6.3.4 require every student to have extended learning opportunities or must extended learning opportunities be made available to every student.
- It is important to include in this Standard an Indicator that addresses effective, research-based reading instruction at the primary level.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** Standard 6.2 requires a district to have an assessment program which when implemented would identify needs for improvement to the instructional program. This Standard requires the district to use the data derived in 6.2 to judge what improvement should be made. The Standard as written focuses on all student populations who are at risk. It is the intent of Indicator 6.3.4 to assure that all students have “access” to extended learning opportunities. The State Board of Education has decided to change the wording in the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference by the addition of an Indicator: A balanced, research-based reading program is in place for grades K-3 and by inserting access to extended learning. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

**COMMENT:** Two (2) comments were received regarding Indicator 6.5.2 which suggests that a good learning environment is created when teachers accept responsibility for prompting student success.

- The wording should be changed to “teachers share responsibility...”
- What documentation will be required?

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** Documentation is not a part of the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference. The State Board of Education has decided to change the wording in the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference by adding and administrators after teachers. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

**COMMENT:** Indicator 6.6 does not include reference to the involvement of parents as it did in the Second Cycle.

**RESPONSE:** Parental involvement has been strengthened and combined with other parent engagement issues under Indicator 7.5.3.

**COMMENT:** Three (3) comments were received about specifically identifying National Staff Development Council (NSDC) Standards in the Standard 6.7.

**RESPONSE:** The Department does not reference other agency specified standards within its standards. However, as in the case with Model Guidance, Library Media Center (LMC) Standards, etc., the concepts of NSDC have been considered and infused into the Standards and Indicators as appropriate.

**COMMENT:** Indicator 6.7.6 should have the word “Substantial” removed.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The State Board of Education has decided to change the wording in the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference by deleting the word substantial.

COMMENT: Two (2) comments were received regarding Library Media Centers (Standard 6.8)

- Indicator 6.8.1 should reference that the librarian assists all student “populations” and staff...
- Requiring a “set of systematic strategies” specific to the Library Media Centers tends to be counter to the concept of a district developing a Comprehensive School Improvement Plan to meet it’s unique needs. Change the wording.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The State Board of Education has decided to change the wording in the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference by adding the word populations and by changing the wording for Indicator 6.8.4. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

COMMENT: Three (3) comments were received regarding Standard 6.9 Guidance.

- 6.9 does not reference the recently developed State guidance curriculum.
- The guidance curriculum should not be revised every three (3) years but on a five (5) or six (6) year regular cycle like other curricular areas.
- What are System Support and Management Activities?
- Career planning should begin no later than grade eight.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The Department does not dictate what curriculum a district should use and believes this is a local decision. The guidance curriculum is based upon the needs assessment and generally consists of activities that are not revised in the same sense as other curriculum. System support and management activities includes such things as counselors serving as consultants, informing the public about the functions of guidance and working with employers and post-secondary institutions in order to stay current on training and employment needs. The State Board of Education has decided to change the wording in the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference by inserting no later than grade eight (8) and in Indicator 6.9.3. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

COMMENT: Clarification is needed on Indicator 7.1 “All students with disabilities have access to the general curriculum.... Does this mean all disabled students are mainstreamed?”

RESPONSE: It means that all have access to the general curriculum not that all must be placed in the general curriculum. Access suggests it is available where appropriate.

COMMENT: The language in Indicator 7.2.4 regarding gifted education services are designed to provide identified students with “instructional objectives and strategies” should be changed to “learning objectives and teaching strategies.”

RESPONSE: The words are considered to have the same meaning.

COMMENT: Four (4) comments were received for Indicator 8.1 that requires districts to evaluate programs and services every two (2) years.

- It is not possible to evaluate programs every two (2) years because it takes more than two (2) years of data to evaluate effectively.
- This is a “short circuit” to the comprehensive curriculum review cycle.
- Thanks for changing the required program evaluations to once every two years.
- What is a program? This is a huge requirement for large districts.

RESPONSE: This standard is aimed at the preferred practice of regularly evaluating programs against the stated program goals or purposes. The district defines the programs to be evaluated and

the evaluation criteria. The First Cycle required annual program evaluations and the Second Cycle required biennial program evaluations. This is not intended to address a curriculum evaluation.

COMMENT: Four (4) comments were received regarding Indicator 8.3.5 requiring districts to report dropouts to the Hotline as required by statute. All believed this reporting requirement is located under an inappropriate standard.

RESPONSE: The State Board declines to make a change to the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference. It is a statutory requirement.

COMMENT: Two (2) responses were received regarding Standard 8.5 dealing with the community providing “sufficient financial resources to ensure” quality programs.

- What does the term “sufficient” mean?
- Consider assessing financial support for educational programs and expected level of achievement on the MAP.

RESPONSE: This standard is a carry over from the Second Cycle and has generally been used to address the issues of poor financial administration and whether the community is providing adequate financial support for programs and services. The term “sufficient” is defined by the Indicators.

COMMENT: Two (2) comments were received regarding Indicator 8.6.1.

- “The district did not end the fiscal year with a negative balance in any fund during the last two years” should be extended to a longer period.
- The phrase “sufficient balance” is unclear.

RESPONSE: It is unnecessary to look for negative balances beyond the preceding and second preceding fiscal years. Any problems prior to that period will have likely been corrected. The term “sufficient balance” is defined by the Indicators.

COMMENT: Three (3) comments were received regarding Indicator 8.2.1 structuring the requirements of the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP).

- Change the phrase aimed at asking a district with multiple buildings at given grade levels from “provide assistance in developing building-level school improvement plans...” by inserting “and implementing” between the words development and building level.
- As outlined the CSIP will be massive with too many goals/objectives.
- It is an unreasonable requirement for large districts.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: This indicator is a carry over from the Second Cycle. The Department specifically encourages that districts identify a small number of improvement objectives. It is not expected the CSIP be a massive document. The State Board of Education has decided to change the wording in the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference by inserting and implementing. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

COMMENT: Indicator 8.2.2 should specify that community also includes student and teachers.

RESPONSE: The term “community” would include all representative populations.

COMMENT: Two (2) comments have been received regarding Standard 8.7 dealing with parental involvement in schools.

- Staff should be added to the list of who have an opportunity to discuss concerns...
- MSIP requires that districts have certain required committees. Districts alone should determine which committees to establish.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** Committees are optional unless required by rule, law, or grants applications. The State Board of Education has decided to change the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference by inserting the word staff. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

**COMMENT:** Standards and Indicators 6.1.1, 6.2.2, 6.4.1, 6.2.3, 6.4.4, 6.5.3, 6.8.1, 6.8.3, 7.3 and 8.1.2 are all unfunded mandates and 7.1.2, 9.1.3, 9.1.4, 9.2, 1.3, 7.3 and 9.4 create barriers without raising standards.

**RESPONSE:** The State Board declines to make a change to the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference.

**COMMENT:** Indicators 6.7.5, 6.8.3, 8.1.2 and 8.11.1 will require much effort to comply because they increase evaluation responsibilities.

**RESPONSE:** The State Board declines to make a change to the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference.

**COMMENT:** Three (3) comments suggested that the definition of “approved vocational courses” should be expanded to include other non-vocational-funded programs and classes that prepare students for the world of work.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The State Board has decided to change Indicator 9.4.2 of the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference by replacing “approved” with the word designated. There are current discussions to allow more vocational courses to be considered. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

**COMMENT:** Differentiated Instruction and Supplemental Program should be incorporated into other relevant standard/indicators. Maintaining a separate section of the Standards and Indicators for special populations tends to communicate the message that exclusion is okay.

**RESPONSE:** The State Board declines to make a change to the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference. While it is an excellent idea, in fairness to the first year Third Cycle school districts, the Report Writing Form and Procedures Manual must be shared very soon so districts may begin preparations for their Review. The Department will continue discussions on this issue and consider the integration of the Differentiation Standards in the Fourth Cycle.

**COMMENT:** Twenty-four (24) comments were received regarding Standard 9.1.

- The scoring guide should be consistent with research to allow three (3) to five (5) years to see gains on the MAP.
- Mobility needs to be considered. It is unreasonable to hold districts responsible for students who have not been in the district long enough for programs to have an impact (four comments).
- Assess cohort groups for MSIP purposes.
- Districts with low socio-economic student populations should not be required to meet the same standards as high socio-economic student populations (two comments).
- Look at more than one measure of general achievement.
- The MAP has no relationship to higher education.
- Indicator 9.1.1 should delete the word “combined” in connection with improvement in the bottom two and the top two level on the MAP. The wording prohibits consideration of other, possibly better ways to measure improvement.
- The 10% level Not Determined is reasonable (two comments).
- Sub-population disaggregation should not be a separate indicator.

- Give bonus points for closing the gap between racial/ethnic minorities and the majority (three comments).
- The floor requirement should be eliminated on the MAP bottom two levels.
- Requiring districts to close the gap between racial/ethnic minority that has 20 students seems to discriminate against the non-minority population. A percentage would be better.
- The current configuration of the indicators will require the scores of sub-populations to be counted for or against the district more than once (LEP, Special Education, gender, migrant, etc.).
- Districts lack the resources to see that all sub-populations are achieving at a high level.
- Extend the MAP exemption period for LEP students beyond the one-year.
- According to research, expecting LEP students to make equal or greater gains on the MAP may not be possible.
- It is unclear in Indicator 9.1.3 what “same grade level” means.
- It is a mistake to compare the MAP results of one population to another population’s scores. There is a risk that such a comparison “will prove divisive and demeaning.” The current standard lists only a few of the groups to be compared. Should it not be all?
- Special education should be measured with the whole population in a school.
- Make Indicators 9.1.3, 9.1.4 and 9.1.5 all bonus points on Indicator 9.1.1.
- Measure special education student progress by giving the MAP at their functional level rather than their current grade level.
- The phrase in 9.1.3 “or any other student populations which have been traditionally considered educationally disadvantaged...” is ambiguous (two comments).
- We should not have to be accountable for test results for our students who receive special education services from the Special School District.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** Many of the comments dealt with other components of the Missouri School Improvement Program and procedures that are not related to this rule or the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference. Those issues will be addressed in other components. Based upon the comments the State Board of Education has decided to change the wording for K-12 Standard/Indicators 9.1.1, 9.1.3 and 9.1.4, as well as, K-8 Standards and Indicators 9.1.1, 9.1.3 and 9.1.4 of the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

**COMMENT:** Two (2) comments were received regarding Indicator 9.2 MAP Reading.

- MSIP reading score is inhibited because of the impact of the normed referenced Terra Nova items in the MAP.
- Standard 9.2 refers to “reading ability” and the MAP does not measure ability but measures achievement.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The MAP does not use the norming components of the Terra Nova when the benchmarks are set. Based upon the comments the State Board of Education has decided to insert achievement for the word ability in the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

**COMMENT:** Six (6) comments were received regarding Standard 9.4.

- Combine 9.4.1 and 9.4.2.
- Expand the courses that are counted as vocational courses.

- Districts who send high percentages of students to college cannot meet the vocational preparation and placement requirements.
- Drop the vocational Indicators 9.4.2 and 9.4.4.
- Waive districts from 9.4.2 if they have a high percent of students in 9.4.3.
- Combine 9.4.1 and 9.4.3 as well as 9.4.2 and 9.4.4.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The Department agrees that clarification is needed. A note of explanation has been added to the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference that address these concerns.

COMMENT: Two (2) comments were received regarding Standard 10.1.

- Allow as acceptable a dropout rate below the state average.
- Districts should only be expected to meet attendance or dropout, not both.

RESPONSE: The State Board declines to make a change to the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference.

COMMENT: Many comments were received from attendees of the K-8 District Conference in addition to three (3) written comments regarding Standard 11.1.

- Holding K-8 districts accountable for students who drop out in the 9th or 10th grade is unfair. K-8 districts cannot control what receiving high schools do to discourage or encourage students to stay in school.
- K-8 districts attendance should be judged the same as K-12 districts. K-12 districts are 94% and K-8 must meet 95%.
- Comparison of K-8 students GPA to the students in the receiving high school seems unjustified. There are problems getting the data from receiving high schools.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The second and third comment will be addressed in other components of the Program not a part of the Integrated Standards and Indicators incorporated by reference. Based upon the comments the State Board of Education has decided to change Standard 10.1 by deleting Indicator 1 and the wording of the Standard by replacing "Educational Persistence" with Attendance. The change in the Standards and Indicators have been made and reprinted for clarity.

### 5 CSR 30-345.010 General Provisions

(2) During each year, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education will select school districts which will be reviewed and classified in accordance with this rule, including the standards with the appropriate scoring guide and forms and procedures outlined in the annual Missouri School Improvement Program procedures manual.

*REVISED PUBLIC COST: The fiscal impact in the proposed amendment has been revised to reflect data received during implementation of the 2nd five-year cycle of the Missouri School Improvement Program (MSIP). Public entity costs for public school districts are based upon estimates of the cost of local district staff participation. Public entity costs for the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education are based upon a sample data from MSIP reviews during the 2nd five-year cycle. The revised fiscal impact reflects an annual cost of \$311,244 annual over the life of the rule for public elementary and secondary school districts and an annual cost of \$220,538 over the life of the rule of the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, with the aggregate annual cost estimated to be \$531,782 over the life of the rule.*

**REVISED FISCAL NOTE  
PUBLIC ENTITY COST**

**I. RULE NUMBER**

Title: 5 – Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Division: 30 – School Services

Chapter: 345 – Missouri School Improvement Program

Type of Rulemaking: Final Order

Rule Number and Name: 5 CSR 30-345.010 General Provisions

**II. SUMMARY OF FISCAL IMPACT**

Affected Agency or Political Subdivision	Estimated Cost of Compliance in the Aggregate
Public Elementary and Secondary School Districts	\$311,244 per year for the life of the rule.
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education	\$220,538 per year for the life of the rule.

**NOTE:** This fiscal note states the cost of complying with the Missouri School Improvement Program (MSIP) under the current rule. The department does not anticipate an increase in the cost of implementing the MSIP resulting from these amendments, in fact, a reduction in costs at both the district and state levels may result from the consolidation of MSIP standards and the anticipated granting of MSIP waivers to approximately 20% of the school districts annually.

**III. WORKSHEET**

For the purposes of this fiscal note, districts are classified into four categories based upon student population and staff size. Public entity cost for public school district is based upon estimates of district staff participation. The number of visits is estimated over the five-year MSIP 3<sup>rd</sup> cycle, taking into consideration staff interviews and document preparation.

District Category Size	Visits	Team Size	District Cost	Cycle Cost
1	2	60	\$13,320.00	\$2,664.00
2	3	30	\$6,660.00	\$19,980.00
3	60	15	\$3,330.00	\$199,800.00
4	40	10	\$2,220.00	\$88,800.00
				\$311,244.00

#### IV. ASSUMPTIONS

This rule establishes standards for the Missouri School Improvement Program (MSIP), which is a program that promotes school improvement and provides accreditation ratings for Missouri schools implemented by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE). It is applicable to public school districts. The standards and indicators of MSIP fall within three major areas: resource, process and performance. Information about each school district related to these standards is taken from existing data which each school district submits to DESE each school year. The Missouri School Improvement Program review requires the time and attention of many within a school district. Time and resources required to prepare for and go through the review process will vary greatly from district to district. This cost may be reduced if the district is granted a waiver under 5 CSR 30-345.020.

State agency costs are based upon a sample of MSIP reviews conducted during the MSIP 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle, including cost reimbursements for field staff from school districts, department team members, team leaders and consultants, (including mileage, food and lodging). Based upon this sample, average costs are estimated at \$2,137 per review, with annual costs based upon the anticipated number of reviews per year during the 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle.



**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 50—Division of Instruction  
Chapter 340—Supervision of Instruction**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 160.041, 161.092, 167.131, and 171.031, RSMo 1994, and 163.021, RSMo Supp. 1999, the board rescinds a rule as follows:

**5 CSR 50-340.010** Classification and Accreditation of Public School Districts **is rescinded.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 1, 2000 (25 MoReg 533). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication of the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 80—Urban and Teacher Education  
Chapter 800—Teacher Certification and Professional  
Conduct and Investigations**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 168.011 and 168.081, RSMo 1994 and 168.021 and 168.071, RSMo Supp. 1999, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**5 CSR 80-800.400** Procedure for Potential Candidates for Missouri Certificate of License to Teach with a Criminal History to Petition the State Board of Education for Background Clearance **is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 1, 2000 (25 MoReg 533-535). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 4—General Administrative Policies**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

5 CSR 90-4.100 is adopted.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 367). Those sections with changes are reprinted

here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment.

COMMENT: The Missouri Protection and Advocacy submitted a letter of comment suggesting changes to the definitions for comparable services and statewide government agency.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The State Board of Education has decided to change section (1) and has decided to make no changes to section (2) as the definition is used in other rules. Section (1) is reprinted for clarity.

**5 CSR 90-4.100 Definitions**

(1) Comparable Services. Services available under any other program (other than a program carried out under this title) which contributes to the achievement of the individual's rehabilitation goal.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 4—General Administrative Policies**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**5 CSR 90-4.110** Confidentiality and Release of Information **is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 367). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 4—General Administrative Policies**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**5 CSR 90-4.120** Minimum Standards for Service Providers **is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 368). No changes have been made to the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment.

COMMENT: A citizen submitted a letter of comment suggesting the elimination of the accreditation requirement for service providers and suggesting that the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education assume direct oversight of the rehabilitation facilities.

RESPONSE: The State Board of Education has carefully considered the comment and notes that the proposed rule is more cost effective. Therefore, the State Board has decided that there is no cause for a change to its proposed rule.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 4—General Administrative Policies**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**5 CSR 90-4.200 Eligibility is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 368-369). No changes have been made to the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment.

COMMENT: The Missouri Protection and Advocacy submitted a letter of comment suggesting that no individual diagnosed with drug and/or alcohol dependence can be denied eligibility, however that individual could be denied services. In addition, Missouri Protection and Advocacy comments that individuals with visual disabilities generally are referred to Missouri Rehabilitation Services for the Blind (RSB), however, the rule should contain some exceptions for client choice.

RESPONSE: The State Board of Education has carefully considered the comments and would point out that in order for an individual to benefit from an employment outcome they must be in or have completed a drug or alcohol treatment program. Furthermore, state statute provides that the Missouri Rehabilitation Services for the Blind provides services to individuals with visual disabilities. The State Board has decided that there is no cause for a change to its proposed rule.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 4—General Administrative Policies**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**5 CSR 90-4.300 Order of Selection for Services is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 370). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule

becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 4—General Administrative Policies**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**5 CSR 90-4.400 is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 370-371). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment.

COMMENT: The Missouri Protection and Advocacy submitted a letter of comment pointing out a typographical error in sections (1) and (3) and suggesting clarification to section (4) to include "unless the eligible individual or their representative has agreed in writing to a suspension, reduction or termination of services."

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The State Board of Education has decided to change sections (1), (3) and (4). Sections (1), (3) and (4) are reprinted here for clarity.

**5 CSR 90-4.400 Appeals**

(1) When an applicant or eligible individual signs an application, is determined ineligible for services, the Individualized Plan for Employment (IPE) is developed or executed, or upon reduction, suspension, or cessation of vocational rehabilitation services, the applicant or eligible client will be apprised of their rights to a due process hearing and/or mediation.

(3) When an applicant or eligible individual is dissatisfied with any determination made by DVR regarding the provision of services, the applicant or eligible individual will be given information about the Client Assistance Program.

(4) Division of Vocational Rehabilitation will not suspend, reduce, or terminate services provided to an eligible client under an existing IPE pending a decision from informal review, due process hearing or written mediation agreement, unless the eligible individual or their representative requests in writing that services be suspended, reduced or terminated.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 4—General Administrative Policies**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**5 CSR 90-4.410 is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 371). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment.

COMMENT: The Missouri Protection and Advocacy submitted a letter of comment suggesting that both parties must agree to the time extension rather than one party requesting a time extension.  
RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The State Board of Education agrees and has decided to change sections (4) and (5). Sections (4) and (5) are reprinted here for clarity.

**5 CSR 90-4.410 Informal Review**

(4) If the informal review is not successful, a formal due process hearing will be conducted within forty-five (45) days from the applicant or eligible individual's written request for informal review unless both parties agree to a specified time extension.

(5) The applicant or eligible individual will be informed of the results of their informal review in writing and the right to a due process hearing or mediation.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 4—General Administrative Policies**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**5 CSR 90-4.420 Due Process Hearing is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 371–373). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment.

COMMENT: The Missouri Protection and Advocacy submitted a letter of comment suggesting changes to whom the request for a hearing should be made.

RESPONSE: The State Board of Education has carefully considered the comment and has decided that there is no cause for a change to its proposed rule.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 4—General Administrative Policies**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**5 CSR 90-4.430 Mediation is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 374–375). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment.

COMMENT: The Missouri Protection and Advocacy submitted a letter of comment suggesting changes to whom the request for mediation should be made.

RESPONSE: The State Board of Education has carefully considered the comment and has decided that there is no cause for a change to its proposed rule.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 5—Vocational Rehabilitation Services**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**5 CSR 90-5.400 Services is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 376–378). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment.

COMMENT: The Missouri Protection and Advocacy submitted a letter of comment suggesting the rule be changed to include that “[t]he individual and counselor work together to obtain prices from two vendors, including the consumer’s preference of vendors whenever possible.”

RESPONSE: The State Board of Education has carefully considered the comment and has decided that there is no cause for a change to its proposed rule.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 5—Vocational Rehabilitation Services**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

**5 CSR 90-5.410 Fees is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 379). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule

becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 5—Vocational Rehabilitation Services  
ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

5 CSR 90-5.420 is adopted.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 379-381). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment and noted a clerical error.

COMMENT: The Missouri Protection and Advocacy submitted a letter of comment suggesting changes to the proposed rule so as not to place an absolute limit on the payment for maintenance and transportation services.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The State Board of Education has decided to change subsections (1)(A) and section (2). Subsections (1)(A) and (B) and section (2) are reprinted here for clarity.

**5 CSR 90-5.420 Maintenance and Transportation**

(1) The following maintenance and transportation services as defined in the federal act and/or applicable regulations may be provided to applicants or eligible individuals regardless of financial need:

(A) Maintenance when required to enable the applicant or eligible individual to participate in diagnostic evaluation/services; and/or

(B) Transportation when required to enable an applicant or eligible individual to participate in diagnostic evaluation/services.

(2) The following maintenance and transportation services as defined in the federal act and/or applicable regulations may be provided to applicants or eligible individuals based upon financial need. Exceptions may be made if the individual will suffer economic hardship.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 5—Vocational Rehabilitation Services**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

5 CSR 90-5.430 is adopted.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15,

2000 (25 MoReg 382-383). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment.

COMMENT: The Missouri Protection and Advocacy submitted a letter of comment noting a clerical change.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The State Board of Education has decided to change paragraph (1)(D)2. Paragraphs (1)(D)1. and 2. are reprinted here for clarity.

**5 CSR 90-5.430 Physical and Mental Restoration**

(1) The following physical and/or mental restoration services as defined in the federal act and/or applicable regulations may be provided to applicants or eligible individuals based upon financial need:

(D) Individuals with mental illness may be referred to the Missouri Department of Mental Health or other mental health providers as a comparable service. Psychotherapy services may be authorized when required for the eligible individual to begin or continue a rehabilitation plan under the following conditions:

1. The need for psychotherapy is clearly related to the expected employment outcome and recommended by a Missouri licensed psychiatrist or psychologist;

2. An Individualized Plan for Employment (IPE) must have been developed or be in the process of development to provide services leading to the attainment of the vocational goal;

3. The eligible individual meets DVR's financial need guidelines;

4. The provider must be a Missouri licensed psychiatrist, psychologist, clinical social worker or professional counselor. The provider must possess a valid, unencumbered, unrestricted and undisciplined Missouri license; and

5. Psychotherapy may be authorized for a period up to three (3) months. An additional three (3) months of therapy may be approved if the therapist feels that the consumer is making satisfactory progress that will lead to the attainment of the vocational goal specified on the IPE.

**Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND  
SECONDARY EDUCATION  
Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation  
Chapter 5—Vocational Rehabilitation Services**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

5 CSR 90-5.440 is adopted.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 384-386). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment and noted a clerical error.

COMMENT: The Missouri Protection and Advocacy submitted a letter of comment suggesting that academic scholarships or awards based upon merit should not be used to reduce the individual's participation in the education cost.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The State Board of Education has carefully considered the Missouri Protection and Advocacy's comment and has decided that there is no cause for a change to its proposed rule. The State Board of Education, however, does make a clerical change to section (1). section (1) is reprinted here for clarity.

#### **5 CSR 90-5.440 Training**

(1) The following training services as defined in the federal act and/or applicable regulations, and 5 CSR 30-4.020 may be provided to eligible individuals based upon financial need:

#### **Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation Chapter 5—Vocational Rehabilitation Services**

#### **ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

#### **5 CSR 90-5.450 Home Modification and/or remodeling is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 387-388). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment.

COMMENT: A citizen submitted a request for clarification of financial amounts in the fiscal note.

RESPONSE: The State Board of Education has reviewed the comment and decided that there is no cause for a change to its proposed rule.

#### **Title 5—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION Division 90—Vocational Rehabilitation Chapter 5—Vocational Rehabilitation Services**

#### **ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the State Board of Education under sections 161.092, 178.600, 178.610 and 178.620, RSMo 1994, the board adopts a rule as follows:

#### **5 CSR 90-5.460 Vehicle Modification is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 389-390). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The State Board of Education received one letter of comment.

COMMENT: The Missouri Protection and Advocacy submitted a letter of comment suggesting that an exception be written for the

purchase of a vehicle when necessary for a client to pursue an employment outcome.

RESPONSE: The State Board of Education has carefully considered the comment and has decided that there is no cause for a change to its proposed rule.

#### **Title 9—DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH Division 25—Fiscal Management Chapter 4—Vendor Procedures**

#### **ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Mental Health under section 630.050, RSMo Supp. 1999, the director amends a rule as follows:

#### **9 CSR 25-4.040 Recovery of Overpayments to Providers is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 641-643). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

#### **Title 9—DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH Division 45—Division of Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Chapter 5—Standards**

#### **ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Mental Health under section 633.010, RSMo 1994, the director adopts a rule as follows:

#### **9 CSR 45-5.040 Missouri Alliance for Individuals with Developmental Disabilities is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 644-648). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

#### **Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division 10—Air Conservation Commission Chapter 5—Air Quality Standards and Air Pollution Control Rules Specific to the St. Louis Metropolitan Area**

#### **ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Air Conservation Commission under section 643.050, RSMo Supp. 1999, the commission amends a rule as follows:

#### **10 CSR 10-5.390 is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on

February 1, 2000 (25 MoReg 264). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The Air Pollution Control Program received one written comment from the P.D. George Company on this proposed amendment.

**COMMENT:** The P.D. George Company commented that the amendment as proposed is flawed and compliance would not be possible for companies that manufacture products in batch processes. The company suggested addressing this change in a different manner. They proposed adding language that would state that a surface condenser should be maintained at a set temperature when condensing volatile organic compounds of a set vapor pressure. This language was discussed in the settlement agreement that was signed by both P. D. George and the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR).

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The MDNR agrees with the submitted comment and paragraph (4)(F)1. has been revised accordingly. This change does not effect the restrictions of the rule, it merely states them in a different manner. This change will not relax the intent of this paragraph.

**10 CSR 10-5.390 Control of Emissions From Manufacture of Paints, Varnishes, Lacquers, Enamels and Other Allied Surface Coating Products**

(4) Operating Equipment and Operating Procedure Requirements.

(F) The polymerization of synthetic varnish or resin shall be done in a completely enclosed operation with the VOC emissions controlled by the use of surface condensers or equivalent controls.

1. If surface condensers are used, they must be maintained to ensure a ninety-five percent (95%) overall removal efficiency for total VOC emissions when condensing total VOC of a vapor pressure greater than 26 mmHg (as measured at 20 degrees Celsius).

2. If equivalent controls are used, the VOC emissions must be reduced by an amount equivalent to the reduction which would be achieved under paragraph (4)(F)1. Any owner or operator desiring to use equivalent controls to comply with this subsection shall submit proof of equivalency as part of the control plan required under subsection (5)(A) of this rule. Equivalent controls may not be used unless approved by the director.

**Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
Division 10—Air Conservation Commission  
Chapter 6—Air Quality Standards, Definitions,  
Sampling and Reference Methods and Air Pollution  
Control Regulations for the Entire State of Missouri**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Air Conservation Commission under section 643.050, RSMo Supp. 1999, the commission adopts a rule as follows:

10 CSR 10-6.400 is adopted.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 391-392). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) received several comments on this proposed new rule. The comments were submitted by the City of Independence, Water Pollution Control Program; the U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); the St. Louis Regional Chamber and Growth Association Air Quality Committee; Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. (AECI); and Regform. The comments were generally supportive of the rule consolidation but concerned with specific aspects of the new rule.

**COMMENT:** The City of Independence, Water Pollution Control Department commented on the addition of section (5) Test Methods into this new rule. It is their understanding that the existing rules that this new rule replaces do not contain provisions for test methods. They feel that the addition of test method provisions would not be considered a consolidation and would affect the cost statements of the rule. They also comment that the last sentence in this section is not complete and ambiguous. They suggest clarifying the statement—Any other method approved by the Director—by adding may be used.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The four existing rules do, in fact, contain test methods. In 10 CSR 10-2.030, 10 CSR 10-3.050, and 10 CSR 10-4.030 the test methods are located in subsection (1)(C). In 10 CSR 10-5.050, the test methods are in subsection (1)(D). No new test methods were included in the new rule. The language was simply moved to section (5) in the new rule to be more consistent with the standard rule format used by the MDNR. The MDNR agreed that the statement—Any other method approved by the Director—could be unclear, and a change was made to the rule.

**COMMENT:** The EPA submitted several comments on this proposed rule. In subsection (1)(A), they recommended moving the exception for the burning of fuel for indirect heating to subsection (1)(B) to reduce confusion. In subsection (2)(C), the definition for a jobbing cupola in Springfield-Greene County area appears to be less stringent than for the remainder of the state. They recommended revising the definition to be consistent throughout the state. In subsection (3)(A), they recommended adding language such as shall meet the following requirements: after wet milling drying processes. In paragraph (3)(A)3., they recommended that language be added to require that gases leaving the abatement operation can only be used to demonstrate compliance with this rule, provided that there is an enforceable requirement to operate the air pollution abatement equipment. Subsection (3)(D) provides an exemption to the rule during periods when a new fire is being built, during the start-up of the operation, during an operation breakdown, or while air pollution control equipment is being cleaned or repaired. They believe these exemptions are too broad and should not be built into the rule. Section (4) states that reporting and record keeping are not applicable. They recommended minimally that records of the tests to determine the amount of particulate matter emitted be kept on-site and available for inspectors for five years. Section (5) states—Any other method approved by the director. The EPA would prefer that director discretion of alternative test methods be removed from the rule or revise the statement to read—Any other test method must be approved by the director.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The MDNR agrees with EPA's first comment and the statement—the burning of fuel for indirect heating—has been moved to subsection (1)(B) to reduce confusion. However, the language in subsection (2)(C) referring to the definition of a jobbing cupola has not been revised according to the second comment because those definitions are consistent with the four existing area specific rules and it falls out of the scope of this rulemaking to change that language. The published purpose of this rulemaking was to consolidate the four existing rules without changing or adding requirements. The MDNR agrees with the next comment and the language—shall meet the following requirements—has been added to subsection (3)(A). The comment on paragraph (3)(A)3. can not be addressed at this time, as it falls out of the scope of this rulemaking. It will be retained

for future revisions to the rule. The MDNR agrees with the subsection (3)(D) comment because these exemptions were not contained in all four existing rules. Therefore, these exemptions have been removed from section (3). In regards to the next comment about the reporting and record keeping requirements, the four existing area specific rules do not contain any reporting or record keeping provisions. Therefore, those provisions cannot be added to this rule under the scope of this rulemaking in order to maintain consistency with the original rules. The published purpose of this rulemaking was to consolidate the four existing rules without changing or adding requirements. The MDNR agrees with the last comment and section (5) has been revised accordingly.

COMMENT: The chair of the Air Quality Committee of the St. Louis Regional Chamber and Growth Association provided written comment and oral testimony at the public hearing on March 30, 2000. The comments concern the overlap between this rule and other more specific rules that control particulate matter and the fact that the rule has no clear exemption for fugitive emissions or small vented emissions. There is concern that these two issues create problems for permit writers, enforcement staff, and businesses. It was requested that language similar to the preamble be included in the rule text. It was also recommended that language be added that exempted emission sources also be exempt from construction permitting under 10 CSR 10-6.060(1)(D). He commented on the use of term total suspended particulates (TSP) in this rule instead of the criteria pollutant particulate matter—10 microns or less (PM<sub>10</sub>). He felt that PM<sub>10</sub> should be used in this rule since TSP is no longer listed as a criteria pollutant in Missouri. There were also comments on our rule scheduling because it is unduly confusing and creates overlap to have a new rule in place before the existing rules that are rescinded.

RESPONSE: This rulemaking is a consolidation of the four existing area specific rules and these comments do not fall under the scope of this rulemaking. The published purpose of this rulemaking was to consolidate the four existing rules without changing or adding requirements. No changes have been made to rule language as a result of this comment. However, the comments will be retained for consideration in future amendments to this rule. In respect to his comment about rule scheduling, the MDNR understands that at times there are rules that overlap. However, in order to ensure that a rule is in place at all times and not relax our state implementation plan, the MDNR must wait until the new rule is effective before proceeding with the rescission of the existing rules. If a new rule was voided while the rescission progressed, there would not be a rule in place and cause a relaxation of our state implementation plan.

COMMENT: AECI provided written comments on this rule that mostly concerned applicability of this rule. AECI believe that the applicability section does not fully explain the intended regulatory application of this rule to certain processes. AECI included language that they felt would be helpful in clarifying the intent of this rule. The second comment suggested that language be added to the rule to exempt control devices or equipment associated with any operation, process, or activity from this rule. They request that language be added to exempt the grinding, crushing, and conveying operations at a power plant from the rule. Final comments concerned the original language and interpretation of the rule. They request that the original language be accessible or published with this rule for clarification.

RESPONSE: This rulemaking is a consolidation of the four existing area specific rules: 10 CSR 10-2.030, 10 CSR 10-3.050, 10 CSR 10-4.030, and 10 CSR 10-5.050. These comments do not fall under the scope of this rulemaking. The published purpose of this rulemaking was to consolidate the four existing rules without changing or adding requirements. No changes have been made to rule language as a result of this comment. However, the comments

will be retained for consideration in future amendments to this rule.

COMMENT: Regform commented on the overlap between this rule and other more specific rules that control particulate matter. They request that language similar to the preamble be included in the rule text. There is also concern that the rule has no clear exemption for fugitive emissions or small vented emissions and they recommend adding language to that effect. There was also comment on the use of the term TSP in this rule instead of the criteria pollutant PM<sub>10</sub>. It is felt that PM<sub>10</sub> should be used in this rule since TSP is no longer listed as a criteria pollutant in Missouri. Comments on rule scheduling expressed the inconvenience of having overlap with a new rule in place before the existing rules that are rescinded.

RESPONSE: This rulemaking is a consolidation of these four existing area specific rules: 10 CSR 10-2.030, 10 CSR 10-3.050, 10 CSR 10-4.030, and 10 CSR 10-5.050. These comments do not fall under the scope of this rulemaking. The published purpose of this rulemaking was to consolidate the four existing rules without changing or adding requirements. No changes have been made to rule language as a result of this comment. However, the comments will be retained for consideration in future amendments to this rule.

#### 10 CSR 10-6.400 Restriction of Emission of Particulate Matter From Industrial Processes

##### (1) Applicability.

(A) This regulation applies to any operation, process or activity, in which the products of combustion do not come into direct contact with process materials, the burning of refuse, and the processing of salvageable material by burning.

(B) The provisions of this rule shall not apply to the following:

1. Cotton gins;
2. The grinding, crushing and classifying operations at a rock quarry;
3. The receiving and shipping of whole grain from or into a railroad or truck transportation source at a grain elevator;
4. Smoke generating devices, as defined in subsection (2)(D) of this rule, when a required permit or a written determination that a permit is not required has been issued or written;
5. Batch-type charcoal kilns required to comply with 10 CSR 10-6.330; and
6. The burning of fuel for indirect heating.

##### (3) General Provisions.

(A) Emission Limitations. All applicable sources, except grey iron jobbing cupolas and corn wet milling drying processes, shall meet the following requirements:

1. Except as provided for in paragraph (3)(A)2. and paragraph (1)(B) of this rule, no person shall cause, suffer, allow or permit the emission of particulate matter in any one (1) hour from any source in excess of the amount calculated using the following equation for the process weight allocated to that source:

For process weight rates of 60,000 pounds per hour (lb/hr) or less:

$$E = 4.10P^{0.67}$$

and for process weight rates greater than 60,000 lb/hr:

$$E = 55.0P^{0.11} - 40;$$

where:

E = rate of emission in lb/hr; and

P = process weight rate in tons per hour (tons/hr); or

2. The limitations established by paragraph (3)(A)1. of this rule shall not require the reduction of particulate matter concentration, based on the source gas volume, below the concentration specified in paragraph (3)(A)2., Table I of this rule for that volume; provided that, for the purposes of this section, the person responsible for the emission may elect to substitute a volume determined according to the provisions of subsection (3)(A)3. of this rule; and provided further that the burden of showing the source gas volume or other volume substituted, including all the factors which determine volume and the methods of determining and computing the volume shall be on the person seeking to comply with the provisions of this section.

Table I

Source Gas Volume, Standard Cubic Foot Per Minute	Concentration Grain Per Cubic Foot
7,000 or less	0.100
8,000	0.096
9,000	0.092
10,000	0.089
20,000	0.071
30,000	0.062
40,000	0.057
50,000	0.053
60,000	0.050
80,000	0.045
100,000	0.042
120,000	0.040
140,000	0.038
160,000	0.036
180,000	0.035
200,000	0.034
300,000	0.030
400,000	0.027
500,000	0.025
600,000	0.024
800,000	0.021
1,000,000 or more	0.020; or

3. Any volume of gases passing through and leaving an air pollution abatement operation may be substituted for the source gas volume of the emission unit served by the air pollution abatement operation, for the purposes of paragraph (3)(A)2. of this rule, provided that air pollution abatement operation emits no more than forty percent (40%) of the weight of particulate matter entering; and provided further that the substituted volume shall be corrected to standard conditions and to a moisture content no greater than that of any gas stream entering the air pollution abatement operation; and

4. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraphs (3)(A)1. and (3)(A)2. of this rule, no person shall cause, allow or permit the emission of particulate matter from any source in a concentration in excess of 0.30 grain per standard cubic foot of exhaust gases.

(5) Test Methods. The amount of particulate matter emitted shall be determined as specified in 10 CSR 10-6.030(5). Any other test method must be approved by the director.

**Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
Division 60—Public Drinking Water Program  
Chapter 2—Definitions**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Safe Drinking Water Commission under section 640.100, RSMo Supp. 1999, the commission amends a rule as follows:

10 CSR 60-2.015 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 14, 2000 (25 MoReg 147-148). Subsection (2)(G) is reprinted here. All other changes are adopted as proposed. This proposed amendment becomes effective **September 1, 2000**.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: At the public hearing on February 22, 2000 the department testified that the amendment adopts requirements from the federal Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule and Disinfectants/Disinfection By-Products Rule. No public comments were made at the hearing. One written comment was received.

COMMENT: A representative of a water industry organization recommended adding the acronym "GWUDISW" to the definition of groundwater under the direct influence of surface water in paragraph (2)(G)4.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE The commission agreed with making this change and is also adding the word "direct" to that subparagraph (2)(G)4.B.

**10 CSR 60-2.015 Definitions**

(2) Definitions.

(G) Terms beginning with the letter G.

1. GAC10. Granular activated carbon filter beds with an empty-bed contact time of ten (10) minutes based on average daily flow and a carbon reactivation frequency of every one hundred eighty (180) days.

2. Gross alpha particle activity. The total radioactivity due to alpha particle emission as inferred from measurements on a dry sample.

3. Gross beta particle activity. The total radioactivity due to beta particle emission as inferred from measurements on a dry sample.

4. Groundwater under the direct influence of surface water (GWUDISW). Any water beneath the surface of the ground with either of the following:

A. Significant and relatively rapid shifts in water characteristics such as turbidity, temperature, conductivity or pH which closely correlate to climatological or surface water conditions. Direct influence must be determined for individual sources in accordance with criteria established by the department. The department's determination of direct influence may be used on site-specific measurements of water quality or documentation of well construction characteristics, or both, and geology with field evaluation; or

B. Significant occurrence of insects or other macroorganisms, algae or large-diameter pathogens such as *Giardia lamblia* or, for systems using surface water or groundwater under the direct influence of surface water and serving at least 10,000 people, *Cryptosporidium*.

**Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
Division 60—Public Drinking Water Program  
Chapter 4—Contaminant Levels and Monitoring**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Safe Drinking Water Commission under section 640.100, RSMo Supp. 1999, the commission amends a rule as follows:

10 CSR 60-4.010 is amended.



A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 14, 2000 (25 MoReg 148-151). Subsections (7)(B)-(D) are reprinted below. All other changes are adopted as proposed. This proposed amendment becomes effective **September 1, 2000**.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** At the public hearing on February 22, 2000 the department testified that the amendment adopts requirements from the federal Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule and Disinfectants/Disinfection By-Products Rule and proposes criteria for determining significant deficiencies. No public comments were made at the hearing. Written comments were received from representatives of a water industry organization and two public water supply districts.

**COMMENT:** The representative of a water industry organization pointed out a typographical error in subsection (7)(A).

**RESPONSE:** Review of the published version of the amendment shows that the error was corrected before publication and no change is needed in response to the comment.

**COMMENT:** The commenter questioned the use of "public water system" instead of community water system in subsection (7)(B) and recommended defining the terms.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The terms "public water system" and "community water system" are used correctly. Both terms are defined in the definitions rule, 10 CSR 60-2.015. Public water systems, both community and noncommunity, using surface water or groundwater under the direct influence of surface water must meet the requirements of subsection (7)(B). Community water systems may have less frequent sanitary surveys under the conditions specified in the rule. The commission is dividing subsection (7)(B) to separate the community water system waiver from the requirements applicable to public water systems.

**COMMENT:** Representatives of a water industry organization and a public water supply district commented on the description of significant deficiencies in subsection (7)(B). One commenter stated the description is vague and too subjective and the rule should include the specific sanitary survey criteria that will be used to identify significant deficiencies. Another commenter asked if significant deficiencies will be determined from scientific data or subjective observation.

**RESPONSE:** The commission considered the comments and responded that this definition of significant deficiency resulted from stakeholder meetings and discussions. Stakeholders agreed on the proposed definition which emphasizes immediate increased human health risk from water contamination rather than an itemized list of any potential deficiencies, which could be limitless. Significant deficiencies will be determined by the best professional judgement of the person conducting the sanitary survey. Particular attention will be paid to anything that could put citizens at increased risk from pathogens or chemical contaminants.

**COMMENT:** The representative of a public water supply district commented that the last sentence of subsection (7)(C) regarding water systems that are incompetently supervised, improperly operated, inadequate, or of defective design gives the department too much discretion and that those areas covered in the technical, managerial and financial capacity requirements. The commenter recommends rewording the sentence to state: "If the water fails to meet standards established in 10 CSR 60, the water supplier must implement changes that may be required by the department."

**RESPONSE:** This requirement does not add to the department's existing discretion or authority. This requirement is currently in effect in 10 CSR 60-4.080. It has been copied to this rule for clarity. No changes are made in response to the comment.

#### **10 CSR 60-4.010 Maximum Contaminant Levels and Monitoring Requirements**

(7) Inspections and Sanitary Surveys of Surface Water Systems.

(B) For community water systems determined by the department to have no significant deficiencies (for example, defects or inadequacies that increase risk from waterborne disease, such as deficiencies involving the removal, inactivation or reintroduction of pathogens or prevention or removal of chemical contamination) in two (2) consecutive sanitary surveys, the frequency of sanitary surveys may be decreased to once every five (5) years. Upon finding a significant deficiency, the department may return the community water system to the three (3)-year schedule.

(C) Public water systems must respond in writing to significant deficiencies outlined in sanitary survey reports no later than forty-five (45) days after receipt of the report. The response must indicate how and on what schedule the system will address significant deficiencies noted in the survey. Failure to respond within forty-five (45) days is a violation. Public water systems shall take necessary steps to address significant deficiencies identified in sanitary survey reports if such deficiencies are within the control of the public water system and its governing body.

(D) The department, at its discretion, may conduct routine inspections of any public water system or make other necessary inspections to determine compliance with these rules. If, after investigation, the department finds that any public water system is incompetently supervised, improperly operated, inadequate, or defective design or if the water fails to meet standards established in 10 CSR 60, the water supplier must implement changes that may be required by the department.

### **Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division 60—Public Drinking Water Program Chapter 4—Contaminant Levels and Monitoring**

#### **ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Safe Drinking Water Commission under section 640.100, RSMo Supp. 1999, the commission amends a rule as follows:

10 CSR 60-4.050 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 14, 2000 (25 MoReg 152-155). Subsections (1)(C), (3)(A), (3)(B) and (3)(E)-(G) are reprinted here. All other changes are adopted as proposed. This proposed amendment becomes effective **September 1, 2000**.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** At the public hearing on February 22, 2000 the department testified that the amendment adopts requirements from the federal Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule (IESWTR) and Disinfectants/Disinfection By-Products Rule (DBP), requires new and modified treatment plants to be designed to meet the new turbidity requirements, and encourages small surface water systems to strive to meet the new turbidity standards. No public comments were made at the hearing. Written comments were received from representatives of two water industry organizations and two public water systems.

**COMMENT:** The representative of a public water system asked for the scientific basis the department and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) used to determine the baseline for these proposed rules.

**RESPONSE:** EPA has provided extensive information on the scientific basis for the DBP and IESWTR. This information was published in the July 29, 1994, November 3, 1997, March 31, 1998 and December 16, 1998 *Federal Registers*. The department is providing a copy of this information to the commenter. The state rule is based on the federal rule. No changes to the rule were requested or made.

COMMENT: The representatives of a water industry organization and a public water system commented on the requirement in subsection (3)(E) that systems using lime softening may apply for alternative exceedance levels if they can demonstrate that higher turbidity levels are due to lime carryover only and not degraded filter performance. The commenters asked for the department's criteria for satisfying this demonstration requirement, and asked if a system's demonstration would be permanent or only for a specified time period.

RESPONSE: This requirement currently affects less than six surface water systems. The department plans on working with those systems to come up with acceptable criteria. The department anticipates that the alternative exceedance criteria will be for a specified time period or per event. No change is made to the rule in response to this comment.

COMMENT: The representative of a water industry organization commented on paragraph (6)(D)2. of 10 CSR 60-4.055, which is being moved to this rule as subsection (3)(E) in order to have all turbidity requirements in one location. The commenter stated that it sometimes is difficult to receive replacement parts or new equipment in the five-day time frame allowed in the rule. The commenter suggests changing five working days to 15 working days.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: This requirement applies only to surface water systems serving more than 10,000 people. The federal rule is clear that the systems affected by this requirement have a maximum of five working days after the failure of continuous monitoring equipment to repair or replace the continuous monitoring equipment. The state rule must be at least as stringent as the federal rule, so increasing this time frame is not an option. However, these larger systems should have backup parts and turbidimeters available. It may be more difficult for small surface water systems to obtain replacement parts within the five-day time frame, but this requirement does not apply to those systems. In order to ensure that it is clear that this applies only to surface water systems serving more than 10,000 people and that the five-day requirement must be met, the commission is revising the wording of this requirement. This does not change the intent of the proposed rule.

COMMENT: The representative of a water industry organization asked if paragraph (3)(F)2. stating that the department will set turbidity performance standards means that the department can set turbidity standards at whatever level the department deems necessary.

RESPONSE: For alternative filtration technology the department will set turbidity performance requirements. These requirements will require the same level of protection as conventional treatment, which is 2-, 3-, and 4-log removal for *Cryptosporidium*, *Giardia* and viruses, respectively. No change is made to the rule in response to this comment.

EXPLANATION OF OTHER CHANGES: The commission is replacing the phrase "effective date of this amendment" with the actual effective date of the amendment, September 1, 2000, in subsection (1)(C) and making a technical correction to the compliance dates in subsections (3)(A) and (3)(B) to match the federal dates. Subsection (6)(D) in 10 CSR 60-4.055 is moved to subsection (3)(E) of this rule in order to have all turbidity monitoring requirements in one section. The subsequent subsections are renumbered accordingly. The requirements are not changed.

### 10 CSR 60-4.050 Maximum Turbidity Contaminant Levels and Monitoring Requirements

#### (1) Applicability.

(C) Beginning September 1, 2000, any water treatment plant proposed for construction or major modification must be designed to meet the turbidity requirements in section (3) of this rule.

#### (3) Systems Serving Ten Thousand (10,000) or More People.

(A) The turbidity levels and other requirements in section (2) apply to these systems until January 1, 2002.

#### (B) Beginning January 1, 2002—

1. Turbidity must be equal to or less than 0.3 turbidity units in at least ninety-five percent (95%) of the measurements taken each month; and

2. There must be no more than one (1) turbidity unit in any one (1) confirmed measurement.

(E) Filtration Sampling Requirements for Surface Water Systems Serving More Than 10,000 People.

1. A public water system subject to the requirements of 10 CSR 60-4.055(6) that provides conventional filtration treatment must conduct continuous monitoring of turbidity for each individual filter using an approved method in 10 CSR 60-5.010 and must calibrate turbidimeters using the procedure specified by the manufacturer. Systems must record the results of individual filter monitoring every fifteen (15) minutes.

2. If there is a failure in the continuous turbidity monitoring equipment, the system must conduct grab sampling every four (4) hours in lieu of continuous monitoring, until the turbidimeter is repaired and back on-line. A system has a maximum of five (5) working days after failure in the continuous monitoring equipment to repair the equipment before the system is in violation.

#### (F) Lime Softening.

1. A system that uses lime softening may acidify representative samples prior to analysis using a protocol approved by the department.

2. Systems that use lime softening may apply to the department for alternative exceedance levels for the levels specified in 10 CSR 60-7.010(7)(B) if they can demonstrate that higher turbidity levels in individual filters are due to lime carryover only and not due to degraded filter performance.

(G) Filtration Technologies Other Than Conventional Filtration Treatment.

1. A public water system may use a filtration technology other than conventional filtration if it demonstrates to the department, using pilot plant studies or other means, that the alternative filtration technology, including direct filtration, in combination with disinfection treatment that meets the requirements of 10 CSR 60-4.055, consistently achieves 99.9 percent removal and/or inactivation of *Giardia lamblia* cysts and 99.99 percent removal and/or inactivation of viruses, and 99 percent removal of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts, and the department approves the use of the filtration technology.

2. For each approval, the department will set turbidity performance requirements that the system must meet at least 95 percent of the time and that the system may not exceed at any time at a level that consistently achieves 99.9 percent removal and/or inactivation of *Giardia lamblia* cysts, 99.99 percent removal or inactivation of viruses, or both, and 99 percent removal of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts.

## Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division 60—Public Drinking Water Program Chapter 4—Contaminant Levels and Monitoring

### ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Safe Drinking Water Commission under section 640.100, RSMo Supp. 1999, the commission amends a rule as follows:

10 CSR 60-4.055 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 14, 2000 (25 MoReg 156-160). Subsections (6)(A)-(D)

are reprinted here and all other changes are adopted as proposed. This proposed amendment becomes effective **September 1, 2000**.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** At the public hearing on February 22, 2000 the department testified that the amendment adopts requirements from the federal Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule and Disinfectants/Disinfection By-Products Rule and requires new and modified treatment plants to determine disinfection contact times and submit them to the department before getting final construction approval. No public comments were made at the hearing. Written comments were received from representatives of two water industry organizations and a public water system.

**COMMENT:** The representative of a public water system asked for the scientific basis the department and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) used to determine the baseline for these proposed rules.

**RESPONSE:** EPA has provided extensive information on the scientific basis for the DBP and IESWTR. This information was published in the July 29, 1994, November 3, 1997, March 31, 1998 and December 16, 1998 *Federal Registers*. The department is providing a copy of this information to the commenter. The state rule is based on the federal rule. No changes to the rule were requested or made.

**COMMENT:** The representative of a water industry organization recommended adding the word "direct" to the purpose statement. The commenter also pointed out typographical errors in paragraph (6)(B)1. and item (6)(C)3.B.(I) and suggested that the word "benchmark" in subparagraph (6)(B)1.B. should be "benchmarking."

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** Review of the published version of the amendment shows that the errors were corrected before publication and no changes are needed in response to the comment. The purpose statement is published one time only with the proposed amendment in the *Missouri Register*. The commission agrees with changing benchmark to benchmarking. Subparagraph (6)(B)1.B. is modified accordingly.

**COMMENT:** The representative of a water industry organization commented on paragraph (6)(D)2., which is being moved to 10 CSR 60-4.050 in order to have all turbidity requirements in one location. The commenter stated that it sometimes is difficult to receive replacement parts or new equipment in the five-day time frame allowed in the rule. The commenter suggests changing five working days to 15 working days.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** This requirement applies only to surface water systems serving more than 10,000 people. The federal rule is clear that the systems affected by this requirement have a maximum of five working days after the failure of continuous monitoring equipment to repair or replace the continuous monitoring equipment. The state rule must be at least as stringent as the federal rule, so increasing this time frame is not an option. However, these larger systems should have backup parts and turbidimeters available. It may be more difficult for small surface water systems to obtain replacement parts within the five day time frame, but this requirement does not apply to those systems.

In order to ensure that it is clear that this applies only to surface water systems serving more than 10,000 people and that the five day requirement must be met, the commission is revising the wording of this requirement. This does not change the intent of the proposed rule. The revised wording is published in the Order of Rulemaking for 10 CSR 60-4.050 in this issue of the *Missouri Register*.

**EXPLANATION OF OTHER CHANGES:** The commission is making a technical correction to the compliance dates in subsections (6)(A) and (6)(C) to match the federal dates.

### 10 CSR 60-4.055 Disinfection Requirements

(6) Enhanced Disinfection Requirements.

(A) Compliance Date. In addition to sections (1)-(4) of this rule, surface water and groundwater under the direct influence of surface water systems serving at least ten thousand (10,000) people must also comply with the requirements in this section beginning January 1, 2002 unless otherwise specified.

(B) General Requirements.

1. This section (6) establishes or extends treatment technique requirements in lieu of maximum contaminant levels for the following contaminants: *Giardia lamblia*, viruses, heterotrophic plate count bacteria, *Legionella*, *Cryptosporidium*, and turbidity. Each surface water and groundwater under the direct influence of surface water system serving at least ten thousand (10,000) people must provide treatment of its source water that complies with these treatment technique requirements and are in addition to those identified in sections (1)-(4) of this rule. The treatment technique requirements consist of installing and properly operating water treatment processes which reliably achieve:

A. At least ninety-nine percent (99%) (2-log) removal of *Cryptosporidium* between a point where the raw water is not subject to recontamination by surface water runoff and a point downstream before or at the first customer for filtered systems; and

B. Compliance with the profiling and benchmarking requirements under the provisions of subsection (6)(C) of this rule.

2. A public water system subject to the requirements of this section (6) is in compliance with the requirements of paragraph (6)(B)1. of this rule if it meets the applicable filtration requirements in 10 CSR 60-4.050 and the disinfection requirements in sections (2)-(4) and subsection (6)(C) of this rule.

(C) Disinfection Profiling and Benchmarking.

1. Disinfection profile. A disinfection profile is a summary of daily *Giardia lamblia* inactivation through the treatment plant. A public water system subject to the requirements of this section (6) must determine its TTHM annual average and its HAA5 annual average. The annual average is the arithmetic average of the quarterly averages of four (4) consecutive quarters of monitoring.

A. The TTHM annual average must be the annual average during the same period as is used for the HAA5 annual average.

(I) Those systems that use "grandfathered" HAA5 occurrence data that meet the provisions of item (5)(C)1.B.(I) of this rule must use TTHM data collected at the same time under the provisions of 10 CSR 60-4.090.

(II) Those systems that use HAA5 occurrence data that meet the provisions of subitem (6)(C)1.B.(II)(a) of this rule must use TTHM data collected at the same time under the provisions of 10 CSR 60-4.090.

B. The HAA5 annual average must be the annual average during the same period as is used for the TTHM annual average.

(I) Those systems that have collected four (4) quarters of HAA5 occurrence data that meets the routine monitoring sample number and location requirements for TTHM in 10 CSR 60-4.090 and handling and analytical method requirements of 40 CFR 141.142 may use those data to determine whether the requirements of this section apply.

(II) Those systems that did not collect four (4) quarters of HAA5 occurrence data that meets the provisions of item (6)(C)1.B.(I) of this rule by March 31, 2000 must either:

(a) Conduct monitoring for HAA5 that meets the routine monitoring sample number and location requirements for TTHM in 10 CSR 60-4.090(2) and handling and analytical method requirements of 40 CFR 141.142(b)(1) to determine the HAA5

annual average and whether the requirements of paragraph (6)(C)2. of this rule apply; or

(b) Comply with all other provisions of this section as if the HAA5 monitoring had been conducted and the results required compliance with paragraph (6)(C)2. of this rule.

C. The system must submit data to the department on the schedule required by the department.

D. Any system having either a TTHM annual average greater than or equal to 0.064 mg/L or an HAA5 annual average greater than or equal to 0.048 mg/L during the period identified in subparagraphs (5)(C)1.A. and B. of this rule must comply with paragraph (6)(C)2. of this rule.

## 2. Disinfection profiling.

A. Any system that meets the criteria in subparagraph (6)(C)1.D. of this rule must develop a disinfection profile of its disinfection practice for a period of up to three (3) years.

B. The system must monitor daily for a period of twelve (12) consecutive calendar months to determine the total logs of inactivation for each day of operation, based on the CT<sub>99.9</sub> values in Tables 1 through 8 of the "Guidance Manual for Surface Water System Treatment Requirements," as appropriate, through the entire treatment plant. This system must begin this monitoring when requested by the department. As a minimum, the system with a single point of disinfectant application prior to entrance to the distribution system must conduct the monitoring set forth in this subparagraph (6)(C)2.B. A system with more than one (1) point of disinfectant application must conduct this monitoring for each disinfection segment. The system must monitor the parameters necessary to determine the total inactivation ratio, using analytical methods in 10 CSR 60-5.010, as follows:

(I) The temperature of the disinfected water must be measured once per day at each residual disinfectant concentration sampling point during peak hourly flow;

(II) If the system uses chlorine, the pH of the disinfected water must be measured once per day at each chlorine residual disinfectant concentration sampling point during peak hourly flow;

(III) The disinfectant contact time(s) must be determined for each day during peak hourly flow; and

(IV) The residual disinfectant concentration(s) of the water before or at the first customer and prior to each additional point of disinfection must be measured each day during peak hourly flow.

C. In lieu of the monitoring conducted under the provisions of subparagraph (6)(C)2.B. of this rule to develop the disinfection profile the system may elect to meet the requirements of item (6)(C)2.C.(I) of this rule. In addition to the monitoring conducted under the provisions of subparagraph (6)(C)2.B. of this rule to develop the disinfection profile, the system may elect to meet the requirements of item (6)(C)2.C.(II) of this rule.

(I) A PWS that has three (3) years of existing operational data may submit those data, a profile generated using those data, and a request that the department approve use of those data in lieu of monitoring under the provisions of paragraph (6)(C)2. of this rule. The department must determine whether these operational data are substantially equivalent to data collected under the provisions of subparagraph (6)(C)2.B. of this rule. These data must also be representative of *Giardia lamblia* inactivation through the entire treatment plant and not just of certain treatment segments. Until the department approves this request, the system is required to conduct monitoring under the provisions of subparagraph (6)(C)2.B. of this rule.

(II) In addition to the disinfection profile generated under subparagraph (6)(C)2.B. of this rule, a PWS that has existing operational data may use those data to develop a disinfection profile for additional years. Such systems may use these additional yearly disinfection profiles to develop a benchmark under the provisions of paragraph (6)(C)3. of this rule. The department will determine whether these operational data are substantially equivalent

to data collected under the provisions of subparagraph (6)(C)2.B. of this rule. These data must also be representative of inactivation through the entire treatment plant and not just of certain treatment segments.

D. The system must calculate the total inactivation ratio as follows:

(I) The system may determine the total inactivation ratio for the disinfection segment based on either of the following methods:

(a) Determine one (1) inactivation ratio ( $CT_{calc}/CT_{99.9}$ ) before or at the first customer during peak hourly flow; or

(b) Determine successive  $CT_{calc}/CT_{99.9}$  values, representing sequential inactivation ratios, between the point of disinfectant application and a point before or at the first customer during peak hourly flow. Under this alternative, the system must calculate the total inactivation ratio by determining ( $CT_{calc}/CT_{99.9}$ ) for each sequence and then adding the ( $CT_{calc}/CT_{99.9}$ ) values together to determine ( $\sum(CT_{calc}/CT_{99.9})$ ); and

(II) The system must determine the total logs of inactivation by multiplying the value calculated in item (6)(C)2.D.(I) of this rule by 3.0.

E. A system that uses either chloramines or ozone for primary disinfection must also calculate the logs of inactivation for viruses using a method identified in EPA's "Alternative Disinfectants and Oxidants Guidance Manual."

F. The system must retain disinfection profile data in graphic form, as a spreadsheet, or in some other format acceptable to the department for review as part of sanitary surveys conducted by the department.

## 3. Disinfection benchmarking.

A. Any system required to develop a disinfection profile under the provisions of paragraphs (6)(C)1. and 2. of this rule and that decides to make a significant change to its disinfection practice must consult with the department in writing prior to making such change. Significant changes to disinfection practice are:

(I) Changes to the point of disinfection;

(II) Changes to the disinfectant(s) used in the treatment plant;

(III) Changes to the disinfection process; and

(IV) Any other modification identified by the department.

B. Any system that is modifying its disinfection practice must calculate its disinfection benchmark using one of the following procedures:

(I) For each year of profiling data collected and calculated under paragraph (6)(C)2. of this rule, the system must determine the lowest average monthly *Giardia lamblia* inactivation in each year of profiling data. The system must determine the average *Giardia lamblia* inactivation for each calendar month for each year of profiling data by dividing the sum of daily *Giardia lamblia* of inactivation by the number of values calculated for that month; or

(II) The disinfection benchmark is the lowest monthly average value (for systems with one (1) year of profiling data) or average of lowest monthly average values (for systems with more than one year of profiling data) of the monthly logs of *Giardia lamblia* inactivation in each year of profiling data.

C. A system that uses either chloramines or ozone for primary disinfection must also calculate the disinfection benchmark for viruses using a method approved by the department.

D. The system must submit the following information to the department as part of its consultation process:

(I) A description of the proposed change;

(II) The disinfection profile for *Giardia lamblia* (and, if necessary, viruses) under paragraph (6)(C)2. of this rule and benchmark as required by subparagraph (6)(C)3.B. of this rule; and

(III) An analysis of how the proposed change will affect the current levels of disinfection.

(D) Filtration Sampling Requirements. A public water system subject to the requirements of this section (6) that provides conventional filtration treatment must conduct continuous monitoring of turbidity for each individual filter as indicated in 10 CSR 60-4.050(3)(E).

**Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
Division 60—Public Drinking Water Program  
Chapter 4—Contaminant Levels and Monitoring**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Safe Drinking Water Commission under section 640.100, RSMo Supp. 1999, the commission amends a rule as follows:

10 CSR 60-4.090 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 14, 2000 (25 MoReg 161–175). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective **September 1, 2000**.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** At the public hearing on February 22, 2000 the department testified that the amendment adopts requirements from the federal Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule and Disinfectants/Disinfection By-Products (DBP) Rule, including monitoring plan requirements for consecutive systems, and requires new and modified treatment systems to be designed to meet the new DBP maximum contaminant levels, modifies chlorine dioxide requirements, and proposes criteria for the department to use to consider multiple wells as one treatment plant. No comments were received at the public hearing. Written comments were received from representatives of two water industry organizations and three public water systems.

**COMMENT:** The representative of a public water system asked for the scientific basis the department and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) used to determine the baseline for these proposed rules.

**RESPONSE:** EPA has provided extensive information on the scientific basis for the DBP and IESWTR. This information was published in the July 29, 1994, November 3, 1997, March 31, 1998 and December 16, 1998 *Federal Registers*. The department is providing a copy of this information to the commenter. The state rule is based on the federal rule. No changes to the rule were requested or made.

**COMMENT:** The representative of a water industry organization commented on a typographical error in Table 1.

**RESPONSE:** Review of the published version of the amendment shows that the error was corrected before publication and no change is needed in response to the comment.

**COMMENT:** The commenter also recommended changing “his/her product water” in subsection (2)(A) to “the product water.”

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The commission agrees with the comment and has made the change.

**COMMENT:** Representatives of two water industry organizations and three public water systems commented on the consecutive system monitoring plan requirements in subsection (3)(A). Representatives of a water industry organization and a public water system commented that the intent of the monitoring plan require-

ments in subsection (3)(A) is to combine the entity providing water with all those that use that water into one monitoring plan, to the extent practical. The commenters believe combining entities together in one monitoring plan could cause several problems. They enumerate two. First, a water purchaser may purchase water from more than one supplier. The purchased water is mixed, making it impossible to tell whose water is being tested. Second, the water seller does not control the water purchaser and is unable to verify the conditions in which the samples were taken. The commenters prefer each system to have the flexibility to monitor separately. Another commenter representing a water industry organization stated that the primary and secondary systems should be considered one system with respect to sampling.

Representatives of a water industry organization and a public water system raise several implementation issues with regard to consecutive systems. The commenters assume that the rule may force small communities back into water treatment, which will be expensive, and ask where funding for treatment facilities will be provided. The commenters ask how the department will regulate out-of-state treatment facilities providing water to systems located in Missouri. If purchased water violates safe drinking water standards, the commenters ask what the required corrective action is. If the purchased water comes from more than one water seller and a violation occurs, how will the purchaser of the water determine where the water came from. If the secondary system is selling water to a third system, who is responsible for the monitoring plan for the third system. The representative of a public water system commented that the secondary system should not be responsible for violations if the water does not meet disinfection by-product requirements.

The representative of a water industry organization commented that subparagraph (3)(A)3.D. states that systems that purchase water must provide a monitoring plan and meet the monitoring requirements of this section unless the purchaser is included in the seller’s monitoring plan. The commenter asked if these secondary systems are required to meet the MCLs. The commenter pointed out that these systems are not included in the applicability statement in section (1), which states that the rule applies to community and non-transient noncommunity water systems that add a disinfectant to the water in any part of the drinking water treatment process. The commenter points out that this is a conflict within the proposed amendment.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The rule provides flexibility for each system to monitor separately and they may choose to do so. However, while each system may stand alone, primary and secondary systems are encouraged to work together in a cooperative spirit to resolve compliance and implementation issues. The department recognizes the challenges of complying with the requirements of the DBP Rule and will work with affected systems to resolve implementation issues. The monitoring plan gives the department the flexibility to make monitoring requirements, MCL determinations and other factors fit each specific situations.

The commission agrees that there is a potential conflict between the applicability statement in section (1) and subparagraph (3)(A)3.D. Section (1) is modified to clarify that the rule applies to systems providing water that contains a disinfectant, and to resolve a discrepancy with subsection (1)(E). The change is printed here.

**COMMENT:** The representative of a water industry organization recommended rewording the explanation of sampling locations in subparagraphs (3)(B)2.B. and (3)(C)2.B. to match similar explanations in items (3)(B)2.A.(I) and (II). The commenter also pointed out possible typographical errors in subparagraphs (3)(B)3.B., (4)(D)1.C., and (4)(D)1.E. and paragraph (3)(D)1., and recommended adding the word “direct” to subparagraph (3)(C)1.A.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: Review of the published version of the amendment shows that typographical errors were corrected before publication. The commission considered the recommendation that the sampling location be reworded but decided to keep the wording as it was proposed in order to maintain consistency with the federal rules. The commission agrees with adding "direct" to subparagraph (3)(C)1.A. The change is reprinted below.

COMMENT: The representative of a water industry organization recommended that subparagraph (3)(C)2.A. require monitoring for chlorine dioxide when the threshold of 0.8 mg/L is reached, not when chlorine dioxide is detected. The representative of a public water system commented that in some cases chlorine dioxide used as a primary oxidant has proven beneficial in reducing disinfection by-products.

RESPONSE: The maximum residual disinfectant level (MRDL) of 0.8 mg/L is retained as the threshold for acute violations and public notice. Requiring increased monitoring when chloride dioxide is detected rather than when the MRDL is exceeded is necessary and justified due to the extreme loss of aesthetic quality in drinking water if chlorine dioxide is detectable in the distribution system. No change is made in response to the comment.

EXPLANATION OF OTHER CHANGES: The commission is making a technical correction to the compliance dates in sections (1) and (4) and clarifying the wording without affecting the proposed requirements in subsections (1)(D), (3)(B)-(C) and (4)(B)-(C). Section (1) and subsections (2)(A), (3)(B)-(C), and (4)(B)-(D) are reprinted here. All other changes are adopted as proposed.

**10 CSR 60-4.090 Maximum Contaminant Levels and Monitoring Requirements for Disinfection By-Products**

(1) Applicability. This rule applies to community water systems and nontransient noncommunity water systems that add a chemical

disinfectant to the water in any part of the drinking water treatment process or provide water that contains a chemical disinfectant and to water treatment plants proposed for construction or major modification as indicated in this section. The rule has different requirements and compliance dates, based on system size and type of source water.

(A) Community water systems serving 10,000 or more people and using surface water or groundwater under the direct influence of surface water (GWUDISW) must continue complying with the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 0.10 for total trihalomethanes (TTHM) and section (3) of this rule until December 31, 2001. Beginning January 1, 2002, these systems and nontransient noncommunity water systems serving 10,000 or more people and using surface water or GWUDISW must comply with sections (4)-(5) of this rule and the MCLs of 0.080 for TTHM, 0.060 for haloacetic acids five (HAA5), 0.010 for bromate, and 1.0 for chlorite.

(B) Community water systems and nontransient noncommunity water systems serving less than 10,000 people and using surface water or GWUDISW. Beginning January 1, 2004, these systems must comply with sections (4)-(5) of this rule and the MCLs of 0.080 for TTHM, 0.060 for HAA5, 0.010 for bromate, and 1.0 for chlorite.

(C) Community water systems and nontransient noncommunity water systems using groundwater. Beginning January 1, 2004, these systems must comply with sections (4)-(5) of this rule and the MCLs of 0.080 for TTHM, 0.060 for HAA5, 0.010 for bromate, and 1.0 for chlorite.

Table 1. Compliance with Disinfection By-Product Requirements

Who must comply	When	MCLs (mg/L)	Compliance Requirements
Community water systems serving 10,000 or more people and using surface water or groundwater under the direct influence of surface water (GWUDISW)	Oct. 11, 1981 to Dec. 31, 2001.	TTHM 0.10	Section (2)
Community water systems and nontransient noncommunity water systems serving 10,000 or more people and using surface water or GWUDISW	Jan. 1, 2002	TTHM 0.080 HAA5 0.060 Bromate 0.010 Chlorite 1.0	Sections (3) and (4)
Community water systems and nontransient noncommunity water systems serving less than 10,000 people and using surface water or GWUDISW	Jan. 1, 2004	TTHM 0.080 HAA5 0.060 Bromate 0.010 Chlorite 1.0	Sections (3) and (4)
Community water systems and nontransient noncommunity water systems using groundwater	Jan. 1, 2004	TTHM 0.080 HAA5 0.060 Bromate 0.010 Chlorite 1.0	Sections (3) and (4)

(D) A system that is installing granular activated carbon (GAC) or membrane technology to comply with this rule may apply to the department for an extension of up to twenty-four (24) months past December 16, 2001 but not beyond December 31, 2003. In granting the extension, the department will set a schedule for compliance and may specify any interim measures that the system must take. Failure to meet the schedule or interim treatment requirements constitutes a violation of the drinking water regulations.

(E) Beginning September 1, 2000, any water treatment plant proposed for construction or major modification must be designed to meet the disinfection by-product MCLs of 0.080 for TTHM, 0.060 for HAA5, 0.010 for bromate, and 1.0 for chlorite and the requirements of sections (3) and (4) of this rule.

(2) Compliance with the TTHM MCL of 0.10.

(A) A supplier of water must collect samples of the product water for analyses as follows:

1. Community water systems must perform sampling at quarterly intervals.

A. Analyses for TTHM shall be performed at quarterly intervals on at least four (4) water samples for each treatment plant used by the system.

B. The minimum number of samples required shall be based on the number of treatment plants used by the system except that multiple wells drawing raw water from a single aquifer, with the department's approval, may be considered one (1) treatment plant for determining the minimum number of samples.

C. Community water systems serving fewer than ten thousand (10,000) persons, at the discretion of the department, may be required to submit fewer samples; and

2. All samples taken within an established frequency shall be collected within a twenty-four (24)-hour period.

(3) Monitoring Requirements and Plan.

(B) Monitoring Requirements for Disinfection By-Products.

1. TTHMs and HAA5.

A. Routine monitoring. Systems must monitor at the frequency indicated in Table 2.

Table 2. Routine Monitoring Frequency for TTHM and HAA5.

Surface water or GWUDISW system serving at least 10,000 people.	Four (4) water samples per quarter per treatment plant.	At least 25 percent of all samples collected each quarter at locations representing maximum residence time. Remaining samples taken at locations representative of at least average residence time in the distribution system and representing the entire distribution system, taking into account number of persons served, different sources of water, and different treatment methods. <sup>1</sup>
Surface water or GWUDISW system serving from 500 to 9,999 people.	One (1) water sample per quarter per treatment plant.	Locations representing maximum residence time. <sup>1</sup>
Surface water or GWUDISW system serving fewer than 500 people.	One (1) sample per year per treatment plant during month of warmest water temperature.	Locations representing maximum residence time. <sup>1</sup> If the sample (or average of annual samples, if more than one sample is taken) exceeds MCL, system must increase monitoring to one sample per treatment plant per quarter, taken at a point reflecting the maximum residence time in the distribution system, until system meets reduced monitoring criteria in subsection (3)(C) of this rule.
System using only groundwater not under the direct influence of surface water using chemical disinfectant and serving at least 10,000 people.	One (1) water sample per quarter per treatment plant. <sup>2</sup>	Locations representing maximum residence time. <sup>1</sup>
System using only groundwater not under the direct influence of surface water using chemical disinfectant and serving fewer than 10,000 persons.	One (1) sample per year per treatment plant <sup>2</sup> during month of warmest water temperature.	Locations representing maximum residence time. <sup>1</sup> If the sample (or average of annual samples, if more than one sample is taken) exceeds MCL, the system must increase monitoring to one sample per treatment plant per quarter, taken at a point reflecting the maximum residence time in the distribution system, until system meets the criteria in subsection (3)(C) of this rule for reduced monitoring.

<sup>1</sup>If a system elects to sample more frequently than the minimum required, at least 25 percent of all samples collected each quarter (including those taken in excess of the required frequency) must be taken at locations that represent the maximum residence time of the water in the distribution system. The remaining samples must be taken at locations representative of at least average residence time in the distribution system.

<sup>2</sup>Multiple wells drawing water from a single aquifer may be considered one (1) treatment plant for determining the minimum number of samples required, with department approval.

B. Systems may reduce monitoring, except as otherwise provided, in accordance with Table 3.

Table 3. Reduced Monitoring Frequency TTHM and HAA5

If you are a . . .	You may reduce monitoring if you have monitored at least one year and your . . .	To this level
Surface water or GWUDISW system serving at least 10,000 persons which has a source water annual average total organic carbon (TOC) level, before any treatment, $\leq 4.0$ mg/L	TTHM annual average $\leq 0.040$ mg/L and HAA5 annual average $\leq 0.030$ mg/L	One (1) sample per treatment plant per quarter at distribution system location reflecting maximum residence time.
Surface Water or GWUDISW system serving from 500 to 9,999 persons which has a source water annual average TOC level, before any treatment, $\leq 4.0$ mg/L	TTHM annual average $\leq 0.040$ mg/L and HAA5 annual average $\leq 0.030$ mg/L.	One (1) sample per treatment plant per year at distribution system location reflecting maximum residence time during month of warmest water temperature. NOTE: Any surface Water or GWUDISW system serving fewer than 500 persons may not reduce its monitoring to less than one sample per treatment plant per year.
System using only groundwater not under direct influence of surface water using chemical disinfectant and serving at least 10,000 persons.	TTHM annual average $\leq 0.040$ mg/L and HAA5 annual average $\leq 0.030$ mg/L.	One (1) sample per treatment plant per year at distribution system location reflecting maximum residence time during month of warmest water temperature.
System using only groundwater not under direct influence of surface water using chemical disinfectant and serving fewer than 10,000 persons.	TTHM annual average $\leq 0.040$ mg/L and HAA5 annual average $\leq 0.030$ mg/L for two consecutive years OR TTHM annual average $\leq 0.20$ mg/L and HAA5 annual average $\leq 0.015$ mg/L for one year.	One (1) sample per treatment plant per year at distribution system location reflecting maximum residence time during month of warmest water temperature, with the three-year cycle beginning on January 1 following quarter in which system qualifies for reduced monitoring.

C. Systems on a reduced monitoring schedule may remain on that reduced schedule as long as the average of all samples taken in the year (for systems which must monitor quarterly) or the result of the sample (for systems which must monitor no more frequently than annually) is no more than 0.060 mg/L for TTHMs and 0.045 mg/L for HAA5. Systems that do not meet these levels must resume monitoring at the frequency identified in Table 2: Routine Monitoring in the quarter immediately following the quarter in which the system exceeds 0.060 mg/L for TTHMs and 0.045 mg/L for HAA5. For systems using only groundwater under the direct influence of surface water and serving fewer than ten thousand (10,000) persons, if either the TTHM annual average is greater than 0.080 mg/L or the HAA5 annual average is greater than 0.060 mg/L, the system must go to increased monitoring. Systems on increased monitoring may return to routine monitoring TTHM annual average is less than or equal to 0.040 mg/L and HAA5 annual average is less than or equal to 0.030 mg/L.

D. The department may return a system to routine monitoring at the department's discretion.

2. Chlorite. Community and nontransient noncommunity water systems using chlorine dioxide, for disinfection or oxidation, must conduct monitoring for chlorite.

A. Routine monitoring.

(I) Daily monitoring. Systems must take daily samples at the entrance to the distribution system. For any daily sample that exceeds the chlorite MCL, the system must take additional samples in the distribution system the following day at the following locations: near the first customer; at a location representative of average residence time; and at a location reflecting maximum residence

time in the distribution system, in addition to the sample required at the entrance to the distribution system.

(II) Monthly monitoring. Systems must take a three (3)-sample set each month in the distribution system. The system must take one (1) sample at each of the following locations: near the first customer; at a location representative of average residence time; and at a location reflecting maximum residence time in the distribution system. Any additional routine sampling must be conducted in the same manner (as three (3)-sample sets, at the specified locations). The system may use the results of additional monitoring conducted under subparagraph (3)(B)2.B. to meet the requirement for monthly monitoring.

B. Additional monitoring. On each day following a routine sample monitoring result that exceeds the chlorite MCL at the entrance to the distribution system, the system is required to take three (3) chlorite distribution system samples at the following locations: as close to the first customer as possible, in a location representative of average residence time, and as close to the end of the distribution system as possible (reflecting maximum residence time in the distribution system).

C. Reduced monitoring.

(I) Chlorite monitoring at the entrance to the distribution system required by item (3)(B)2.A.(I) of this rule may not be reduced.

(II) Chlorite monitoring in the distribution system required by item (3)(B)2.A.(II) of this rule may be reduced to one (1) three (3)-sample set per quarter after one (1) year of monitoring where no individual chlorite sample taken in the distribution system under item (3)(B)2.A.(II) of this rule has exceeded the chlorite MCL and the system has not been required to conduct



monitoring under subparagraph (3)(B)2.B. of this rule. The system may remain on the reduced monitoring schedule until either any of the three (3) individual chlorite samples taken quarterly in the distribution system under item (3)(B)2.A.(II) of this rule exceeds the chlorite MCL or the system is required to conduct monitoring under subparagraph (3)(B)2.B. of this rule, at which time the system must revert to routine monitoring.

### 3. Bromate.

A. Routine monitoring. Community and nontransient noncommunity systems using ozone for disinfection or oxidation must take one (1) sample per month for each treatment plant in the system using ozone. Systems must take samples monthly at the entrance to the distribution system while the ozonation system is operating under normal conditions.

B. Reduced monitoring. Systems required to analyze for bromate may reduce monitoring from monthly to once per quarter, if the system demonstrates that the average source water bromide concentration is less than 0.05 mg/L based upon representative monthly bromide measurements for one (1) year. The system may remain on reduced bromate monitoring until the running annual average source water bromide concentration, computed quarterly, is equal to or greater than 0.05 mg/L based upon representative monthly measurements. If the running annual average source water bromide concentration is greater than or equal to 0.05 mg/L, the system must resume routine monitoring.

### (C) Monitoring Requirements for Disinfectant Residuals.

#### 1. Chlorine and chloramines.

A. Routine monitoring. Community and nontransient noncommunity water systems must measure the residual disinfectant level at the same points in the distribution system and at the same time as total coliforms are sampled, as specified in 10 CSR 60-4.020. System using surface water or groundwater under the direct influence of surface water may use the results of residual disinfectant concentration sampling conducted under 10 CSR 60-4.080(3) and 10 CSR 60-4.055(4), in lieu of taking separate samples.

B. Reduced monitoring. Monitoring may not be reduced.

#### 2. Chlorine dioxide.

A. Routine monitoring. Community, nontransient noncommunity, and transient noncommunity water systems that use chlorine dioxide for disinfection or oxidation must take daily samples at the entrance to the distribution system. For any daily sample that detects chlorine dioxide, the system must take additional samples in the distribution system the following day, in addition to the sample required at the entrance to the distribution system.

B. Additional monitoring. On each day following a routine sample monitoring result that detects chlorine dioxide, the system is required to take three (3) chlorine dioxide distribution system samples as close to the first customer as possible, at intervals of at least six (6) hours. If chloramines are used to maintain a disinfectant residual in the distribution system, or if chlorine is used to maintain a disinfectant residual in the distribution system and there are no disinfection addition points after the entrance to the distribution system (that is, no booster chlorination), the system must take three (3) samples as close to the first customer as possible, at intervals of at least six (6) hours. If chlorine is used to maintain a disinfectant residual in the distribution system and there are one (1) or more disinfection addition points after the entrance to the distribution system (that is, booster chlorination), the system must take one (1) sample at each of the following locations: as close to the first customer as possible; in a location representative of average residence time; and as close to the end of the distribution system as possible (reflecting maximum residence time in the distribution system).

C. Reduced monitoring. Chlorine dioxide monitoring may not be reduced.

### (4) Compliance Requirements.

#### (B) Disinfection By-Products.

##### 1. TTHMs and HAA5.

A. For systems monitoring quarterly, compliance must be based on a running annual arithmetic average, computed quarter-

ly, of quarterly arithmetic averages of all samples collected by the system as prescribed by paragraph (3)(B)1. of this rule.

B. For systems monitoring less frequently than quarterly, systems demonstrate compliance if the average of samples taken that year under the provisions of paragraph (3)(B)1. of this rule does not exceed the MCL. If the average of these samples exceeds the MCL, the system must increase monitoring to once per quarter per treatment plant. The system is not in violation until it has completed one (1) year of quarterly monitoring, unless the result of fewer than four (4) quarters of monitoring will cause the running annual average to exceed the MCL, in which case the system is in violation at the end of that quarter. Systems required to increase to quarterly monitoring must calculate compliance by including the sample that triggered the increased monitoring plus the following three (3) quarters of monitoring.

C. If the running annual arithmetic average of quarterly averages covering any consecutive four-quarter period exceeds the MCL, the system is in violation of the MCL and must notify the public pursuant to 10 CSR 60-8.010 in addition to reporting to the department pursuant to 10 CSR 60-7.010.

D. If a public water system fails to complete four (4) consecutive quarters of monitoring, compliance with the MCL for the last four-quarter compliance period must be based on an average of the available data.

2. Bromate. Compliance must be based on a running annual arithmetic average, computed quarterly, of monthly samples (or, for months in which the system takes more than one sample, the average of all samples taken during the month) collected by the system as prescribed by paragraph (3)(B)3. of this rule. If the average of samples covering any consecutive four-quarter period exceeds the MCL, the system is in violation of the MCL and must notify the public pursuant to 10 CSR 60-8.010, in addition to reporting to the department pursuant to 10 CSR 60-7.010. If a PWS fails to complete twelve (12) consecutive months' monitoring, compliance with the MCL for the last four (4)-quarter compliance period must be based on an average of the available data.

3. Chlorite. Compliance must be based on an arithmetic average of each three (3) sample set taken in the distribution system as prescribed by item (3)(B)2.A.(II) and subparagraph (3)(B)2.B. of this rule. If the arithmetic average of any three (3) sample set exceeds the MCL, the system is in violation of the MCL and must notify the public pursuant to 10 CSR 60-8.010, in addition to reporting to the department pursuant to 10 CSR 60-7.010.

#### (C) Disinfectant Residuals.

##### 1. Chlorine and chloramines.

A. Compliance must be based on a running annual arithmetic average, computed quarterly, of monthly averages of all samples collected by the system under paragraph (3)(C)1. of this rule. If the average covering any consecutive four (4)-quarter period exceeds the MRDL, the system is in violation of the MRDL and must notify the public pursuant to 10 CSR 60-8.010, in addition to reporting to the department pursuant to 10 CSR 60-7.010.

B. In cases where systems switch between the use of chlorine and chloramines for residual disinfection during the year, compliance must be determined by including together all monitoring results of both chlorine and chloramines in calculating compliance. Reports submitted pursuant to 10 CSR 60-7.010(6) must clearly indicate which residual disinfectant was analyzed for each sample.

##### 2. Chlorine dioxide.

A. Acute violations. Compliance must be based on consecutive daily samples collected by the system under paragraph (3)(C)2. of this rule. If any daily sample taken at the entrance to the distribution system exceeds the MRDL, and on the following day one (1) (or more) of the three (3) samples taken in the distribution system exceed the MRDL, the system is in violation of the MRDL and must take immediate corrective action to lower the level of chlorine dioxide below the MRDL and must notify the public pursuant to the procedures for acute health risks in 10 CSR 60-8.010(1)(A)3., in addition to reporting to the department pursuant to 10 CSR 60-7.010. Failure to take samples in the distribution

system the day following an exceedance of the chlorine dioxide MRDL at the entrance to the distribution system will also be considered an MRDL violation and the system must notify the public of the violation in accordance with the provisions for acute violations under 10 CSR 60-8.010(1)(A)3., in addition to reporting to the department pursuant to 10 CSR 60-7.010.

B. Nonacute violations. Compliance must be based on consecutive daily samples collected by the system in compliance with this rule.

(I) If any two (2) consecutive daily samples taken at the entrance to the distribution system detect chlorine dioxide, the system must take corrective action to lower the chlorine dioxide level.

(II) If any two (2) consecutive daily samples taken at the entrance to the distribution system exceed the MRDL and all distribution system samples taken are below the MRDL, the system is in violation of the MRDL and must take corrective action to lower the level of chlorine dioxide below the MRDL at the point of sampling and notify the public pursuant to the procedures for nonacute health risks in 10 CSR 60-8.010(7)(D), in addition to reporting to the department pursuant to 10 CSR 60-7.010. Failure to monitor at the entrance to the distribution system the day following an exceedance of the chlorine dioxide MRDL at the entrance to the distribution system is also an MRDL violation and the system must notify the public of the violation in accordance with the provisions for nonacute violations in 10 CSR 60-8.010(7)(D), in addition to reporting to the department pursuant to 10 CSR 60-7.010.

(D) Disinfection By-Product Precursors (DBPP).

1. Systems using surface water or groundwater under the direct influence of surface water and using conventional filtration treatment must operate with enhanced coagulation or enhanced softening to achieve the TOC percent removal levels specified in this rule unless the system meets at least one (1) of the alternative compliance criteria listed here. These systems must still comply with monitoring requirements in sections (3)-(4) of this rule. The alternative compliance criteria for enhanced coagulation and enhanced softening are:

A. The system's source water TOC level, measured according to 10 CSR 60-5.010, is less than 2.0 mg/L, calculated quarterly as a running annual average;

B. The system's treated water TOC level, measured according to 10 CSR 60-5.010, is less than 2.0 mg/L, calculated quarterly as a running annual average;

C. The system's source water TOC level, measured according to 10 CSR 60-5.010, is less than 4.0 mg/L, calculated quarterly as a running annual average; the source water alkalinity, measured according to 10 CSR 60-5.010, is greater than 60 mg/L (as CaCO<sub>3</sub>), calculated quarterly as a running annual average; and either the TTHM and HAA5 running annual averages are no greater than 0.040 mg/L and 0.030 mg/L, respectively; or prior to the effective date for compliance with this rule, the system has made a clear and irrevocable financial commitment not later than the effective date for compliance with this rule to use of technologies that will limit the levels of TTHMs and HAA5 to no more than 0.040 mg/L and 0.030 mg/L, respectively. Systems must submit evidence of a clear and irrevocable financial commitment, in addition to a schedule containing milestones and periodic progress reports for installation and operation of appropriate technologies, to the department for approval not later than the effective date for compliance with this rule. These technologies must be installed and operating not later than June 30, 2005. Failure to install and operate these technologies by the date in the approved schedule will constitute a violation;

D. The TTHM and HAA5 running annual averages are no greater than 0.040 mg/L and 0.030 mg/L, respectively, and the system uses only chlorine for primary disinfection and maintenance of a residual in the distribution system;

E. The system's source water SUVA, prior to any treatment and measured monthly according to 10 CSR 60-5.010, is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m, calculated quarterly as a running annual average. SUVA refers to Specific Ultraviolet Absorption at two-

hundred-fifty-four nanometers (254nm), an indicator of the humic content of water. It is a calculated parameter obtained by dividing a sample's ultraviolet absorption at a wavelength of 254nm (UV<sub>254</sub>) (in m<sup>-1</sup>) by its concentration of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) (in mg/L); and

F. The system's finished water SUVA, measured monthly according to 10 CSR 60-5.010, is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m, calculated quarterly as a running annual average.

2. Additional alternative compliance criteria for softening systems. Systems practicing enhanced softening that cannot achieve the Step 1 TOC removals may use the alternative compliance criteria listed here in lieu of complying with paragraph (4)(D)3. of this rule. Systems must still comply with monitoring requirements in sections (3)-(4) of this rule.

A. Softening that results in lowering the treated water alkalinity to less than 60 mg/L (as CaCO<sub>3</sub>), measured monthly according to 10 CSR 60-5.010 and calculated quarterly as a running annual average.

B. Softening that results in removing at least 10 mg/L of magnesium hardness (as CaCO<sub>3</sub>), measured monthly and calculated quarterly as an annual running average.

3. Enhanced coagulation and enhanced softening performance requirements.

A. Systems must achieve the percent reduction of TOC specified in Table 4 between the source water and the combined filter effluent, unless the department approves a system's request for alternate minimum TOC removal (Step 2) requirements. Systems may begin monitoring to determine whether Step 1 TOC removals can be met twelve (12) months prior to the compliance date for the system. This monitoring is not required and failure to monitor during this period is not a violation. However, any system that does not monitor during this period, and then determines in the first twelve (12) months after the compliance date that it is not able to meet the Step 1 requirements and must therefore apply for alternate minimum TOC removal (Step 2) requirements, is not eligible for retroactive approval of alternate minimum TOC removal (Step 2) requirements and is in violation. Systems may apply for alternate minimum TOC removal (Step 2) requirements any time after the compliance date.

B. Required Step 1 TOC reductions, indicated in the following table, are based upon specified source water parameters measured in accordance with 10 CSR 60-5.010. Systems practicing softening are required to meet the Step 1 TOC reductions in the far-right column (Source water alkalinity >120 mg/L) for the specified source water TOC.

Table 4. Required Step 1 TOC Reductions.

Step 1 Required Removal of TOC by Enhanced Coagulation and Enhanced Softening for Surface Water and GWUDISW Systems Using Conventional Treatment <sup>1,2</sup>			
Source water TOC, mg/l	Source water alkalinity, mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>		
	0-60	>60-120	>120 <sup>3</sup>
>2.0-4.0	35.0%	25.0%	15.0%
>4.0-8.0	45.0%	35.0%	25.0%
>8.0	50.0%	40.0%	30.0%

<sup>1</sup>Systems meeting at least one of the conditions in paragraph (4)(D)1. of this rule are not required to operate with enhanced coagulation.

<sup>2</sup>Softening systems meeting one of the alternative compliance criteria in paragraph (4)(D)1. of this rule are not required to operate with enhanced softening.

<sup>3</sup>Systems practicing softening must meet the TOC removal requirements in this column.

C. Conventional treatment systems using surface water or ground water under the direct influence of surface water that cannot achieve the Step 1 TOC removals due to water quality parameters or operational constraints must apply to the department, within three (3) months of failure to achieve the Step 1 TOC removals, for approval of alternative minimum TOC (Step 2) removal requirements submitted by the system. If the department approves the alternative minimum TOC removal (Step 2) requirements, the department may make those requirements retroactive for the purposes of determining compliance. Until the department approves the alternate minimum TOC removal (Step 2) requirements, the system must meet the Step 1 TOC removals.

D. Alternate minimum TOC removal (Step 2) requirements. Applications made to the department by enhanced coagulation systems for approval of alternative minimum TOC removal (Step 2) requirements under subparagraph (4)(D)3.C. of this rule must include, as a minimum, results of bench- or pilot-scale testing conducted under this subparagraph (4)(D)3.D. and used to determine the alternate enhanced coagulation level.

(I) Alternate enhanced coagulation level is defined as coagulation at a coagulant dose and pH as determined by the method described here such that an incremental addition of 10 mg/L of alum (or equivalent amount of ferric salt) results in a TOC removal of less than or equal to 0.3 mg/L. The percent removal of TOC at this point on the "TOC removal versus coagulant dose" curve is then defined as the minimum TOC removal required for the system. Once approved by the department, this minimum requirement supersedes the minimum TOC removal required by Table 4 of this rule. This requirement will be effective until such time as the department approves a new value based on the results of a new bench- and pilot-scale test. Failure to achieve department-set alternative minimum TOC removal levels is a violation.

(II) Bench- or pilot-scale testing of enhanced coagulation must be conducted by using representative water samples and adding 10 mg/L increments of alum (or equivalent amounts of ferric salt) until the pH is reduced to a level less than or equal to the enhanced coagulation Step 2 target pH shown in Table 5.

**Table 5: Enhanced Coagulation Step 2 Target pH.**

Alkalinity (mg/l as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Target pH
0-60	5.5
> 60-120	6.3
> 120-240	7.0
> 240	7.5

(III) For waters with alkalinities of less than 60 mg/L for which addition of small amounts of alum or equivalent addition of iron coagulant drives the pH below 5.5 before significant TOC removal occurs, the system must add necessary chemicals to maintain the pH between 5.3 and 5.7 in samples until the TOC removal of 0.3 mg/L per 10 mg/L alum added (or equivalent addition of iron coagulant) is reached.

(IV) The system may operate at any coagulant dose or pH necessary (consistent with other regulatory requirements) to achieve the minimum TOC percent removal approved under subsection (3)(C) of this rule.

(V) If the TOC removal is consistently less than 0.3 mg/L of TOC per 10 mg/L of incremental alum dose at all dosages of alum (or equivalent addition of iron coagulant), the water is deemed to contain TOC not amenable to enhanced coagulation. The system may then apply to the department for a waiver of enhanced coagulation requirements.

4. Compliance calculations.

A. Systems using surface water or groundwater under the direct influence of surface water, other than those identified in paragraphs (4)(D)1. or 2. of this rule, must comply with requirements contained in subparagraph (4)(D)3.B. of this rule. Systems must calculate compliance quarterly, beginning after the system

has collected twelve (12) months of data, by determining an annual average using the following method.

(I) Determine actual monthly TOC percent removal, equal to:  $(1 - (\text{treated water TOC}/\text{source water TOC})) \times 100$ ;

(II) Determine the required monthly TOC percent removal;

(III) Divide the value in item (4)(D)4.A.(I) by the value in item (4)(D)4.A.(II); and

(IV) Add together the results of item (4)(D)4.A.(III) for the last twelve (12) months and divide by 12. If the value calculated is less than 1.00, the system is not in compliance with the TOC percent removal requirements. For systems required to meet Step 1 TOC removals, if the value calculated is less than 1.00, the system is in violation of the treatment technique requirements and must notify the public pursuant to 10 CSR 60-8.010 in addition to reporting to the department.

B. Systems may use the following provisions in lieu of the calculations in subparagraph (4)(D)4.A. of this rule to determine compliance with TOC percent removal requirements:

(I) In any month that the system's treated or source water TOC level, measured according to 10 CSR 60-5.010, is less than 2.0 mg/L, the system may assign a monthly value of 1.0 (in lieu of the value calculated in item (4)(D)4.A.(III) of this rule);

(II) In any month that a system practicing softening removes at least 10 mg/L of magnesium hardness (as CaCO<sub>3</sub>), the system may assign a monthly value of 1.0 (in lieu of the value calculated in item (4)(D)4.A.(III) of this rule);

(III) In any month that the system's source water SUVA, prior to any treatment and measured according to 10 CSR 60-5.010, is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m, the system may assign a monthly value of 1.0 (in lieu of the value calculated in item (4)(D)4.A.(III) of this rule);

(IV) In any month that the system's finished water SUVA, measured according to 10 CSR 60-5.010, is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m, the system may assign a monthly value of 1.0 (in lieu of the value calculated in item (4)(D)4.A.(III) of this rule); and

(V) In any month that a system practicing enhanced softening lowers alkalinity below 60 mg/L (as CaCO<sub>3</sub>), the system may assign a monthly value of 1.0 (in lieu of the value calculated in item (4)(D)4.A.(III) of this rule).

C. Systems using conventional treatment and surface water or groundwater under the direct influence of surface water may also comply with the requirements of this rule by meeting the criteria in paragraphs (4)(D)1. or 2. of this rule.

**Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
Division 60—Public Drinking Water Program  
Chapter 5—Laboratory and Analytical Requirements**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Safe Drinking Water Commission under section 640.100, RSMo Supp. 1999, the commission amends a rule as follows:

10 CSR 60-5.020 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 14, 2000 (25 MoReg 176-180). Section (7) is reprinted here and the remainder of the amendment is adopted as proposed. This proposed amendment becomes effective **September 1, 2000**.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS AND EXPLANATION OF OTHER CHANGES:** At the public hearing on February 22, 2000 the department testified that the amendment adopts requirements from the Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule and Disinfectants/Disinfection By-Products Rule. No public comments were received at the hearing or in writing.

The commission is making a minor technical correction to the proposed wording in section (7) in order to clarify a potential discrepancy with other existing requirements.

**10 CSR 60-5.020 Laboratory Certification**

(7) Analysis for disinfection byproducts must be conducted by laboratories that have received certification by the department except that a party approved by the department must measure daily chlorine samples at the entrance to the distribution system. To receive certification to conduct analyses for the TTHM, HAA5, bromate and chlorite, the laboratory must carry out annual analyses of performance evaluation (PE) samples approved by the department. In these analyses of PE samples, the laboratory must achieve quantitative results within the acceptance limit on a minimum of eighty percent (80%) of the analytes included in each PE sample. The acceptance limit is defined as the ninety-five (95%) confidence interval calculated around the mean of the PE study data between a maximum and minimum acceptance limit of plus or minus fifty percent ( $\pm 50\%$ ) and plus or minus fifty percent ( $\pm 15\%$ ) of the study mean.

**Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
Division 60—Public Drinking Water Program  
Chapter 7—Reporting**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Safe Drinking Water Commission under section 640.100, RSMo Supp. 1999, the commission amends a rule as follows:

If you are...	You must report... <sup>1</sup>
System monitoring for TTHM and HAA5 under the requirements of 10 CSR 60-4.090(3)(B) on a quarterly or more frequent basis.	(1) The number of samples taken during the last quarter. (2) The location, date, and result of each sample taken during the last quarter. (3) The arithmetic average of samples taken in the last quarter. (4) The annual arithmetic average of the quarterly arithmetic averages of this section for the last four quarters. (5) Whether the MCL was exceeded.
System monitoring for TTHMs and HAA5 under the requirements of 10 CSR 60-4.090(3)(B) less frequently than quarterly (but at least annually).	(1) The number of samples taken during the last quarter. (2) The location, date, and result of each sample taken during the last monitoring period. (3) The arithmetic average of all samples taken over the last year. (4) Whether the MCL was exceeded.
System monitoring for TTHMs and HAA5 under the requirements of 10 CSR 60-4.090(3)(B) less frequently than annually.	(1) The location, date, and result of the last sample taken. (2) Whether the MCL was exceeded.
System monitoring for chlorite under the requirements of 10 CSR 60-4.090(3)(B).	(1) The number of samples taken each month for the last 3 months. (2) The location, date, and result of each sample taken during the last quarter. (3) For each month in the reporting period, the arithmetic average of all samples taken in the month. (4) Whether the MCL was exceeded, and in which month it was exceeded.
System monitoring for bromate under the requirements of 10 CSR 60-4.090(3)(B).	(1) The number of samples taken during the last quarter. (2) The location, date, and result of each sample taken during the last quarter. (3) The arithmetic average of the monthly arithmetic averages of all samples taken in the last year. (4) Whether the MCL was exceeded.

<sup>1</sup> The department may choose to perform calculations and determine whether the MCL was exceeded, in lieu of having the system report that information.

10 CSR 60-7.010 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 14, 2000 (25 MoReg 181-186). Subsection (6)(B) and section (7) are reprinted here. The remainder of the amendment is adopted as proposed. This proposed amendment becomes effective **September 1, 2000**.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS AND EXPLANATION OF OTHER CHANGES:** At the public hearing on February 22, 2000 the department testified that the amendment adopts requirements from the Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule and Disinfectants/Disinfection By-Products Rule. No public comments were made at the hearing. One written comment was received from the representative of a water industry organization, who commented on a typographical error in subsection (6)(B). Review of the published version of the amendment shows that the error has been corrected. No changes are needed in response to the comment.

The commission is clarifying the wording in subsection (6)(B) without changing the proposed requirements and is making a technical correction to the compliance dates. A cross reference in section (7) is corrected based on changes to 10 CSR 60-4.050 and 10 CSR 60-4.055.

**10 CSR 60-7.010 Reporting Requirements**

(6) Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements for Disinfection By-Products and Enhanced Surface Water Treatment.

(B) Disinfection By-Products. Systems must report the information specified in the following table:

(7) Enhanced Filtration and Disinfection Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements. In addition to the reporting and recordkeeping requirements in sections (5) and (8) of this rule, a public water system subject to the requirements of 10 CSR 60-4.055(6) that provides conventional filtration treatment must report monthly to the department the information specified in subsections (7)(A) and (7)(B) of this rule beginning January 1, 2002. In addition to the reporting and recordkeeping requirements in sections (5) and (8) of this rule, a public water system subject to the requirements of 10 CSR 60-4.055(6) that provides filtration approved under 10 CSR 60-4.050(3)(G) must report monthly to the department the information specified in subsection (7)(A) of this rule beginning January 1, 2002. The reporting in subsection (7)(A) of this rule takes the place of the reporting specified in section (4) of this rule.

(A) Turbidity measurements as required by 10 CSR 60-4.050(3)(B) must be reported within ten (10) days after the end of each month the system serves water to the public. Information that must be reported includes:

1. The total number of filtered water turbidity measurements taken during the month;
2. The number and percentage of filtered water turbidity measurements taken during the month which are less than or equal to the turbidity limits specified in 10 CSR 60-4.050(3)(B)1. or 2.; and
3. The date and value of any turbidity measurements taken during the month which exceed 1 NTU for systems using conventional filtration treatment, or which exceed the applicable maximum level.

(B) Systems must maintain the results of individual filter monitoring taken under 10 CSR 60-4.050(3)(E) for at least three (3) years. Systems must report that they have conducted individual filter turbidity monitoring under 10 CSR 60-4.050(3)(E) within ten (10) days after the end of each month the system serves water to the public. Systems must report the individual filter turbidity measurement results within ten (10) days after the end of each month the system serves water to the public only if measurements demonstrate one (1) or more of the conditions in paragraphs (7)(B)1.-4. of this rule. Systems that use lime softening may apply to the department for alternative exceedance levels for the levels specified in this subsection (7)(B) if they can demonstrate that higher turbidity levels in individual filters are due to lime carryover only and not due to degraded filter performance.

1. For any individual filter that has a measured turbidity level of greater than 1.0 NTU in two (2) consecutive measurements taken fifteen (15) minutes apart, the system must report the filter number, the turbidity measurement, and the date(s) on which the exceedance occurred. In addition, the system must either produce a filter profile for the filter within seven (7) days of the exceedance (if the system is not able to identify an obvious reason for the abnormal filter performance) and report that the profile has been produced or report the obvious reason for the exceedance.

2. For any individual filter that has a measured turbidity level of greater than 0.5 NTU in two (2) consecutive measurements taken fifteen (15) minutes apart at the end of the first four (4) hours of continuous filter operation after the filter has been backwashed or otherwise taken offline, the system must report the filter number, the turbidity, and the date(s) on which the exceedance occurred. In addition, the system must either produce a filter profile for the filter within seven (7) days of the exceedance (if the system is not able to identify an obvious reason for the abnormal filter performance) and report that the profile has been produced or report the obvious reason for the exceedance.

3. For any individual filter that has a measured turbidity level of greater than 1.0 NTU in two (2) consecutive measurements taken fifteen (15) minutes apart at any time in each of three (3) consecutive months, the system must report the filter number, the turbidity measurement, and the date(s) on which the exceedance

occurred. In addition, the system must conduct a self-assessment of the filter within fourteen (14) days of the exceedance and report that the self-assessment was conducted. The self-assessment must consist of at least the following components: assessment of filter performance; development of a filter profile; identification and prioritization of factors limiting filter performance; assessment of the applicability of corrections; and preparation of a filter self-assessment report.

4. For any individual filter that has a measured turbidity level of greater than 2.0 NTU in two (2) consecutive measurements taken fifteen (15) minutes apart at any time in each of two (2) consecutive months, the system must report the filter number, the turbidity measurement, and the date(s) on which the exceedance occurred. In addition, the system must arrange for the conduct of a Comprehensive Performance Evaluation by the department or a third party approved by the department no later than thirty (30) days following the exceedance and have the evaluation completed and submitted to the department no later than ninety (90) days following the exceedance.

A. The Comprehensive Performance Evaluation is a thorough review and analysis of a plant's performance-based capabilities and associated administrative, operation and maintenance practices. It is conducted to identify factors that may be adversely impacting a plant's capability to achieve compliance and emphasizes approaches that can be implemented without significant capital improvements. The comprehensive performance evaluation must consist of at least the following components: Assessment of plant performance; evaluation of major unit processes; identification and prioritization of performance limiting factors; assessment of the applicability of comprehensive technical assistance; and preparation of a Comprehensive Performance Evaluation report.

B. If the Comprehensive Performance Evaluation results indicate improved performance potential, the system shall implement Comprehensive Technical Assistance. The system must identify and systematically address plant-specific factors. The Comprehensive Technical Assistance is a combination of utilizing Comprehensive Performance Evaluation results as a basis for followup, implementing process control priority-setting techniques, and maintaining long-term involvement to systematically train staff and administrators.

## Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

### Division 60—Public Drinking Water Program

#### Chapter 8—Public Notification

#### ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Safe Drinking Water Commission under section 640.100, RSMo Supp. 1999, the commission amends a rule as follows:

#### **10 CSR 60-8.010** Public Notification of Conditions Affecting a Public Water Supply is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 14, 2000 (25 MoReg 187-190). No changes have been made to the amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective **September 1, 2000**.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: At the public hearing on February 22, 2000 the department testified that the amendment adopts requirements from the Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule and Disinfectants/Disinfection By-Products Rule. No comments were received. The amendment is adopted as proposed.

**Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**  
**Division 80—Solid Waste Management**  
**Chapter 9—Solid Waste Management Fund**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources under sections 260.225 and 260.335, RSMo Supp. 1999, the director amends a rule as follows:

10 CSR 80-9.040 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 14, 2000 (25 MoReg 191-196). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The department received a total of 20 comments (17 written and 3 oral comments received at the public hearing). All comments were mixed in their opposition to and support of the areas of change in the proposed amendment. Those areas include: replacing unsolicited projects with solicited targeted projects, the establishment of new state-wide significance criteria, new managed competition criteria, and a new revolving loan program.

**COMMENT:** Comments were received indicating support for the selection of various targeted projects. These were from Moniteau Welding Services Inc., Remains Inc., the Community Development Director, City of Arnold, and the World Clean Air and Water Foundation.

**RESPONSE:** The department thanks Moniteau Welding Services Inc., Remains Inc., the Community Development Director, City of Arnold, and the World Clean Air and Water Foundation for their comments. All four groups provided valuable insight into identifying where the needs for future grant funds lie. Although these comments are helpful and appreciated they will not result in a change to the rule. The targets will be chosen annually by the method depicted in the rule.

**COMMENT:** Comments were received from the National Solid Wastes Management Association (NSWMA) indicating their support for a thorough review of the solid waste fee structure with an eye towards the revision of the current statute and possible sunset of the current grant program. The NSWMA believes the private sector should have more input regarding the distribution of funds by the Solid Waste Management Program (SWMP).

**RESPONSE:** The department has considered the comments from the National Solid Wastes Management Association that emphasize the continual need for the reevaluation of state policy and their resulting programs. However, these concerns lie outside of the scope of this amendment. No change to this rule is necessary.

**COMMENT:** Comments were received from the Douglas County Household Hazardous Waste and Recycling Committee, the City Administrator/Engineer, City of West Plains, the South Central Solid Waste Management District Chairman, and from Haz-Waste Inc. indicating their support for maintaining the current project grant program along with the two new programs of loans and targeted grants.

**RESPONSE:** The department thanks the Douglas County Household Hazardous Waste and Recycling Committee, the City Administrator/Engineer City of West Plains, the South Central Solid Waste Management District, and Haz-Waste Inc. for their comments. The department has considered these comments but believes it does not have sufficient staff and resources to effective-

ly manage all three programs (targeted projects, unsolicited projects, and revolving loans). Keeping all three programs could dilute the available funding and thus weaken all three programs. The department and the workgroup that helped to develop this amendment believe that by targeting issues, materials and areas that are perceived to be most needed the funding will be allocated more effectively. No change to the rule is necessary.

**COMMENT:** Comments were received from the Meramec Regional Planning Commission, the Northwest Missouri Regional Solid Waste Management District, the Mid-Missouri Solid Waste Management District, and the Mid-America Regional Council Solid Waste Management District indicating their support for giving the Solid Waste Advisory Board some level of authority for the selection of targeted projects.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The department agrees with these comments. The amendment will be modified in section (1)(C) of the rule to specifically identify the Solid Waste Advisory Board (SWAB) as a consulting source and the department will present its targets to the SWAB for their concurrence. This mechanism is consistent with statute, which states the SWAB shall recommend criteria to the department while the department shall promulgate criteria. The changes are reprinted in this order of rulemaking.

**COMMENT:** A comment was received from Peerless Demolition Landfill indicating their support for the creation of a committee made up of grant applicants to vote on the final grant awards with veto power given to the department.

**RESPONSE:** The department considered these comments from Peerless Demolition Landfill but does not wish to create a second advisory committee whose advisory role could be duplicative or possibly in conflict with the advisory role of the SWAB. No change will be made to this rule as a result of this comment.

**COMMENT:** Comments were received from Douglas County Household Hazardous Waste and Recycling Committee, Central Paper Stock Company Inc., Northwest Missouri Regional Solid Waste Management District, St. Louis Jefferson Solid Waste Management District, and the Mayor, City of St. Peters indicating support that existing enterprises should be given preferential weighting over new startup enterprises, and in addition that the competition criteria should be extended to not-for-profits and public entities.

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The department does not completely disagree with these comments. However, the goal in awarding this funding is to get the best, most effective project for the least cost and each application will be reviewed individually in order to ensure a fair evaluation of that project. We do not believe earmarking existing entities for funding is always in the best interest of good integrated solid waste management practices. In order to address these comments, the word "private" will be removed in section (5)(C)4 of the rule, thereby extending the fair competition criteria to not-for-profits and public entities. This results in a level playing field for all applicants and enables the best applications to be funded.

The department has developed a policy for implementing the new criteria for both private (and not-for-profit) and public entities. The requirements the applicants must fulfill will be different. Although there will be some costs incurred by public entities, as evidenced by the fiscal note, the department believes that there will not be a fiscal impact of over \$500 in the aggregate to private and not-for-profit grant applicants to fulfill these requirements.

**COMMENT:** Comments were received from Mid-America Regional Council Solid Waste Management District, Region M Solid Waste Management District, Mid-Missouri Solid Waste Management District, the Mayor, City of St. Peters, Meramec

Regional Planning Commission, Perry County Recycling Committee, and Haz-Waste Inc. indicating concerns about or opposition to the proposed loan program.

RESPONSE: The department has considered these comments, however the statute has always included loans as an option for funding waste reduction and recycling projects. The department believes that due to changes in the statute, which resulted in lower funding availability for project grants, it has become necessary to expand options for funding waste reduction and recycling projects. The loan program would allocate a portion of the available funds towards the purchasing of equipment, which might otherwise not be available through the solicited targets program. Furthermore, the recycling of these funds also makes sense under the lower funding restrictions. No change to the rule is made as a result of these comments.

COMMENT: Comments were received from Coon Manufacturing, National Solid Wastes Management Association, Recycle Missouri Inc., Missouri Retailers Association, and Missouri Grocers Association indicating support for a loan program. The South Central Solid Waste Management District is also in favor of the loan program but wishes to maintain unsolicited and solicited project grants as well.

RESPONSE: The department thanks Coon Manufacturing, National Solid Wastes Management Association, Recycle Missouri Inc., Missouri Retailers Association, and Missouri Grocers Association, and the South Central Solid Waste Management District for their endorsement of the proposed loan program. No change to the rule is necessary as a result of these comments.

COMMENT: Comments were received from Perry County Recycling Committee, the Director of Public Works, City of Joplin, St. Louis Jefferson Solid Waste Management District, and the Mid America Regional Council Solid Waste Management District indicating concern over what impact the "Statewide Significance" criteria might have on considering how and where monies could be spent.

RESPONSE: The department shares the concern of these parties regarding the setting of statewide priorities. Both the workgroup formed to assist with the rule change, and the legislative oversight committee recommended that funding should be more focused on projects with statewide significance. The department plans to set up a committee of interested parties to assist with the task of choosing targets, which reflect statewide significance. The department will further encourage the participation from all sectors and individuals with regard to their recommendations for the successful utilization of funds. No change will be made to the rule in response to these comments.

#### **10 CSR 80-9.040 Solid Waste Management Fund—Financial Assistance for Waste Reduction and Recycling Projects**

##### (1) Eligibility.

(C) Solicited Projects—Grant Financed. The funds are to be allocated for targeted projects that are determined to meet statewide waste reduction and recycling priorities. Annually, the department will consult a variety of informational sources including the SWAB to determine which services, materials or activities should be targeted for funding in the subsequent financial assistance cycle. Upon evaluating targeting options and priorities, the department will then present recommendations to the SWAB for their advice. In consideration of the recommendations and advice solicited, the department will establish the targeted services, materials and activities that will be eligible to receive financial assistance.

##### (5) Proposal Review and Evaluation.

(C) For all proposals funded by grants or loans, the evaluation method shall include the following core criteria, as appropriate:

1. Conformance with the integrated waste management hierarchy as described in the Missouri Policy on Resource Recovery, as incorporated by reference in this rule;
2. Conformance with the State Targeted Materials List;
3. Degree to which the project contributes to community based economic development;
4. Degree to which funding to the project will adversely affect existing entities in the market segment;
5. Degree to which the project promotes waste reduction or recycling through the proposed process;
6. Demonstration of cooperative efforts through a public/private partnership or among political subdivisions;
7. Compliance with federal, state or local requirements;
8. Transferability of results;
9. The statewide need for the information;
10. Technical ability of the applicant;
11. Managerial ability of the applicant;
12. Ability to implement in a timely manner;
13. Technical feasibility;
14. Availability of commitments necessary to conduct the project;
15. Level of commitment for financing;
16. Type of contribution by applicant;
17. Effectiveness and quality of marketing strategy;
18. Quality of budget; and
19. Selected financial ratios.

### **Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division 80—Solid Waste Management Chapter 9—Solid Waste Management Fund**

#### **ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources under sections 260.225 and 260.335, RSMo Supp. 1999, the director amends a rule as follows:

10 CSR 80-9.050 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on January 14, 2000 (25 MoReg 197-203). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The department received a total of six comments on this amendment (four written and two oral comment received at the public hearing). All comments were mixed in their opposition to, and support of, the various areas of change covered in the proposed amendment. Those areas include new managed competition criteria and other changes that bring the rule into compliance with the statute and department policy.

COMMENT: A comment was received from Moniteau Welding Services Inc. indicating that funding should be increased on the purchase of capital equipment and to expand end markets.

RESPONSE: The department thanks Moniteau Welding Services Inc. for its comments. Although these comments provide valuable insight into identifying where needs for future grant funds lie, they will not result in a change to the rule. The statute states that the Solid Waste Management Districts hold the responsibility for identifying priority areas for funding based on their district's solid waste management plan.

COMMENT: A comment was received from the Northwest Missouri Regional Solid Waste Management District indicating that the use of the word "may" rather than "will" in paragraph (1)(D)1 could threaten the district grant allocation structure.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The department thanks the Northwest Missouri Regional Solid Waste Management District for this comment. In order to address this issue the word "may" will be replaced with the word "shall" in paragraph (1)(D)1 of the rule. The changed section of the rule is reprinted in this order of rulemaking.

COMMENT: A comment was received from the National Solid Wastes Management Association indicating their support for a thorough review of the solid waste fee structure with an eye towards the revision of the current statute and possible sunset of the current grant program.

RESPONSE: The department has considered the comments from the National Solid Wastes Management Association that emphasize the continual need for the reevaluation of state policy and their resulting programs. However, these concerns lie outside the scope of this amendment. No change to this rule is necessary.

COMMENT: Comments were received from Douglas County Household Hazardous Waste & Recycling Committee, Central Paper Stock Co. Inc., Northwest Missouri Regional Solid Waste Management District, and the St. Louis Jefferson Solid Waste Management District indicating support to giving existing enterprises preferential weighting over startup enterprises, and in addition that the competition criteria should be extended to not-for-profits and public entities.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The department does not completely disagree with these comments. However, the goal in awarding this funding is to get the best, most effective project for the least cost and each application will be reviewed individually in order to ensure a fair evaluation of that project. We do not believe earmarking existing entities for funding is always in the best interest of good integrated solid waste management practices. In order to address these comments the word "private" will be removed in subparagraph (2)(C)3.D of the rule, thereby extending the fair competition criteria to not-for-profits and public entities. The districts use set evaluation criteria in evaluating their grant applications. Their executive boards will decide the method used to incorporate this criterion into their current evaluation process.

COMMENT: A comment was received from the Northwest Missouri Regional Solid Waste Management District suggesting that the district administrative grant allocation of \$20,000 per district per year should be raised to \$30,000 per district per year.

RESPONSE: The department thanks the Northwest Missouri Regional Solid Waste Management District for its comment. The district administrative grant allocation of \$20,000 is set by statute and is not addressed in this rule. No change to this rule is necessary.

**10 CSR 80-9.050 Solid Waste Management Fund—District Grants**

(1) Eligibility.

(D) Grant Funds.

1. As determined by statute, an amount of the revenue generated from the solid waste tonnage fee collected and deposited in the Solid Waste Management Fund may be allocated annually to the executive board of each officially recognized solid waste management district for district grants. Further, each officially recognized solid waste management district shall be allocated, upon appropriation, a minimum amount of forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000) for district grants pursuant to section 260.335.2(3), RSMo.

2. Up to forty percent (40%) of the grant money available to

a district under subsection (1)(D) of this rule within a fiscal year may be allocated for projects that further plan implementation and at least sixty percent (60%) shall be allocated for projects of cities and counties within the district.

3. Any regional monies available to a district but not awarded or expended within twenty-four (24) months of the state fiscal year in which it was allocated due to insufficient or inadequate project, as determined by the district's executive board or the department, may be reallocated pursuant to section 260.335.2(4), RSMo of the Missouri Solid Waste Management Law.

(2) District Fund Procedures.

(C) Proposal Review and Evaluation. The executive boards must review, rank and approve proposals as outlined in this subsection.

1. Review for eligibility and completeness. For all proposals received by the deadline as established in their public notices to the media, the board shall determine the eligibility of the applicant, the eligibility of the proposed project, the eligibility of the costs identified in the proposal and the completeness of the proposal.

2. Notice of eligibility and completeness. If the district executive board determines that the applicant or the project is ineligible or incomplete, the board may reject the proposal and shall notify the applicant. A project may be resubmitted up to the application deadline.

3. Proposal evaluation. The executive board shall evaluate each proposal that is determined to be eligible and complete. The board will develop a District Targeted Materials List to be used as one of the evaluation criteria. The evaluation method will include the following criteria, as appropriate per project category:

A. Conformance with the integrated waste management hierarchy as described in the Missouri Policy on Resource Recovery, as incorporated by reference in this rule;

B. Conformance with the District Targeted Materials List;

C. Degree to which the project contributes to community-based economic development;

D. Degree to which funding to the project will adversely affect existing private entities in the market segment;

E. Degree to which the project promotes waste reduction or recycling through the proposed process;

F. Demonstrates cooperative efforts through a public/private partnership or among political subdivisions;

G. Compliance with federal, state or local requirements;

H. Transferability of results;

I. The need for the information;

J. Technical ability of the applicant;

K. Managerial ability of the applicant;

L. Ability to implement in a timely manner;

M. Technical feasibility;

N. Availability of feedstock;

O. Level of commitment for financing;

P. Type of contribution by applicant;

Q. Effectiveness of marketing strategy;

R. Quality of budget; and

S. Selected financial ratios.

**Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY  
Division 45—Missouri Gaming Commission  
Chapter 5—Conduct of Gaming**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Gaming Commission under sections 313.004 and 313.805, RSMo 1994, the commission adopts a rule as follows:

**11 CSR 45-5.010 Presumption of the Right of Patrons to Participate in Gambling Games is adopted.**



A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 1, 2000 (25 MoReg 268-272). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The Missouri Gaming Commission received two letters of comment on proposed rules 11 CSR 45-5.010, the Presumption of Right of Patron to Participate in Gambling Games, and 11 CSR 45-5.051, Minimum Standards for Play of Twenty-One. Additionally, a public hearing was held at which individuals/groups were provided the opportunity to express their agreement with or concern about the rules as written. The issues raised at the hearing were essentially the same as those addressed in the letters.

#### **MISSOURI RIVERBOAT GAMING ASSOCIATION (MRGA)**

Vern Jennings, General Manager of Harrah's Maryland Heights, speaking on behalf of the gaming industry as president of MRGA, presented the following comments at the public hearing:

**COMMENT:** We (MRGA) believe that, like any business in the state of Missouri, we should have the right to refuse service to individuals or teams of individuals who negatively impact our business.

**RESPONSE:** The casinos do not have an absolute right at this time to refuse service. Anti-discrimination laws have already abridged this right. The issue is, do they have the right to refuse service to a skilled player who is observing cards shuffled in public view and is then making an informed decision as to how to play the game. The rules do not permit the use of devices or team play to count cards. The industry now permits the unskilled player and players whose judgment is impaired by alcohol to play without restriction.

**COMMENT:** We (MRGA) believes we have a right to charge everyone a price for our services, i.e., the entertainment opportunity we provide. A good basic strategy player can cut the house advantage to about one-half of a percentage point. A card counter can, through a variety of means, predict with fair accuracy what cards are going to come out of the deck next. That can literally shift the advantage over from the house to the player. "In essence, ...we would be required to allow an individual to come in and enjoy our gaming entertainment experience, the business we're in, for free and even potentially having to pay that individual for coming in and enjoying our gaming entertainment experience..."

**RESPONSE:** Casinos are in business to make money; that is a given. Their advertising makes no mention of their "price" for their service; it does, however, focus on the ability of everyone to be a winner. The computation of house advantage is based upon a player utilizing perfect basic strategy. Very few players exercise perfect strategy; therefore, the house advantage is typically much greater than one-half percentage point. The "lucky" player, i.e., one who does not use basic strategy, is at a 2% to 15% disadvantage. While a player who mirrors the dealer's play is at a disadvantage of 5-6%.

**COMMENT:** The proposed rule allows us to enact countermeasures. These countermeasures, however, negatively impact customers who are not card counters and "will make the experience worse for all of them than it is today." This could shift play to neighboring jurisdictions, which do not have such restrictions.

**RESPONSE:** Countermeasures should not negatively impact the great majority of guests. Harrah's Maryland Heights indicated they have only had two card counters since opening. Table games players constitute a minority of the players gambling at Missouri casinos; most play the electronic gaming devices.

**COMMENT:** The state will lose revenue. Changes we may make to counter card counters will negatively impact play volume, which will negatively impact the state's revenue.

**RESPONSE:** There could possibly be an impact on both casino and state revenue; the extent of the impact, however, is unknown. The question to the Commission is if it is wrong to bar a skilled player from participating in a game regulated by the state, should a reduction to state revenue be relevant.

**SUMMARY OF OTHER COMMENTS:** Rick Yuhas, Director of Tables Games for Harrah's Maryland Heights, at Mr. Jennings request, presented various countermeasures that could be implemented by casinos to lessen or negate the advantage realized by card counters, and the perceived impact of each.

A letter was received from Mr. Larry Kinser, General Manager of Missouri Gaming Company d/b/a Argosy Casino, as Chairman of the MRGA's General Manager's Committee, expressing the Association's opposition to the proposed rules. Mr. Kinser's comments were the same as those presented by Mr. Jennings before the public hearing officer.

#### **STATION CASINOS**

A letter received from John V. Finamore, President of Midwest Operations for Station Casinos, expressed the same views as addressed by the Missouri Riverboat Gaming Association through their President, Vern Jennings; therefore, they will not be read-dressed. One additional view was, however, brought forward in Mr. Finamore's letter and deserves response.

**COMMENT:** "...the Missouri Gaming Commission should focus on fairness and the integrity of casino gaming. To allow casino patrons to play licensed casino games with an unfair advantage will diminish public confidence in the fairness of casino gaming in the State of Missouri."

**RESPONSE:** Fairness is precisely what the proposed rules are attempting to address.

### **Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY Division 45—Missouri Gaming Commission Chapter 5—Conduct of Gaming**

#### **ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Gaming Commission under sections 313.004 and 313.805, RSMo 1994, the commission adopts a rule as follows:

#### **11 CSR 45-5.051 Minimum Standards for Twenty-One (Blackjack) is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 1, 2000 (25 MoReg 273-277). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The Missouri Gaming Commission received two letters of comment on proposed rules 11 CSR 45-5.010, the Presumption of Right of Patron to Participate in Gambling Games, and 11 CSR 45-5.051, Minimum Standards for Play of Twenty-One. Additionally, a public hearing was held at which individuals/groups were provided the opportunity to express their agreement with or concern about the rules as written. The issues raised at the hearing were essentially the same as those addressed in the letters.

**MISSOURI RIVERBOAT GAMING ASSOCIATION (MRGA)**

Vern Jennings, General Manager of Harrah's Maryland Heights, speaking on behalf of the gaming industry as president of MRGA, presented the following comments at the public hearing:

COMMENT: We (MRGA) believe that, like any business in the state of Missouri, we should have the right to refuse service to individuals or teams of individuals who negatively impact our business.

RESPONSE: The casinos do not have an absolute right at this time to refuse service. Anti-discrimination laws have already abridged this right. The issue is, do they have the right to refuse service to a skilled player who is observing cards shuffled in public view and is then making an informed decision as to how to play the game. The rules do not permit the use of devices or team play to count cards. The industry now permits the unskilled player and players whose judgment is impaired by alcohol to play without restriction.

COMMENT: We (MRGA) believes we have a right to charge everyone a price for our services, i.e., the entertainment opportunity we provide. A good basic strategy player can cut the house advantage to about one-half of a percentage point. A card counter can, through a variety of means, predict with fair accuracy what cards are going to come out of the deck next. That can literally shift the advantage over from the house to the player. "In essence, ...we would be required to allow an individual to come in and enjoy our gaming entertainment experience, the business we're in, for free and even potentially having to pay that individual for coming in and enjoying our gaming entertainment experience..."

RESPONSE: Casinos are in business to make money; that is a given. Their advertising makes no mention of their "price" for their service; it does, however, focus on the ability of everyone to be a winner. The computation of house advantage is based upon a player utilizing perfect basic strategy. Very few players exercise perfect strategy; therefore, the house advantage is typically much greater than one-half percentage point. The "lucky" player, i.e., one who does not use basic strategy, is at a 2% to 15% disadvantage. While a player who mirrors the dealer's play is at a disadvantage of 5-6%.

COMMENT: The proposed rule allows us to enact countermeasures. These countermeasures, however, negatively impact customers who are not card counters and "will make the experience worse for all of them than it is today." This could shift play to neighboring jurisdictions, which do not have such restrictions.

RESPONSE: Countermeasures should not negatively impact the great majority of guests. Harrah's Maryland Heights indicated they have only had two card counters since opening. Table games players constitute a minority of the players gambling at Missouri casinos; most play the electronic gaming devices.

COMMENT: The state will lose revenue. Changes we may make to counter card counters will negatively impact play volume, which will negatively impact the state's revenue.

RESPONSE: There could possibly be an impact on both casino and state revenue; the extent of the impact, however, is unknown. The question to the Commission is if it is wrong to bar a skilled player from participating in a game regulated by the state, should a reduction to state revenue be relevant.

SUMMARY OF OTHER COMMENTS: Rick Yugas, Director of Tables Games for Harrah's Maryland Heights, at Mr. Jennings request, presented various countermeasures that could be implemented by casinos to lessen or negate the advantage realized by card counters, and the perceived impact of each.

A letter was received from Mr. Larry Kinser, General Manager of Missouri Gaming Company d/b/a Argosy Casino, as Chairman of the MRGA's General Manager's Committee, expressing the Association's opposition to the proposed rules. Mr. Kinser's comments were the same as those presented by Mr. Jennings before the public hearing officer.

**STATION CASINOS**

A letter received from John V. Finamore, President of Midwest Operations for Station Casinos, expressed the same views as addressed by the Missouri Riverboat Gaming Association through their President, Vern Jennings; therefore, they will not be read-dressed. One additional view was, however, brought forward in Mr. Finamore's letter and deserves response.

COMMENT: "...the Missouri Gaming Commission should focus on fairness and the integrity of casino gaming. To allow casino patrons to play licensed casino games with an unfair advantage will diminish public confidence in the fairness of casino gaming in the State of Missouri."

RESPONSE: Fairness is precisely what the proposed rules are attempting to address.

**Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY  
Division 75—Peace Officer Standards and Training  
Program  
Chapter 2—Definitions**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Public Safety under sections 590.115 and 590.140, RSMo Supp. 1999, the director amends the Peace Officer Standards and Training Program rule as follows:

**11 CSR 75-2.010 Definitions is amended.**

A notice of proposed Rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 664-665). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY  
Division 75—Peace Officer Standards and Training  
Program  
Chapter 3—Certification of Bailiffs, Peace Officers,  
and Reserve Officers**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Public Safety under sections 590.115 and 590.140, RSMo Supp. 1999, the director amends the Peace Officer Standards and Training Program rule as follows:

**11 CSR 75-3.020 Eligibility for Certification is amended.**

A notice of proposed Rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 665). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here.

This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY  
Division 75—Peace Officer Standards and Training  
Program  
Chapter 5—Certification of Training Centers**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Public Safety under sections 590.115 and 590.140, RSMo Supp. 1999, the director amends the Peace Officer Standards and Training Program rule as follows:

**11 CSR 75-5.040** Minimum Requirements and Procedures for Training Centers **is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 665). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY  
Division 75—Peace Officer Standards and Training  
Program  
Chapter 11—Continuing Education Requirements**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Public Safety under sections 590.115 and 590.140, RSMo Supp. 1999, the director amends the Peace Officer Standards and Training Program rule as follows:

**11 CSR 75-11.035** Recognition of Out-of-State Continuing Education Training **is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 665-666). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY  
Division 75—Peace Officer Standards and Training  
Program  
Chapter 11—Continuing Education Requirements**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Public Safety under sections 590.115 and 590.140, RSMo Supp. 1999, the director amends a rule as follows:

**11 CSR 75-11.060** Application for Initial Probationary and Continuing POST Commission Approval of Continuing Education Providers **is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 666). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 11—DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY  
Division 75—Peace Officer Standards and Training  
Program  
Chapter 11—Continuing Education Requirements**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Department of Public Safety under sections 590.115 and 590.140, RSMo Supp. 1999, the director amends a rule as follows:

**11 CSR 75-11.070** Procedures for Continuing Education Course Providers **is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 666). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 12—DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE  
Division 40—State Lottery  
Chapter 40—Retail Sales Licenses**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Lottery Commission under section 313.220, RSMo Supp. 1999, the commission amends a rule as follows:

**12 CSR 40-40.090** Eligibility for Licenses **is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 392). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 12—DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE  
Division 40—State Lottery  
Chapter 60—Payment of Prizes**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Lottery Commission under section 313.220, RSMo Supp. 1999, the commission amends a rule as follows:

**12 CSR 40-60.020 Cash Prizes is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on February 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 393). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 15—Division of Aging  
Chapter 4—Older Americans Act**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Aging under section 660.050, RSMo Supp. 1999, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 15-4.050 Funding Formula and Fiscal Management is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 666-672). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 15—Division of Aging  
Chapter 14—Intermediate Care and Skilled Nursing Facility**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Division of Aging under section 198.079, RSMo 1994, the division amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 15-14.042 Administration and Resident Care Requirements for New and Existing Intermediate Care and Skilled Nursing Facilities is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 673). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The division received one (1) comment from an association during the thirty-day comment period.

COMMENT: Section (5)—In regard to Section (5) dealing with the licensed nursing home administrator, it is our opinion that when you add the last sentence . . . 30 consecutive-day absences may only occur once within any consecutive 12 month period. . . there should be some type of allowance for exceptions to this section. For an example, what happens if a nursing home administrator takes a sabbatical for 30 days in January and in November comes down with an unanticipated illness which enables them to not work for 30 days once again. The way this section now reads

the administrator would not be able to take the 30 days off if they were ill.

RESPONSE: The division has determined that no changes are needed to this section because an exceptions process currently exists. Under section (4) of 13 CSR 15-10.010, the division may grant exceptions for specified periods of time to any rule imposed by the division if the division determines that the exception to the rule would not potentially jeopardize the health, safety or welfare of any residents of a long-term care facility.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 1—Organization and Description**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under sections 210.570, 210.610, 219.036.7, 219.041.2 and 219.051, RSMo 1994; and 219.016.6, 219.021.2, and 219.021.8, RSMo Supp. 1999, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-1.010 General Organization is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 678). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.010 Regional Classification Services is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 678-679). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director hereby rescinds a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.020** Classification and Assignment from Reception Centers **is rescinded.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 679). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**  
**Division 110—Division of Youth Services**  
**Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.030** Special or Unique Service Needs **is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 679–680). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**  
**Division 110—Division of Youth Services**  
**Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.040** Classification Criteria for Placement into Division of Youth Services (DYS) Programs **is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 680). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**  
**Division 110—Division of Youth Services**  
**Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under sections 219.021.4, RSMo Supp. 1999 and 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.050** Transfers from One DYS Residential Facility to Another DYS Facility **is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 681–682). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**  
**Division 110—Division of Youth Services**  
**Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.060** Furlough Policies and Procedures **is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 682). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**  
**Division 110—Division of Youth Services**  
**Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under sections 219.021, RSMo Supp. 1999 and 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director rescinds a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.070** Day Release Procedures **is rescinded.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 682–683). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**  
**Division 110—Division of Youth Services**  
**Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.080 Runaway and Absconding Youth is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 683). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential  
Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director hereby rescinds a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.090 Hazardous Placement Policy is rescinded.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 683-684). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential  
Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.100 Grievance Procedures for Committed Youths is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 684). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential  
Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.110 Responsibilities of Facility Managers is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 685). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential  
Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under sections 219.036 and 219.051, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.120 Administrative Decisions Affecting the Constitutional Rights of Youths in DYS Facilities is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 685-686). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential  
Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.130 Release of Youths from DYS Facilities is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 686). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential  
Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.061, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.140 Confidentiality of Case Records is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 686-687). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 2—Classification Services and Residential  
Care**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.016, RSMo Supp. 1999, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-2.150 Division of Youth Services Staff Training Programs is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 687). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 3—Aftercare Responsibilities**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-3.010 Individual Treatment Plans is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 687-688). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 3—Aftercare Responsibilities**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director hereby adopts a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-3.015 Safe Schools Act Procedures is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 688). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 3—Aftercare Responsibilities**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-3.020 Aftercare Involvement During Residential Treatment is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 688-689). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 3—Aftercare Responsibilities**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-3.030 Aftercare Supervision is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 689-690). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 3—Aftercare Responsibilities**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under sections 219.036 and 219.051, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-3.040 Revocation of Aftercare Supervision is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 690-691). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 3—Aftercare Responsibilities**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under sections 219.036 and 219.051, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-3.050** Instructions for the Implementation of Revocation Procedure **is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 691-693). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 3—Aftercare Responsibilities**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under section 219.051, RSMo 1994, the director amends a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-3.060** Grievance Procedure for Youth in Aftercare **is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 693). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 5—Dual Jurisdiction**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under sections 211.073 and 219.016, RSMo Supp. 1999 and section 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director hereby adopts a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-5.010** Dual Jurisdiction Procedures **is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 693-694). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 13—DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
Division 110—Division of Youth Services  
Chapter 6—Juvenile Crime Bill**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the director of the Division of Youth Services under sections 211.068, 211.071, 211.073, 211.141, 211.171, 211.181, 211.321 and 219.021, RSMo Supp. 1999 and 219.036, RSMo 1994, the director hereby adopts a rule as follows:

**13 CSR 110-6.010** Juvenile Crime Bill Provisions and Procedures **is adopted.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed rule was published in the *Missouri Register* on March 15, 2000 (25 MoReg 694). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed rule, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rule becomes effective thirty days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.



**T**his section may contain notice of hearings, correction notices, public information notices, rule action notices, statements of actual costs and other items required to be published in the *Missouri Register* by law.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
Division 100—Division of Credit Unions**

**ACTIONS TAKEN ON APPLICATIONS FOR NEW GROUPS OR GEOGRAPHIC AREAS**

Pursuant to section 370.081(4), RSMo Supp. 1999, the Director of the Missouri Division of Credit Unions is required to cause notice to be published that the director has either granted or rejected applications from the following credit unions to add new groups or geographic areas to their membership and state the reasons for taking these actions.

The following application has been granted. This credit union has met the criteria applied to determine if additional groups may be included in the membership of an existing credit union and have the immediate ability to serve the proposed new groups or geographic areas. The proposed new groups or geographic areas meet the requirements established pursuant to 370.080(2), RSMo Supp. 1999.

Credit Union	Proposed New Group or Geographic Area
Purina Credit Union 1045 Chouteau St. Louis, MO 63102	Employees of Connexus, Inc. Employees of Security Armored Car Services, Inc.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
Division 100—Division of Credit Unions**

**APPLICATIONS FOR NEW GROUPS OR GEOGRAPHIC AREAS**

Pursuant to section 370.081(4), RSMo Supp. 1999, the Director of the Missouri Division of Credit Unions is required to cause notice to be published that the following credit unions have submitted applications to add new groups or geographic areas to their membership.

Credit Union	Proposed New Group or Geographic Area
Central Electric Credit Union 2106 Jefferson Street Jefferson City, MO 65109	Employees of Central Electric Credit Union and Family Members  Family Members of Eligible Members

*NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a written statement in support of or in opposition to any of these applications. Comments shall be filed with: Director, Division of Credit Unions, P.O. Box 1607, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, written comments must be submitted no later than ten business days after publication of this notice in the Missouri Register.*

**Title 19—DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
Division 60—Missouri Health Facilities  
Review Committee  
Chapter 50—Certificate of Need Program**

**APPLICATION REVIEW SCHEDULE**

DATE FILED:  
APPLICATION PROJECT NO. &  
NAME/COST & DESCRIPTION/  
CITY & COUNTY

The Missouri Health Facilities Review Committee has initiated review of the applications listed below. Decisions are tentatively scheduled for the July 31, 2000 Certificate of Need meeting. These applications are available for public inspection at the address shown below.

**05/19/00**

#2987 NS: Carmel Hills Living Center  
\$1,625,015, Replace 55-bed intermediate care facility  
Independence (Jackson County)

#2986 HS: Bates County Memorial Hospital  
\$17,792,994, Modernize/expand facility  
Butler (Bates County)

#2991 HS: Heartland Health  
\$48,657,315, Consolidate and expand services  
St. Joseph (Buchanan County)

#2989 FS: Radiation Oncologists, P.C.  
\$1,606,680, Establish positron emission tomography service  
Kansas City (Jackson County)

#2946 HS: Phelps County Regional Medical Center  
\$1,681,719, Replace magnetic resonance imager  
Rolla (Phelps County)

#2985 HS: St. John's Mercy Medical Center  
\$2,022,336, Replace linear accelerator  
St. Louis (St. Louis County)

Any person wishing to request a public hearing for the purpose of commenting on any of these applications must submit a written request to this effect, which must be received at the address listed below by June 21, 2000. All written requests and comments should be sent to:

Chairman  
Missouri Health Facilities Review Committee  
c/o Certificate of Need Program  
915 G Leslie Boulevard  
Jefferson City, MO 65101

For additional information contact  
Donna Schuessler, 573-751-6403.

**OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION  
Division of Purchasing**

**BID OPENINGS**

Sealed Bids in one (1) copy will be received by the Division of Purchasing, Room 580, Truman Building, P.O. Box 809, Jefferson City, MO 65102, telephone (573) 751-2387 at 2:00 p.m. on dates specified below for various agencies throughout Missouri. Bids are available to download via our homepage: <http://www.state.mo.us/oa/purch/purch.htm>. Prospective bidders may receive specifications upon request.

B2Z00087 On-Line Legal Subscription Services 7/6/00;  
B2Z00093 Facsimile Tranceivers-Plain Paper 7/6/00;  
B3Z00216 Public Education Campaign, Children's Trust Fund  
7/6/00;  
B1Z00469 Food Products: Soup, Sauce & Gravy Mixes 7/7/00;  
B2Z00052 Consulting Services: COOL Software Suite 7/11/00;  
B3Z00160 Conference Services: Columbia, Jefferson City, Lake  
Ozark 7/13/00;  
B2Z00077 Telephone Service-Toll Free 7/14/00;  
B3Z00224 Print: Missouri State Income Tax Books 7/26/00;  
B2Z00095 Project Management Training 8/8/00.

It is the intent of the State of Missouri, Division of Purchasing to purchase the following as a single feasible source without competitive bids. If suppliers exist other than the one identified, contact (573) 751-2387 immediately.

Transparent Data Management Facility (TDMF) Software, supplied by Amdahl Corporation.

Maintenance on Multigraphic Printing Equipment and Maintenance & Postage Meter Rental, supplied by Pitney Bowes.

Joyce Murphy, CPPO,  
Director of Purchasing

# Rule Changes Since Update to Code of State Regulations

This cumulative table gives you the latest status of rules. It contains citations of rulemakings adopted or proposed after deadline for the monthly Update Service to the *Code of State Regulations*, citations are to volume and page number in the *Missouri Register*, except for material in this issue. The first number in the table cite refers to the volume number or the publication year—23 (1998), 24 (1999) and 25 (2000). MoReg refers to *Missouri Register* and the numbers refer to a specific *Register* page, R indicates a rescission, W indicates a withdrawal, S indicates a statement of actual cost, T indicates an order terminating a rule, N.A. indicates not applicable and RUC indicates a rule under consideration.

Rule Number	Agency	Emergency	Proposed	Order	In Addition
<b>OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION</b>					
1 CSR 10	State Officials' Salary Compensation Schedule .....				23 MoReg 2473
1 CSR 10-17.040	Office of Administration .....		25 MoReg 1062		24 MoReg 2535
	<i>(Changed from 1 CSR 40-1.080)</i>				
1 CSR 10-17.050	Office of Administration .....		25 MoReg 1062		
	<i>(Changed from 1 CSR 40-1.070)</i>				
1 CSR 20-5.010	Personnel Advisory Board .....		25 MoReg 1195		
1 CSR 20-5.020	Personnel Advisory Board .....		25 MoReg 1196		
1 CSR 40-1.010	Purchasing and Materials Management .....		25 MoReg 1059		
1 CSR 40-1.030	Purchasing and Materials Management .....		25 MoReg 1059		
1 CSR 40-1.050	Purchasing and Materials Management .....		25 MoReg 1060		
1 CSR 40-1.060	Purchasing and Materials Management .....		25 MoReg 1061		
1 CSR 40-1.070	Purchasing and Materials Management .....		25 MoReg 1062		
	<i>(Changed to 1 CSR 10-17.050)</i>				
1 CSR 40-1.080	Purchasing and Materials Management .....		25 MoReg 1062		
	<i>(Changed to 1 CSR 10-17.040)</i>				
<b>DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE</b>					
2 CSR 10-5.005	Market Development .....	24 MoReg 2269			
2 CSR 30-2.020	Animal Health .....		25 MoReg 633	25 MoReg 1643	
2 CSR 60-1.010	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2755	25 MoReg 1157	
2 CSR 60-4.011	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2755	25 MoReg 1157	
2 CSR 60-4.040	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2755R	25 MoReg 1157R	
2 CSR 60-4.070	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2756	25 MoReg 1157	
2 CSR 60-4.110	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2756	25 MoReg 1157	
2 CSR 60-4.140	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2757	25 MoReg 1158	
2 CSR 60-4.150	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2758	25 MoReg 1158	
2 CSR 60-4.180	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2758	25 MoReg 1158	
2 CSR 60-5.010	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2759	25 MoReg 1158	
2 CSR 60-5.020	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2759R	25 MoReg 1158R	
			24 MoReg 2759	25 MoReg 1158	
2 CSR 60-5.030	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2760R	25 MoReg 1159R	
2 CSR 60-5.040	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2760	25 MoReg 1159	
2 CSR 60-5.050	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2760	25 MoReg 1159	
2 CSR 60-5.070	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2761	25 MoReg 1159	
2 CSR 60-5.080	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2761	25 MoReg 1159	
2 CSR 60-5.100	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2762	25 MoReg 1160	
2 CSR 60-5.120	Grain Inspection and Warehousing .....		24 MoReg 2763	25 MoReg 1160	
2 CSR 80-5.010	State Milk Board .....		25 MoReg 357	25 MoReg 1643	
2 CSR 90-20.040	Weights and Measures .....		25 MoReg 760		
2 CSR 90-22.140	Weights and Measures .....		25 MoReg 760		
2 CSR 90-25.010	Weights and Measures .....		25 MoReg 761		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION</b>					
3 CSR 10-1.010	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 477	25 MoReg 1350	
3 CSR 10-4.110	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1385		
3 CSR 10-4.113	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1385		
3 CSR 10-4.115	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1386		
3 CSR 10-4.116	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 633	25 MoReg 1475	
			25 MoReg 1393		
3 CSR 10-5.205	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1396		
3 CSR 10-5.215	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1396		
3 CSR 10-5.430	Conservation Commission .....		This Issue		
3 CSR 10-5.535	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1397		
3 CSR 10-6.405	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1399		
3 CSR 10-6.410	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1399		
3 CSR 10-6.415	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1400		
3 CSR 10-6.505	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1401		
3 CSR 10-6.510	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1402		
3 CSR 10-6.525	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1402		
3 CSR 10-6.530	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1402		
3 CSR 10-6.535	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1402		
3 CSR 10-6.545	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1403		
3 CSR 10-6.550	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1403		
			This Issue		
3 CSR 10-6.615	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1404		
3 CSR 10-7.410	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1404		
3 CSR 10-7.415	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1404		
3 CSR 10-7.417	Conservation Commission .....		25 MoReg 1405		

Rule Number	Agency	Emergency	Proposed	Order	In Addition
3 CSR 10-7.420	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1405		
3 CSR 10-7.425	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1405		
3 CSR 10-7.430	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1405		
3 CSR 10-7.435	Conservation Commission		N.A.	25 MoReg 1475	
3 CSR 10-7.441	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1406		
3 CSR 10-7.445	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1406		
3 CSR 10-7.450	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1406		
3 CSR 10-7.455	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1407		24 MoReg 2989
3 CSR 10-8.515	Conservation Commission		N.A.	25 MoReg 1478	
3 CSR 10-9.110	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1407		
3 CSR 10-9.230	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1408		
3 CSR 10-9.420	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1408		
3 CSR 10-9.625	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1409		
3 CSR 10-9.627	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1409		
3 CSR 10-9.640	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1410		
3 CSR 10-9.645	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1412		
3 CSR 10-10.707	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1412		
3 CSR 10-10.782	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1412		
3 CSR 10-11.805	Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1413		

**DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

4 CSR 40-1.021	Office of Athletics		21 MoReg 2680		
4 CSR 40-5.070	Office of Athletics		21 MoReg 1963		
4 CSR 65-1.020	Endowed Care Cemeteries		25 MoReg 1197		
4 CSR 65-1.030	Endowed Care Cemeteries		25 MoReg 1197		
4 CSR 65-1.040	Endowed Care Cemeteries		25 MoReg 1197		
4 CSR 65-1.050	Endowed Care Cemeteries		25 MoReg 1202		
4 CSR 65-1.060	Endowed Care Cemeteries		25 MoReg 1205		
4 CSR 65-2.020	Endowed Care Cemeteries		25 MoReg 1205		
4 CSR 65-2.030	Endowed Care Cemeteries		25 MoReg 1208		
4 CSR 65-2.040	Endowed Care Cemeteries		25 MoReg 1212		
4 CSR 70-2.031	State Board of Chiropractic Examiners		25 MoReg 1215		
4 CSR 70-2.050	State Board of Chiropractic Examiners		25 MoReg 925		
4 CSR 70-2.080	State Board of Chiropractic Examiners		25 MoReg 1215		
4 CSR 70-2.090	State Board of Chiropractic Examiners		25 MoReg 1216		
4 CSR 70-2.100	State Board of Chiropractic Examiners		25 MoReg 925		
4 CSR 90-1.010	State Board of Cosmetology		25 MoReg 926		
4 CSR 90-2.010	State Board of Cosmetology		25 MoReg 928		
4 CSR 90-3.010	State Board of Cosmetology		25 MoReg 928		
4 CSR 90-4.020	State Board of Cosmetology		25 MoReg 931R		
			25 MoReg 931		
4 CSR 90-11.010	State Board of Cosmetology		25 MoReg 931		
4 CSR 90-13.010	State Board of Cosmetology		25 MoReg 932		
4 CSR 100	Division of Credit Unions				25 MoReg 1650
					25 MoReg 1650
					This Issue
					This Issue
4 CSR 100-2.045	Division of Credit Unions		25 MoReg 932		
4 CSR 100-2.190	Division of Credit Unions		25 MoReg 261	25 MoReg 1350	
4 CSR 105-3.040	Credit Union Commission		25 MoReg 360		
4 CSR 110-2.001	Missouri Dental Board		25 MoReg 477		This Issue
4 CSR 110-2.090	Missouri Dental Board		25 MoReg 1216		
4 CSR 110-2.130	Missouri Dental Board		25 MoReg 478R		This IssueR
			25 MoReg 478		This Issue
4 CSR 115-1.010	State Committee of Dietitians		25 MoReg 934		
4 CSR 115-1.020	State Committee of Dietitians		25 MoReg 937		
4 CSR 115-1.030	State Committee of Dietitians		25 MoReg 940		
4 CSR 115-1.040	State Committee of Dietitians		25 MoReg 943		
4 CSR 115-2.010	State Committee of Dietitians		25 MoReg 943		
4 CSR 115-2.020	State Committee of Dietitians		25 MoReg 947		
4 CSR 115-2.030	State Committee of Dietitians		25 MoReg 948		
4 CSR 115-2.040	State Committee of Dietitians		25 MoReg 951		
4 CSR 115-2.050	State Committee of Dietitians		25 MoReg 955		
4 CSR 120-1.030	Board of Embalmers and Funeral Directors		25 MoReg 959		
4 CSR 120-2.010	Board of Embalmers and Funeral Directors		25 MoReg 959		
4 CSR 120-2.060	Board of Embalmers and Funeral Directors		25 MoReg 960		
4 CSR 120-2.100	Board of Embalmers and Funeral Directors		25 MoReg 261	25 MoReg 1350	
4 CSR 150-2.001	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 485		
4 CSR 150-2.005	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 485		
4 CSR 150-2.065	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 485		
4 CSR 150-2.080	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 261	25 MoReg 1350	
4 CSR 150-2.100	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 486		
4 CSR 150-3.080	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 1217		
4 CSR 150-3.170	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 1217		
4 CSR 150-3.203	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 486		
4 CSR 150-4.051	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 487		
4 CSR 150-4.055	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 487		
4 CSR 150-4.060	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 488		
4 CSR 150-4.105	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 488		
4 CSR 150-4.110	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 489R		
			25 MoReg 489		

Rule Number	Agency	Emergency	Proposed	Order	In Addition
4 CSR 150-4.115	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 490R		
4 CSR 150-4.120	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 490 25 MoReg 491R 25 MoReg 491		
4 CSR 150-4.125	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 496		
4 CSR 150-4.130	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 496		
4 CSR 150-4.200	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 496		
4 CSR 150-4.201	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 497		
4 CSR 150-4.203	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 497		
4 CSR 150-4.205	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 498		
4 CSR 150-4.210	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 503		
4 CSR 150-4.215	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 503		
4 CSR 150-6.020	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 507		
4 CSR 150-6.025	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 507		
4 CSR 150-6.030	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 512		
4 CSR 150-6.060	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 512		
4 CSR 150-6.070	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 517		
4 CSR 150-7.100	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 517		
4 CSR 150-7.120	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 517		
4 CSR 150-7.122	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 518		
4 CSR 150-7.125	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 518		
4 CSR 150-7.140	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 519		
4 CSR 150-7.200	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 521		
4 CSR 150-7.300	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 521		
4 CSR 150-7.310	State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts		25 MoReg 527		
4 CSR 155-1.010	Office of Health Care Providers		25 MoReg 531	25 MoReg 1643	
4 CSR 155-1.020	Office of Health Care Providers		25 MoReg 531	25 MoReg 1643	
4 CSR 193-1.010	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 761		
4 CSR 193-1.020	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 761		
4 CSR 193-1.030	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 765		
4 CSR 193-2.010	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 769		
4 CSR 193-2.020	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 773		
4 CSR 193-2.030	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 773		
4 CSR 193-2.040	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 773		
4 CSR 193-3.010	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 778		
4 CSR 193-3.020	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 778		
4 CSR 193-4.010	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 782		
4 CSR 193-5.010	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 782		
4 CSR 193-6.010	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 786		
4 CSR 193-6.020	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 789		
4 CSR 193-6.030	Interior Design Council		25 MoReg 792		
4 CSR 195-5.010	Workforce Development		24 MoReg 2314 25 MoReg 962		
4 CSR 195-5.020	Workforce Development		24 MoReg 2315 25 MoReg 962		
4 CSR 195-5.030	Workforce Development		24 MoReg 2318 25 MoReg 966		
4 CSR 196-1.020	Landscape Architectural Council		This Issue		
4 CSR 196-2.010	Landscape Architectural Council		This Issue		
4 CSR 196-2.020	Landscape Architectural Council		This Issue		
4 CSR 196-5.010	Landscape Architectural Council		This Issue		
4 CSR 196-5.020	Landscape Architectural Council		This Issue		
4 CSR 196-5.030	Landscape Architectural Council		This Issue		
4 CSR 196-6.010	Landscape Architectural Council		This Issue		
4 CSR 196-7.010	Landscape Architectural Council		This Issue		
4 CSR 196-8.010	Landscape Architectural Council		This Issue		
4 CSR 196-10.010	Landscape Architectural Council		This Issue		
4 CSR 197-1.010	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 795		
4 CSR 197-1.020	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 795		
4 CSR 197-1.030	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 795		
4 CSR 197-1.040	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 800		
4 CSR 197-2.010	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 800		
4 CSR 197-2.020	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 806		
4 CSR 197-2.030	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 810		
4 CSR 197-2.040	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 814		
4 CSR 197-2.050	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 818		
4 CSR 197-3.010	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 822		
4 CSR 197-4.010	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 825		
4 CSR 197-4.020	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 829		
4 CSR 197-5.010	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 832		
4 CSR 197-5.020	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 832		
4 CSR 197-5.030	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 837		
4 CSR 197-5.040	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 842		
4 CSR 197-6.010	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 846		
4 CSR 197-6.020	Board of Therapeutic Massage		25 MoReg 849		
4 CSR 200-4.010	State Board of Nursing		This Issue		
4 CSR 200-4.020	State Board of Nursing		25 MoReg 1561		
4 CSR 205-3.030	Missouri Board of Occupational Therapy		This Issue		
4 CSR 205-3.040	Missouri Board of Occupational Therapy		This Issue		
4 CSR 210-2.060	State Board of Optometry		22 MoReg 1443		
4 CSR 220-2.010	State Board of Pharmacy		25 MoReg 966		
4 CSR 220-2.018	State Board of Pharmacy		25 MoReg 967		
4 CSR 220-2.020	State Board of Pharmacy		25 MoReg 967		

Rule Number	Agency	Emergency	Proposed	Order	In Addition
4 CSR 220-2.036	State Board of Pharmacy		25 MoReg 968		
4 CSR 220-2.080	State Board of Pharmacy		25 MoReg 970		
4 CSR 220-2.100	State Board of Pharmacy		25 MoReg 971		
4 CSR 220-2.145	State Board of Pharmacy		25 MoReg 972		
4 CSR 220-4.010	State Board of Pharmacy		25 MoReg 973		
4 CSR 220-5.020	State Board of Pharmacy		25 MoReg 973		
4 CSR 220-5.030	State Board of Pharmacy		25 MoReg 973		
4 CSR 220-5.050	State Board of Pharmacy		25 MoReg 974		
4 CSR 220-5.070	State Board of Pharmacy		25 MoReg 977		
4 CSR 230-2.070	Board of Podiatric Medicine		25 MoReg 531	25 MoReg 1644	
4 CSR 235-1.020	State Committee of Psychologists		25 MoReg 977		
4 CSR 235-2.005	State Committee of Psychologists		This Issue		
4 CSR 240-2.125	Public Service Commission		25 MoReg 1415		
4 CSR 250-8.020	Missouri Real Estate Commission		25 MoReg 360	25 MoReg 1481	
4 CSR 250-8.070	Missouri Real Estate Commission		25 MoReg 360	25 MoReg 1481	
4 CSR 250-8.090	Missouri Real Estate Commission		25 MoReg 361	25 MoReg 1481	
4 CSR 250-8.095	Missouri Real Estate Commission		25 MoReg 363R	25 MoReg 1481R	
			25 MoReg 363	25 MoReg 1481	
4 CSR 250-8.096	Missouri Real Estate Commission		25 MoReg 365	25 MoReg 1481	
4 CSR 250-8.097	Missouri Real Estate Commission		25 MoReg 365	25 MoReg 1482	
4 CSR 250-8.160	Missouri Real Estate Commission		25 MoReg 366	25 MoReg 1482	
4 CSR 250-8.210	Missouri Real Estate Commission		25 MoReg 366	25 MoReg 1482	
4 CSR 255-1.040	Missouri Board for Respiratory Care		25 MoReg 262	25 MoReg 1351	
4 CSR 255-2.040	Missouri Board for Respiratory Care		25 MoReg 262	25 MoReg 1351	
4 CSR 255-2.050	Missouri Board for Respiratory Care		25 MoReg 262	25 MoReg 1351	
4 CSR 255-2.060	Missouri Board for Respiratory Care		25 MoReg 263	25 MoReg 1351	
4 CSR 255-3.010	Missouri Board for Respiratory Care		25 MoReg 263	25 MoReg 1351	
4 CSR 255-4.010	Missouri Board for Respiratory Care		25 MoReg 264	25 MoReg 1351	

**DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION**

5 CSR 30-261.045	Division of School Services		25 MoReg 1063R		
			25 MoReg 1063		
5 CSR 30-345.010	Division of School Services		25 MoReg 533	This Issue	
5 CSR 50-270.050	Division of Instruction		24 MoReg 877		
5 CSR 50-340.010	Division of Instruction		25 MoReg 533R	This IssueR	
5 CSR 80-800.010	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 1416R		
5 CSR 80-800.200	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 1416		
5 CSR 80-800.210	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 1420R		
5 CSR 80-800.220	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 1420		
5 CSR 80-800.230	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 1425		
5 CSR 80-800.260	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 1431		
5 CSR 80-800.270	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 1435		
5 CSR 80-800.280	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 1438		
5 CSR 80-800.350	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 1442		
5 CSR 80-800.360	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 1445		
5 CSR 80-800.370	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 1450		
5 CSR 80-800.380	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 1453		
5 CSR 80-800.400	Urban and Teacher Education		25 MoReg 533	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-4.100	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 367	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-4.110	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 367	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-4.120	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 368	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-4.200	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 368	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-4.300	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 370	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-4.400	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 370	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-4.410	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 371	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-4.420	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 371	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-4.430	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 374	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-5.400	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 376	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-5.410	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 379	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-5.420	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 379	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-5.430	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 382	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-5.440	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 384	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-5.450	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 387	This Issue	
5 CSR 90-5.460	Vocational Rehabilitation		25 MoReg 389	This Issue	

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

7 CSR 10-2.010	Highways and Transportation Commission		24 MoReg 1367R		
			24 MoReg 1367		
7 CSR 10-6.010	Highways and Transportation Commission		24 MoReg 765		
7 CSR 10-6.015	Highways and Transportation Commission		24 MoReg 766		
7 CSR 10-6.040	Highways and Transportation Commission		24 MoReg 767		
7 CSR 10-6.050	Highways and Transportation Commission		24 MoReg 768		
7 CSR 10-6.060	Highways and Transportation Commission		24 MoReg 769		
7 CSR 10-6.070	Highways and Transportation Commission		24 MoReg 770		
7 CSR 10-6.085	Highways and Transportation Commission		24 MoReg 773		
7 CSR 10-8.010	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1529R	25 MoReg 1562R		
7 CSR 10-8.011	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1529	25 MoReg 1563		
7 CSR 10-8.020	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1530R	25 MoReg 1564R		
7 CSR 10-8.021	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1532	25 MoReg 1565		
7 CSR 10-8.030	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1535R	25 MoReg 1570R		
7 CSR 10-8.031	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1535	25 MoReg 1570		

Rule Number	Agency	Emergency	Proposed	Order	In Addition
7 CSR 10-8.040	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1536R	25 MoReg 1574R		
7 CSR 10-8.041	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1537	25 MoReg 1574		
7 CSR 10-8.050	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1537R	25 MoReg 1574R		
7 CSR 10-8.051	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1538	25 MoReg 1575		
7 CSR 10-8.060	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1541R	25 MoReg 1582R		
7 CSR 10-8.061	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1542	25 MoReg 1582		
7 CSR 10-8.070	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1542R	25 MoReg 1584R		
7 CSR 10-8.071	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1542	25 MoReg 1584		
7 CSR 10-8.080	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1543R	25 MoReg 1588R		
7 CSR 10-8.081	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1544	25 MoReg 1588		
7 CSR 10-8.090	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1545R	25 MoReg 1591R		
7 CSR 10-8.091	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1546	25 MoReg 1591		
7 CSR 10-8.101	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1548	25 MoReg 1597		
7 CSR 10-8.111	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1549	25 MoReg 1597		
7 CSR 10-8.121	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1550	25 MoReg 1660		
7 CSR 10-8.131	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1552	25 MoReg 1603		
7 CSR 10-8.141	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1553	25 MoReg 1606		
7 CSR 10-8.151	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1555	25 MoReg 1610		
7 CSR 10-8.161	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1556	25 MoReg 1614		
7 CSR 10-8.200	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1557R	25 MoReg 1614R		
7 CSR 10-8.210	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1558R	25 MoReg 1615R		
7 CSR 10-8.220	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1558R	25 MoReg 1615R		
7 CSR 10-8.230	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1558R	25 MoReg 1615R		
7 CSR 10-8.240	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1559R	25 MoReg 1616R		
7 CSR 10-8.250	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1559R	25 MoReg 1616R		
7 CSR 10-8.260	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1560R	25 MoReg 1616R		
7 CSR 10-8.270	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 1560R	25 MoReg 1617R		
7 CSR 10 14.010	Highways and Transportation Commission		25 MoReg 635		
7 CSR 10 14.020	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 629	25 MoReg 639		
7 CSR 10 14.030	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 629	25 MoReg 639		
7 CSR 10 14.040	Highways and Transportation Commission	25 MoReg 630	25 MoReg 640		
7 CSR 10 14.050	Highways and Transportation Commission		25 MoReg 640		
7 CSR 10 14.060	Highways and Transportation Commission		25 MoReg 641		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS</b>					
8 CSR 10-2.020	Division of Employment Security		25 MoReg 1217		
8 CSR 10-4.160	Division of Employment Security		25 MoReg 1617		
8 CSR 30-3.010	Division of Labor Standards		25 MoReg 1066		
8 CSR 30-4.030	Division of Labor Standards		25 MoReg 1066		
8 CSR 50-2.030	Workers' Compensation		25 MoReg 536R		
			25 MoReg 536		
8 CSR 50-4.010	Workers' Compensation		25 MoReg 537R		
			25 MoReg 538		
8 CSR 50-7.050	Workers' Compensation		This Issue		
8 CSR 50-7.060	Workers' Compensation		This Issue		
8 CSR 50-7.070	Workers' Compensation		This Issue		
8 CSR 60-3.040	Commission on Human Rights	24 MoReg 2565			25 MoReg 598RUC
		25 MoReg 144T			
<b>DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH</b>					
9 CSR 10-7.010	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2875RUC	
9 CSR 10-7.020	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2877RUC	
9 CSR 10-7.030	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2879RUC	
9 CSR 10-7.040	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2881RUC	
9 CSR 10-7.050	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2881RUC	
9 CSR 10-7.060	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2883RUC	
9 CSR 10-7.070	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2884RUC	
9 CSR 10-7.080	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2885RUC	
9 CSR 10-7.090	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2886RUC	
9 CSR 10-7.100	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2887RUC	
9 CSR 10-7.110	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2887RUC	
9 CSR 10-7.120	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2890RUC	
9 CSR 10-7.130	Director, Department of Mental Health			24 MoReg 2891RUC	
9 CSR 25-4.040	Fiscal Management		24 MoReg 2386		
			25 MoReg 641		This Issue
9 CSR 45-5.040	Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities		24 MoReg 2389		
			25 MoReg 644		This Issue
<b>DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES</b>					
10 CSR					24 MoReg 1693
10 CSR 10-2.010	Air Conservation Commission			24 MoReg 420	
10 CSR 10-5.070	Air Conservation Commission		24 MoReg 2224		
10 CSR 10-5.330	Air Conservation Commission		This Issue		
10 CSR 10-5.380	Air Conservation Commission	24 MoReg 2935	25 MoReg 14	25 MoReg 1482	
10 CSR 10-5.390	Air Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 264		This Issue
10 CSR 10-5.451	Air Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 649		
10 CSR 10-5.490	Air Conservation Commission		24 MoReg 2680	25 MoReg 1483	
10 CSR 10-6.070	Air Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1618		
10 CSR 10-6.075	Air Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1618		
10 CSR 10-6.080	Air Conservation Commission		25 MoReg 1623		

Rule Number	Agency	Emergency	Proposed	Order	In Addition
10 CSR 10-6.110	Air Conservation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1218		
10 CSR 10-6.170	Air Conservation Commission.....		22 MoReg 2129		
10 CSR 10-6.310	Air Conservation Commission.....		24 MoReg 2686	.....25 MoReg 1485	
10 CSR 10-6.350	Air Conservation Commission.....		25 MoReg 640		
10 CSR 10-6.400	Air Conservation Commission.....		25 MoReg 391	.....This Issue	
10 CSR 20-7.015	Clean Water Commission.....		25 MoReg 264		
10 CSR 40-3.010	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1066		
10 CSR 40-3.020	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1066		
10 CSR 40-3.040	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1067		
10 CSR 40-3.050	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1070		
10 CSR 40-3.080	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1071		
10 CSR 40-3.090	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1072		
10 CSR 40-3.110	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1072		
10 CSR 40-3.120	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1073		
10 CSR 40-3.140	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1074		
10 CSR 40-3.200	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1074		
10 CSR 40-3.240	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1078		
10 CSR 40-3.270	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1078		
10 CSR 40-4.010	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1079		
10 CSR 40-4.020	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1079		
10 CSR 40-4.030	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1080		
10 CSR 40-4.050	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1081		
10 CSR 40-5.010	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1081		
10 CSR 40-6.010	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1082		
10 CSR 40-6.020	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1083		
10 CSR 40-6.030	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1083		
10 CSR 40-6.040	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1084		
10 CSR 40-6.050	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1085		
10 CSR 40-6.060	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1087		
10 CSR 40-6.070	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1088		
10 CSR 40-6.090	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1089		
10 CSR 40-6.100	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1090		
10 CSR 40-6.120	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1091		
10 CSR 40-7.011	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1092		
10 CSR 40-7.021	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1094		
10 CSR 40-8.010	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1095		
10 CSR 40-8.030	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1101		
10 CSR 40-8.050	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1102		
10 CSR 40-8.070	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1103		
10 CSR 40-9.020	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1107		
10 CSR 40-10.010	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1623		
10 CSR 40-10.020	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1623		
10 CSR 40-10.040	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1627		
10 CSR 40-10.100	Land Reclamation Commission.....		25 MoReg 1627		
10 CSR 45-1.010	Metallic Minerals Waste Management.....		24 MoReg 2049		
	.....		25 MoReg 978		
10 CSR 45-2.010	Metallic Minerals Waste Management.....		24 MoReg 2049		
	.....		25 MoReg 978		
10 CSR 45-3.010	Metallic Minerals Waste Management.....		24 MoReg 1258R		
	.....		24 MoReg 1258		
	.....		25 MoReg 978R		
	.....		25 MoReg 978		
10 CSR 45-6.010	Metallic Minerals Waste Management.....		24 MoReg 2049		
	.....		25 MoReg 987		
10 CSR 45-6.020	Metallic Minerals Waste Management.....		24 MoReg 2049		
	.....		25 MoReg 987		
10 CSR 45-6.030	Metallic Minerals Waste Management.....		24 MoReg 2050		
	.....		25 MoReg 987		
10 CSR 60-2.015	Public Drinking Water Program.....		25 MoReg 147	.....This Issue	
10 CSR 60-4.010	Public Drinking Water Program.....		25 MoReg 148	.....This Issue	
10 CSR 60-4.050	Public Drinking Water Program.....		25 MoReg 152	.....This Issue	
10 CSR 60-4.055	Public Drinking Water Program.....		25 MoReg 156	.....This Issue	
10 CSR 60-4.090	Public Drinking Water Program.....		25 MoReg 161	.....This Issue	
10 CSR 60-5.010	Public Drinking Water Program.....		25 MoReg 539		
10 CSR 60-5.020	Public Drinking Water Program.....		25 MoReg 176	.....This Issue	
10 CSR 60-7.010	Public Drinking Water Program.....		25 MoReg 181	.....This Issue	
10 CSR 60-8.010	Public Drinking Water Program.....		25 MoReg 187	.....This Issue	
10 CSR 80-9.040	Solid Waste Management.....		25 MoReg 191	.....This Issue	
10 CSR 80-9.050	Solid Waste Management.....		25 MoReg 197	.....This Issue	
10 CSR 100-2.010	Petroleum Storage Tank Insurance Fund Board of Trustees.....		25 MoReg 1108		
10 CSR 100-5.010	Petroleum Storage Tank Insurance Fund Board of Trustees.....		25 MoReg 1108		
10 CSR 140-2	Division of Energy.....				24 MoReg 2243

**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY**

11 CSR 10-12.010	Adjutant General.....				This Issue
11 CSR 10-12.020	Adjutant General.....				This Issue
11 CSR 10-12.030	Adjutant General.....				This Issue
11 CSR 10-12.040	Adjutant General.....				This Issue
11 CSR 10-12.050	Adjutant General.....				This Issue
11 CSR 10-12.060	Adjutant General.....				This Issue
11 CSR 30-9.010	Office of the Director.....		25 MoReg 751		25 MoReg 852
11 CSR 30-9.020	Office of the Director.....		25 MoReg 751		25 MoReg 852



Rule Number	Agency	Emergency	Proposed	Order	In Addition
11 CSR 30-9.030	Office of the Director	25 MoReg 752	25 MoReg 852		
11 CSR 30-9.040	Office of the Director	25 MoReg 752	25 MoReg 853		
11 CSR 30-9.050	Office of the Director	25 MoReg 753	25 MoReg 853		
11 CSR 45-1.090	Missouri Gaming Commission		25 MoReg 1114		
11 CSR 45-5.010	Missouri Gaming Commission		25 MoReg 268		This Issue
11 CSR 45-5.051	Missouri Gaming Commission		25 MoReg 273		This Issue
11 CSR 45-5.053	Missouri Gaming Commission		25 MoReg 853		
11 CSR 45-5.075	Missouri Gaming Commission		25 MoReg 1631		
11 CSR 45-10.035	Missouri Gaming Commission		25 MoReg 278	25 MoReg 1487	
11 CSR 45-10.150	Missouri Gaming Commission	24 MoReg 2936	24 MoReg 2961	25 MoReg 1352	
11 CSR 45-11.110	Missouri Gaming Commission	This Issue	This Issue		
11 CSR 45-13.055	Missouri Gaming Commission	24 MoReg 2124	24 MoReg 2144		
			25 MoReg 278	25 MoReg 1487	
11 CSR 45-17.030	Missouri Gaming Commission		25 MoReg 854		
11 CSR 50-2.080	Missouri State Highway Patrol		25 MoReg 554	25 MoReg 1644	
11 CSR 50-2.090	Missouri State Highway Patrol		25 MoReg 554	25 MoReg 1644	
11 CSR 50-2.100	Missouri State Highway Patrol		25 MoReg 554	25 MoReg 1644	
11 CSR 50-2.150	Missouri State Highway Patrol	25 MoReg 475	25 MoReg 554	25 MoReg 1644	
11 CSR 50-2.160	Missouri State Highway Patrol	25 MoReg 475	25 MoReg 555	25 MoReg 1644	
11 CSR 50-2.290	Missouri State Highway Patrol	25 MoReg 476	25 MoReg 555	25 MoReg 1645	
11 CSR 50-2.320	Missouri State Highway Patrol		25 MoReg 556	25 MoReg 1645	
11 CSR 50-2.400	Missouri State Highway Patrol	25 MoReg 253	25 MoReg 282	25 MoReg 1352	
11 CSR 50-2.430	Missouri State Highway Patrol		25 MoReg 556	25 MoReg 1645	
11 CSR 50-2.440	Missouri State Highway Patrol		25 MoReg 557	25 MoReg 1645	
11 CSR 75-2.010	Peace Officer Standards and Training		25 MoReg 664		This Issue
11 CSR 75-3.020	Peace Officer Standards and Training		25 MoReg 665		This Issue
11 CSR 75-3.030	Peace Officer Standards and Training		25 MoReg 854		
11 CSR 75-5.040	Peace Officer Standards and Training		25 MoReg 665		This Issue
11 CSR 75-6.020	Peace Officer Standards and Training		25 MoReg 1631		
11 CSR 75-6.030	Peace Officer Standards and Training		25 MoReg 1631		
11 CSR 75-11.035	Peace Officer Standards and Training		25 MoReg 665		This Issue
11 CSR 75-11.060	Peace Officer Standards and Training		25 MoReg 666		This Issue
11 CSR 75-11.070	Peace Officer Standards and Training		25 MoReg 666		This Issue
11 CSR 80-1.010	Missouri State Water Patrol		25 MoReg 290	25 MoReg 1645	
11 CSR 80-2.010	Missouri State Water Patrol		25 MoReg 290	25 MoReg 1645	
11 CSR 80-3.010	Missouri State Water Patrol		25 MoReg 291	25 MoReg 1646	
11 CSR 80-3.020	Missouri State Water Patrol		25 MoReg 291	25 MoReg 1646	
11 CSR 80-4.010	Missouri State Water Patrol		25 MoReg 291	25 MoReg 1646	
11 CSR 80-6.010	Missouri State Water Patrol		25 MoReg 292	25 MoReg 1646	
11 CSR 80-7.010	Missouri State Water Patrol		25 MoReg 292	25 MoReg 1646	
11 CSR 80-8.010	Missouri State Water Patrol		25 MoReg 292	25 MoReg 1646	

**DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE**

12 CSR	Construction Transient Employers				24 MoReg 2087
					25 MoReg 1490
12 CSR 10-2.015	Director of Revenue	25 MoReg 5	25 MoReg 18	25 MoReg 1160	
12 CSR 10-3.004	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.005	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.006	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.007	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.098	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1456R		
12 CSR 10-3.166	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.172	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.248	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.260	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.262	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.274	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.278	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.282	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.284	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.286	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.290	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.332	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.336	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.460	Director of Revenue	25 MoReg 144			
12 CSR 10-3.516	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1456R		
12 CSR 10-3.518	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1456R		
12 CSR 10-3.520	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1456R		
12 CSR 10-3.526	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1456R		
12 CSR 10-3.528	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1457R		
12 CSR 10-3.530	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1457R		
12 CSR 10-3.590	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.834	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.850	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-3.852	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1457R		
12 CSR 10-3.866	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-4.145	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-4.255	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1457R		
12 CSR 10-4.260	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1458R		
12 CSR 10-4.265	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1458R		
12 CSR 10-4.275	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1458R		
12 CSR 10-4.330	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1458R		

Rule Number	Agency	Emergency	Proposed	Order	In Addition
12 CSR 10-5.080	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1459R		
12 CSR 10-11.150	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1459R		
12 CSR 10-23.100	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 557	25 MoReg 1647	
12 CSR 10-24.050	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1459		
12 CSR 10-24.100	Director of Revenue		This Issue		
12 CSR 10-24.110	Director of Revenue		This Issue		
12 CSR 10-24.140	Director of Revenue		This Issue		
12 CSR 10-24.310	Director of Revenue		This IssueR		
12 CSR 10-24.450	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1114		
12 CSR 10-24.452	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1114		
12 CSR 10-24.460	Director of Revenue		This Issue		
12 CSR 10-25.030	Director of Revenue		This Issue		
12 CSR 10-25.090	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 392R	25 MoReg 1487R	
12 CSR 10-101.500	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 19	25 MoReg 1352	
12 CSR 10-103.200	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 292	25 MoReg 1487	
12 CSR 10-103.610	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 293	25 MoReg 1488	
12 CSR 10-110.900	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 20	25 MoReg 1160	
12 CSR 10-110.910	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 294	25 MoReg 1647	
12 CSR 10-110.920	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 295	25 MoReg 1647	
12 CSR 10-111.013	Director of Revenue		24 MoReg 2632	25 MoReg 558	
	<i>(Changed to 12 CSR 10-110.013)</i>				
12 CSR 10-111.060	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 23	25 MoReg 1160	
12 CSR 10-115.100	Director of Revenue		25 MoReg 1459		
12 CSR 30-4.010	State Tax Commission		25 MoReg 296	25 MoReg 1488	
12 CSR 40-40.090	State Lottery		25 MoReg 392	This Issue	
12 CSR 40-60.020	State Lottery		25 MoReg 393	This Issue	
12 CSR 40-85.005	State Lottery		This Issue		
12 CSR 40-85.010	State Lottery		This Issue		
12 CSR 40-85.030	State Lottery		This Issue		
12 CSR 40-85.050	State Lottery		This Issue		
12 CSR 40-85.060	State Lottery		This Issue		
12 CSR 40-85.080	State Lottery		This Issue		
12 CSR 40-85.110	State Lottery		This IssueR		
12 CSR 40-85.120	State Lottery		This IssueR		
12 CSR 40-85.130	State Lottery		This IssueR		
12 CSR 40-85.140	State Lottery		This Issue		
12 CSR 40-85.150	State Lottery		This IssueR		
12 CSR 40-85.160	State Lottery		This IssueR		
12 CSR 40-95.010	State Lottery		This Issue		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES</b>					
13 CSR 15-4.050	Division of Aging		25 MoReg 666	This Issue	
13 CSR 15-4.240	Division of Aging		25 MoReg 1639		
13 CSR 15-7.005	Division of Aging		25 MoReg 1639		
13 CSR 15-7.060	Division of Aging		25 MoReg 1640		
13 CSR 15-10.070	Division of Aging	25 MoReg 1193	25 MoReg 1227		
13 CSR 15-14.042	Division of Aging		25 MoReg 673	This Issue	
13 CSR 15-15.022	Division of Aging		25 MoReg 855		
13 CSR 15-15.045	Division of Aging		25 MoReg 1460		
13 CSR 30-8.010	Child Support Enforcement		25 MoReg 1115		
13 CSR 30-9.010	Child Support Enforcement		25 MoReg 674		
13 CSR 70-3.020	Medical Services		24 MoReg 1742		
13 CSR 70-3.030	Medical Services		24 MoReg 1743		
13 CSR 70-3.130	Medical Services		24 MoReg 1747		
13 CSR 70-4.051	Medical Services		25 MoReg 1641		
13 CSR 70-10.110	Medical Services		25 MoReg 867		
13 CSR 70-15.010	Medical Services	24 MoReg 2938	25 MoReg 204	25 MoReg 1352	
		25 MoReg 1383T			
		25 MoReg 1383	25 MoReg 1468		
13 CSR 70-15.110	Medical Services		25 MoReg 988		
13 CSR 110-1.010	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 678	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.010	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 678	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.020	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 679	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.030	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 679	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.040	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 680	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.050	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 681	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.060	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 682	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.070	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 682R	This IssueR	
13 CSR 110-2.080	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 683	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.090	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 683R	This IssueR	
13 CSR 110-2.100	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 684	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.110	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 685	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.120	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 685	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.130	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 686	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.140	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 686	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-2.150	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 687	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-3.010	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 687	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-3.015	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 688	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-3.020	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 688	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-3.030	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 689	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-3.040	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 690	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-3.050	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 691	This Issue	
13 CSR 110-3.060	Division of Youth Services		25 MoReg 693	This Issue	

Rule Number	Agency	Emergency	Proposed	Order	In Addition
13 CSR 110-5.010	Division of Youth Services .....		25 MoReg 693 .....		This Issue
13 CSR 110-6.010	Division of Youth Services .....		25 MoReg 694 .....		This Issue
<b>ELECTED OFFICIALS</b>					
15 CSR 40-2.031	State Auditor .....		25 MoReg 1642		
15 CSR 60-11.010	Attorney General .....		24 MoReg 1103		
15 CSR 60-11.020	Attorney General .....		24 MoReg 1104		
<b>RETIREMENT SYSTEMS</b>					
16 CSR 30-2.030	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 990R		
16 CSR 30-2.031	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 990R		
16 CSR 30-2.040	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 990R		
16 CSR 30-2.050	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 990R		
16 CSR 30-2.070	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 991R		
16 CSR 30-2.120	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 991R		
16 CSR 30-2.130	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 991R		
16 CSR 30-2.140	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 992R		
16 CSR 30-2.150	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 992R		
16 CSR 30-2.160	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 992R		
16 CSR 30-2.181	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 992R		
16 CSR 30-2.190	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 993R		
16 CSR 30-2.210	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 993R		
16 CSR 30-2.220	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 993R		
16 CSR 30-2.240	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 994R		
16 CSR 30-2.241	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 994R		
16 CSR 30-2.242	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 994R		
16 CSR 30-2.250	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 995R		
16 CSR 30-2.260	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 995R		
16 CSR 30-2.270	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 995R		
16 CSR 30-2.280	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 996R		
16 CSR 30-2.285	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 996R		
16 CSR 30-2.290	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 996R		
16 CSR 30-2.300	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 996R		
16 CSR 30-2.310	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 997R		
16 CSR 30-2.311	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 997R		
16 CSR 30-2.320	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 997R		
16 CSR 30-2.330	Missouri State Employees' Retirement System .....		25 MoReg 998R		
16 CSR 50-1.010	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1116		
16 CSR 50-1.020	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1117		
16 CSR 50-1.030	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1117		
16 CSR 50-2.010	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1117R		
			25 MoReg 1118		
16 CSR 50-2.020	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1119R		
			25 MoReg 1120		
16 CSR 50-2.030	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1120R		
			25 MoReg 1121		
16 CSR 50-2.035	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1121R		
			25 MoReg 1121		
16 CSR 50-2.040	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1123R		
			25 MoReg 1123		
16 CSR 50-2.050	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1124R		
			25 MoReg 1124		
16 CSR 50-2.060	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1124R		
16 CSR 50-2.080	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1125		
16 CSR 50-2.090	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1125		
16 CSR 50-2.100	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1126		
16 CSR 50-2.110	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1126		
16 CSR 50-2.120	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1127		
16 CSR 50-2.130	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1127		
16 CSR 50-2.140	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1128		
16 CSR 50-2.150	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1128		
16 CSR 50-2.160	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1131		
16 CSR 50-3.010	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1131R		
			25 MoReg 1131		
16 CSR 50-3.020	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1132R		
16 CSR 50-3.030	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1132R		
16 CSR 50-3.040	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1133R		
16 CSR 50-3.050	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1133R		
16 CSR 50-3.060	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1133		
16 CSR 50-3.070	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1134		
16 CSR 50-3.080	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1134R		
16 CSR 50-3.090	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		25 MoReg 1134R		
16 CSR 50-10.010	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-10.020	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-10.030	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-10.040	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-10.050	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-10.060	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-10.070	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-10.080	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-10.090	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-20.010	The County Employees' Retirement Fund .....		This Issue		

Rule Number	Agency	Emergency	Proposed	Order	In Addition
16 CSR 50-20.020	The County Employees' Retirement Fund.....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-20.030	The County Employees' Retirement Fund.....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-20.050	The County Employees' Retirement Fund.....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-20.060	The County Employees' Retirement Fund.....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-20.070	The County Employees' Retirement Fund.....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-20.080	The County Employees' Retirement Fund.....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-20.090	The County Employees' Retirement Fund.....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-20.100	The County Employees' Retirement Fund.....		This Issue		
16 CSR 50-20.110	The County Employees' Retirement Fund.....		This Issue		
<b>BOARDS OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS</b>					
17 CSR 10-2.010	Kansas City Board of Police Commissioners .....		25 MoReg 393R		
			25 MoReg 393		
17 CSR 10-2.020	Kansas City Board of Police Commissioners .....		25 MoReg 400R		
			25 MoReg 400		
17 CSR 10-2.030	Kansas City Board of Police Commissioners .....		25 MoReg 404R		
			25 MoReg 404		
17 CSR 10-2.040	Kansas City Board of Police Commissioners .....		25 MoReg 405R		
			25 MoReg 405		
17 CSR 10-2.050	Kansas City Board of Police Commissioners .....		25 MoReg 413R		
			25 MoReg 413		
17 CSR 10-2.055	Kansas City Board of Police Commissioners .....		25 MoReg 418R		
			25 MoReg 418		
17 CSR 10-2.060	Kansas City Board of Police Commissioners .....		25 MoReg 423R		
			25 MoReg 423		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH</b>					
19 CSR 20-20.010	Division of Environmental Health and Communicable Disease Prevention .....	This Issue		This Issue	
19 CSR 20-20.020	Division of Environmental Health and Communicable Disease Prevention .....	This Issue		This Issue	
19 CSR 20-20.080	Division of Environmental Health and Communicable Disease Prevention .....	This IssueR		This IssueR	
		This Issue		This Issue	
19 CSR 20-26.030	Division of Environmental Health and Communicable Disease Prevention .....	This Issue		This Issue	
19 CSR 20-26.040	Division of Environmental Health and Communicable Disease Prevention .....	This Issue		This Issue	
19 CSR 20-26.070	Division of Environmental Health and Communicable Disease Prevention .....		This Issue		
19 CSR 30-1.002	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1233		
19 CSR 30-1.004	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1240		
19 CSR 30-1.006	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1240		
19 CSR 30-1.008	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1241		
19 CSR 30-1.010	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1242R		
19 CSR 30-1.011	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1242		
19 CSR 30-1.013	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1243		
19 CSR 30-1.015	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1248		
19 CSR 30-1.017	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1251		
19 CSR 30-1.019	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1257		
19 CSR 30-1.020	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1257R		
19 CSR 30-1.023	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1257		
19 CSR 30-1.025	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1258R		
19 CSR 30-1.026	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1258		
19 CSR 30-1.027	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1259		
19 CSR 30-1.030	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1259R		
19 CSR 30-1.031	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1259		
19 CSR 30-1.032	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1260		
19 CSR 30-1.033	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1264R		
19 CSR 30-1.034	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1264		
19 CSR 30-1.035	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1272R		
19 CSR 30-1.036	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1272R		
19 CSR 30-1.041	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1272		
19 CSR 30-1.042	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1278		
19 CSR 30-1.044	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1284		
19 CSR 30-1.046	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1288		
19 CSR 30-1.048	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1291		
19 CSR 30-1.050	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1298		
19 CSR 30-1.052	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1301		
19 CSR 30-1.060	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1304		
19 CSR 30-1.062	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1304		
19 CSR 30-1.064	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1304		
19 CSR 30-1.066	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1308		
19 CSR 30-1.068	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1313		
19 CSR 30-1.070	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1316		
19 CSR 30-1.072	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1321		
19 CSR 30-1.074	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1321		
19 CSR 30-1.076	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1321		
19 CSR 30-1.078	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1325		
19 CSR 30-2.010	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1330		
19 CSR 30-2.020	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1330		
19 CSR 30-2.030	Division of Health Standards and Licensure .....		25 MoReg 1333		

Rule Number	Agency	Emergency	Proposed	Order	In Addition
19 CSR 30-2.040	Division of Health Standards and Licensure		25 MoReg 1336		
19 CSR 30-2.060	Division of Health Standards and Licensure		25 MoReg 1341		
19 CSR 30-2.070	Division of Health Standards and Licensure		25 MoReg 1341		
19 CSR 30-2.080	Division of Health Standards and Licensure		25 MoReg 1341		
19 CSR 30-2.090	Division of Health Standards and Licensure		25 MoReg 1342		
19 CSR 30-60.010	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 753	25 MoReg 870		
19 CSR 30-60.020	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 753	25 MoReg 870		
19 CSR 30-60.030	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 754	25 MoReg 873		
19 CSR 30-60.040	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 754	25 MoReg 876		
19 CSR 30-60.050	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 755	25 MoReg 876		
19 CSR 30-60.060	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 755	25 MoReg 876		
19 CSR 30-60.070	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 756	25 MoReg 879		
19 CSR 30-60.080	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 756	25 MoReg 879		
19 CSR 30-60.090	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 756	25 MoReg 881		
19 CSR 30-60.100	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 757	25 MoReg 884		
19 CSR 30-60.110	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 757	25 MoReg 884		
19 CSR 30-60.120	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 758	25 MoReg 884		
19 CSR 30-62.087	Division of Health Standards and Licensure	25 MoReg 758	25 MoReg 884		
19 CSR 40-5.050	Maternal, Child and Family Health		25 MoReg 1349		
19 CSR 40-3.010	Maternal, Child and Family Health		25 MoReg 694		
19 CSR 40-13.010	Maternal, Child and Family Health		24 MoReg 515		
19 CSR 40-13.020	Maternal, Child and Family Health		24 MoReg 526		
19 CSR 40-13.030	Maternal, Child and Family Health		24 MoReg 527		
19 CSR 60-50.300	Missouri Health Facilities Review		25 MoReg 206	25 MoReg 1647	
19 CSR 60-50.420	Missouri Health Facilities Review				25 MoReg 897
					25 MoReg 1163
					25 MoReg 1489
					This Issue
19 CSR 60-50.470	Missouri Health Facilities Review		25 MoReg 207		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE</b>					
20 CSR	Medical Malpractice				23 MoReg 514
					24 MoReg 682
					25 MoReg 597
	Sovereign Immunity Limits				25 MoReg 724
20 CSR 500-6.700	Property and Casualty		25 MoReg 1134		

## Emergency Rules in Effect as of July 3, 2000

## Expires

### Department of Transportation

#### Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission

7 CSR 10-8.010	General Information	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.011	Definitions	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.020	Definitions	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.021	General Information	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.030	Procedures for Certifying Disadvantaged Business Enterprises	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.031	Who is Governed and Bound by the USDOT and MoDOT DBE Program Regulations	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.040	Procedures for Certification Renewal of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.041	Effective Date of the DBE Program Under 49 CFR Part 26	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.050	Challenge Procedures for Disadvantaged Business Enterprises	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.051	Procedures and Policies for Initially Certifying and Recertifying Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Firms	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.060	Requirements to Participate in a Mentor-Protégé Agreement	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.061	Missouri Unified Certification Program	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.070	Decertification Procedures for Disadvantaged Business Enterprises	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.071	DBE Program Reporting and Disclosure Requirements for Currently Certified DBE Firms	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.080	Determination and Review Procedures Governing the Failure to Perform a Commercially Use Function	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.081	Ineligibility Complaints	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.090	Finality of Department Determination in the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.091	MoDOT Procedures and Hearings to Remove a Firm's DBE Eligibility	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.101	The Effect of a USDOT Certification Appeal	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.111	Prompt Payment, Recordkeeping and Audit Requirements	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.121	MoDOT DBE Program Annual Goals and Contract Goals	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.131	DBE Participation Credit Toward Project or Contract Goals	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.141	USDOT-Assisted DBE Contract Awards and Good-Faith Efforts	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.151	Performance of a Commercially Useful Function by a DBE Firm	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.161	Confidentiality of DBE Program Financial and Other Information	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.200	Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Set-Aside Program General	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.210	Definitions	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.220	Eligibility for Participation in the Commission's DBE Set-Aside Program	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.230	Publication of Qualified DBE's and Joint Ventures in the DBE Directory	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.240	Retaining Qualification to Participate in the Commission's DBE Set-Aside Program	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.250	Bidding Limitations on Qualified Firms and Joint Ventures Having Active Commission DBE Set-Aside Contracts	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.260	DBE Subcontracting Goals for the Commission's DBE Set-Aside Program Contracts	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-8.270	Disqualification of a Firm or Joint Venture from the DBE Set-Aside Program	November 6, 2000
7 CSR 10-14.020	Definitions	August 15, 2000
7 CSR 10-14.030	Application for Participation	August 15, 2000
7 CSR 10-14.040	Agreements; Responsibilities of Adopter and Commission	August 15, 2000

### Department of Public Safety

#### Office of the Director

11 CSR 30-9.010	Definition	August 26, 2000
11 CSR 30-9.020	Participation Eligibility Requirements	August 26, 2000
11 CSR 30-9.030	Reimbursement Criteria	August 26, 2000
11 CSR 30-9.040	Operation Payback Restrictions	August 26, 2000
11 CSR 30-9.050	Organization Disqualification	August 26, 2000

#### Missouri Gaming Commission

11 CSR 45-11.110	Refund—Claim for Refund	February 22, 2001
------------------	-------------------------	-------------------

#### Missouri State Highway Patrol

11 CSR 50-2.400	Emission Test Procedures	September 27, 2000
-----------------	--------------------------	--------------------

### Department of Social Services

#### Division of Aging

13 CSR 15-10.070	Alzheimer's Demonstration Projects	February 1, 2001
------------------	------------------------------------	------------------

#### Division of Medical Services

13 CSR 70-15.010	Inpatient Hospital Services Reimbursement Plan; Outpatient Hospital Services Reimbursement Methodology	Terminated May 4, 2000
------------------	---	------------------------

- 13 CSR 70-15.010 Inpatient Hospital Services Reimbursement Plan;  
Outpatient Hospital Services Reimbursement Methodology . . . . . November 6, 2000

## Department of Health

### Division of Environmental Health and Communicable Disease Prevention

- 19 CSR 20-20.010 Definitions Relating to Communicable, Environmental and Occupational Diseases . . . . . December 11, 2000  
 19 CSR 20-20.020 Reporting Communicable, Environmental and Occupational Diseases . . . . . December 11, 2000  
 19 CSR 20-20.080 Duties of Laboratories . . . . . December 11, 2000  
 19 CSR 20-20.080 Duties of Laboratories . . . . . December 11, 2000  
 19 CSR 20-20.100 Tuberculosis Testing for Residents and Workers in Long-Term Care Facilities and  
State Correctional Centers . . . . . February 22, 2001  
 19 CSR 20-26.030 Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Test Consultation and Reporting . . . . . December 11, 2000  
 19 CSR 20-26.040 Physician Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Test Consultation and Reporting . . . . . December 11, 2000  
 19 CSR 20-28.040 Day Care Immunization Rule . . . . . February 22, 2001

### Division of Health Standards and Licensure

- 19 CSR 30-60.010 Definitions Relating to Child Care Facilities . . . . . September 6, 2000  
 19 CSR 30-60.020 Application for Annual Fire Safety and Health and Sanitation Inspections and  
Inspection Procedures . . . . . September 6, 2000  
 19 CSR 30-60.030 Local Inspections . . . . . September 6, 2000  
 19 CSR 30-60.040 Variance Requests . . . . . September 6, 2000  
 19 CSR 30-60.050 Staffing Requirements . . . . . September 6, 2000  
 19 CSR 30-60.060 Health Requirements . . . . . September 6, 2000  
 19 CSR 30-60.070 Responsibilities of Caregivers . . . . . September 6, 2000  
 19 CSR 30-60.080 Fire Safety Requirements . . . . . September 6, 2000  
 19 CSR 30-60.090 Sanitation Requirements . . . . . September 6, 2000  
 19 CSR 30-60.100 Physical Plant, Space, Supplies and Equipment . . . . . September 6, 2000  
 19 CSR 30-60.110 Transportation and Field Trip Requirements . . . . . September 6, 2000  
 19 CSR 30-60.120 Admission Procedures and Required Reports and Records . . . . . September 6, 2000  
 19 CSR 30-62.087 Fire Safety . . . . . September 6, 2000

The rule number and the MoReg publication date follow each entry to this index.

**ACCOUNTANCY, STATE BOARD OF**  
fees; 4 CSR 10-2.160; 11/1/99, 2/15/00

**ADJUTANT GENERAL**

certification; 11 CSR 10-12.060; 7/3/00  
continuing education; 11 CSR 10-12.050; 7/3/00  
definitions; 11 CSR 10-12.020; 7/3/00  
exemption, waiver; 11 CSR 10-12.040; 7/3/00  
organization; 11 CSR 10-12.010; 7/3/00  
training; 11 CSR 10-12.030; 7/3/00

**AGING, DIVISION OF**

Alzheimer's project; 13 CSR 15-10.070; 5/15/00  
definitions; 13 CSR 15-7.005; 6/15/00  
fire safety standards; 13 CSR 15-15.022; 4/3/00  
funding formula; 13 CSR 15-4.050; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
nutrition service requirements; 13 CSR 15-4.240,  
13 CSR 15-7.060; 6/15/00  
resident care requirements; 13 CSR 15-14.042; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
standards residential care facilities II; 13 CSR 15-15.045; 6/1/00

**AIR QUALITY, POLLUTION**

aluminum foil rolling; 10 CSR 10-5.451; 3/15/00  
definitions; 10 CSR 10-6.020; 11/1/99, 4/17/00  
emissions  
hazardous air pollutants; 10 CSR 10-6.080; 6/15/00  
industrial surface coatings; 10 CSR 10-5.330; 7/3/00  
landfills, municipal solid waste; 10 CSR 10-6.310; 11/15/99,  
6/1/00  
paints, varnishes, lacquers, enamels and other coating  
products; 10 CSR 10-5.390; 2/1/00, 7/3/00  
submission of data, fees; 10 CSR 10-6.110; 5/15/00  
visible air contaminants; 10 CSR 10-2.060, 10 CSR 10-  
3.080, 10 CSR 10-4.060, 10 CSR 10-5.090; 10/15/99,  
4/17/00  
landfills, municipal solid waste; 10 CSR 10-5.490; 11/15/99,  
6/1/00  
maximum achievable control technology; 10 CSR 10-6.075;  
6/15/00  
motor vehicle emissions inspection; 10 CSR 10-5.380; 12/15/99,  
1/3/00, 6/1/00  
new source performance; 10 CSR 10-6.070; 6/15/00  
open burning; 10 CSR 10-5.070; 9/15/99  
operating permits; 10 CSR 10-6.065; 11/1/99, 4/17/00, 5/1/00  
restriction of emission  
particulate matter, industrial processes; 10 CSR 10-6.400;  
2/15/00, 7/3/00

**ANIMAL HEALTH**

movement of livestock, poultry, exotic animals; 2 CSR 30-2.020;  
3/15/00, 6/15/00

**ATHLETIC TRAINERS, REGISTRATION OF**

applicants; 4 CSR 150-6.020; 3/1/00  
examination; 4 CSR 150-6.025; 3/1/00  
name, address, physician changes; 4 CSR 150-6.070; 3/1/00  
registration; 4 CSR 150-6.030; 3/1/00  
renewal; 4 CSR 150-6.060; 3/1/00

**ATTORNEY GENERAL, OFFICE OF THE**

sale of livestock  
concealment, suppression or omission of prices; 15 CSR  
60-11.020; 5/3/99  
definitions; 15 CSR 60-11.010; 5/3/99

**AUDITOR, STATE**

control of fixed assets; 15 CSR 40-2.031; 6/15/00

**BINGO**

bank account; 11 CSR 45-30.220; 12/1/99, 4/17/00  
inventory and ownership, equipment; 11 CSR 45-30.180;  
12/1/99, 4/17/00  
net receipts; 11 CSR 45-30.280; 12/1/99, 4/17/00  
progressive games; 11 CSR 45-30.370; 12/1/99, 4/17/00  
reports; 11 CSR 45-30.210; 12/1/99, 4/17/00  
rules of play; 11 CSR 45-30.190; 12/1/99

**CEMETERIES, ENDOWED CARE**

advisory committee; 4 CSR 65-1.020; 5/15/00  
complaint handling, disposition; 4 CSR 65-1.050; 5/15/00  
converting to nonendowed; 4 CSR 65-2.020; 5/15/00  
definitions; 4 CSR 65-1.030; 5/15/00  
election to operate; 4 CSR 65-2.030; 5/15/00  
fees; 4 CSR 65-1.060; 5/15/00  
land surveyor's statement, location; 4 CSR 65-2.040; 5/15/00  
name, address changes; 4 CSR 65-1.040; 5/15/00

**CERTIFICATE OF NEED**

definitions; 19 CSR 60-50.300; 12/1/99, 1/14/00, 6/15/00  
financial feasibility; 19 CSR 60-50.470; 12/1/99, 1/14/00,  
3/15/00  
guidelines, health services; 19 CSR 60-50.310; 12/1/99, 4/17/00  
post-decision activity; 19 CSR 60-50.700; 12/1/99, 3/15/00

**CHILD CARE FACILITIES, LICENSE-EXEMPT**

admission, reports, records; 19 CSR 30-60.120; 4/3/00  
applications for inspection; 19 CSR 30-60.020; 4/3/00  
caregivers, responsibilities; 19 CSR 30-60.070; 4/3/00  
definitions; 19 CSR 30-60.010; 4/3/00  
fire safety; 19 CSR 30-60.080, 19 CSR 30-62.087; 4/3/00  
health requirements; 19 CSR 30-60.060; 4/3/00  
inspections, local; 19 CSR 30-60.030; 4/3/00  
physical plant, space, supplies, equipment; 19 CSR 30-60.100;  
4/3/00  
sanitation; 19 CSR 30-60.090; 4/3/00  
staffing requirements; 19 CSR 30-60.050; 4/3/00  
transportation, field trips; 19 CSR 30-60.110; 4/3/00  
variance requests; 19 CSR 30-60.040; 4/3/00

**CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT**

cooperation requirement; 13 CSR 30-8.010; 5/1/00

**CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS, STATE BOARD OF**

corporations, professional; 4 CSR 70-2.100; 4/17/00  
examination; 4 CSR 70-2.050; 4/17/00  
fees; 4 CSR 70-2.090; 5/15/00  
license renewal, annual; 4 CSR 70-2.080; 5/15/00  
Meridian therapy, acupressure, acupuncture; 4 CSR 70-2.031;  
5/15/00



**CLEAN WATER COMMISSION**

effluent regulations; 10 CSR 20-7.015; 2/1/00

**COMMUNICABLE DISEASES**

confidentiality; 19 CSR 20-20.075; 8/16/99, 12/1/99  
 duties of laboratories; 19 CSR 20-20.080; 7/3/00  
 HIV test consultation, reporting; 19 CSR 20-26.030; 7/3/00  
   physician; 19 CSR 20-26.040; 7/3/00  
 notification; 19 CSR 20-26.070; 7/3/00  
 reporting; 19 CSR 20-20.020; 7/3/00

**CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

areas; 3 CSR 10-4.115; 2/1/00, 4/17/00, 6/1/00  
 areas owned by other entities; 3 CSR 10-4.116; 3/15/00, 6/1/00  
 bass  
   black; 3 CSR 10-6.505; 6/1/00  
   white, yellow, striped; 3 CSR 10-6.545; 6/1/00  
 catfish; 3 CSR 10-6.510; 6/1/00  
 commercial musselling; 3 CSR 10-10.782; 6/1/00  
 crows; 3 CSR 10-7.441; 6/1/00  
 deer; 3 CSR 10-7.435; 6/1/00  
 definitions; 3 CSR 10-11.805; 6/1/00  
 fish, other; 3 CSR 10-6.550; 6/1/00, 7/3/00  
 fishing methods; 3 CSR 10-6.410; 6/1/00  
 frogs; 3 CSR 10-6.615, 3 CSR 10-7.445; 6/1/00  
 furbearers; 3 CSR 10-7.450; 6/1/00  
   trapping seasons; 3 CSR 10-8.515; 6/1/00  
 general provisions; 3 CSR 10-6.405, 3 CSR 10-7.405; 6/1/00  
   fishing; 3 CSR 10-6.405; 2/1/00, 4/17/00  
 ginseng; 3 CSR 10-4.113; 6/1/00  
 grouse; 3 CSR 10-7.417; 6/1/00  
 hunting methods; 3 CSR 10-7.410; 6/1/00  
 organization; 3 CSR 10-1.010; 3/1/00, 5/15/00  
 paddlefish; 3 CSR 10-6.525; 6/15/99, 9/1/99, 6/1/00  
 permits  
   dog training area; 3 CSR 10-9.627; 6/1/00  
   field, retriever trial; 3 CSR 10-9.625; 6/1/00  
   fur dealer's; 3 CSR 10-10.707; 6/1/00  
   required; 3 CSR 10-5.205; 10/15/99, 1/3/00, 6/1/00  
   signed and carried; 3 CSR 10-5.210; 6/1/00  
   trout; 3 CSR 10-5.430; 7/3/00  
   trout fishing area; 3 CSR 10-9.640; 6/1/00  
   privileges, requirements; 3 CSR 10-9.645; 6/1/00  
   wildlife hobby; 3 CSR 10-9.420; 6/1/00  
   White River border lakes; 3 CSR 10-5.535; 6/1/00  
 pheasants; 3 CSR 10-7.430; 6/1/00  
 prohibitions; 3 CSR 10-4.110, 3 CSR 10-9.110; 6/1/00  
 quail; 3 CSR 10-7.415; 6/1/00  
 rabbits; 3 CSR 10-7.420; 6/1/00  
 restricted zones; 3 CSR 10-6.415; 6/1/00  
 rock bass, warmouth; 3 CSR 10-6.530; 6/1/00  
 squirrels; 3 CSR 10-7.425; 6/1/00  
 trapping; 3 CSR 10-8.505; 10/15/99, 1/3/00  
 trout; 3 CSR 10-6.535; 6/1/00  
 turkeys; 3 CSR 10-7.455; 3/1/99, 6/1/00  
 wildlife  
   Class I; 3 CSR 10-9.230; 6/1/00

**CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES, REGULATED CHEMICALS**

administering in emergency room; 19 CSR 30-1.068; 5/15/00  
 definitions; 19 CSR 30-1.011, 19 CSR 30-2.010; 5/15/00  
 dispensing  
   by individual practitioners; 19 CSR 30-1.066; 5/15/00  
   Schedule II, emergency; 19 CSR 30-1.070; 5/15/00  
   Schedule V; 19 CSR 30-1.072; 5/15/00  
   without a prescription; 19 CSR 30-1.074; 5/15/00  
 disposing of unwanted substances; 19 CSR 30-1.036, 19 CSR 30-1.078; 5/15/00

emergency distribution by pharmacy; 19 CSR 30-1.076; 5/15/00  
 excepted substances; 19 CSR 30-1.004, 19 CSR 30-1.020; 5/15/00  
 excluded veterinary anabolic steroid implant products; 19 CSR 30-1.008; 5/15/00  
 exempt anabolic steroid products; 19 CSR 30-1.006, 19 CSR 30-1.025; 5/15/00  
 fees; 19 CSR 30-1.013; 5/15/00  
 hearing procedures; 19 CSR 30-1.033; 5/15/00  
 inventory requirements; 19 CSR 30-1.042; 5/15/00  
 investigative, administrative procedures; 19 CSR 30-1.027; 5/15/00  
 lawful prescribing, dispensing, administering; 19 CSR 30-1.060; 5/15/00  
 list of regulated chemicals; 19 CSR 30-2.020; 5/15/00  
 physical security; 19 CSR 30-1.031; 5/15/00  
 prescribing, dispensing, administering; 19 CSR 30-1.035; 5/15/00  
 prescriptions; 19 CSR 30-1.062; 5/15/00  
   partial filling, Schedule II; 19 CSR 30-1.064; 5/15/00  
 records  
   chemical analysts; 19 CSR 30-1.050; 5/15/00  
   long-term care facilities; 19 CSR 30-1.052; 5/15/00  
   practitioners, researchers; 19 CSR 30-1.048; 5/15/00  
   regulated chemicals; 19 CSR 30-2.080; 5/15/00  
   requirements; 19 CSR 30-1.041; 5/15/00  
     general; 19 CSR 30-1.044; 5/15/00  
 manufacturers, distributors, importers, exporters; 19 CSR 30-1.046; 5/15/00  
 registration  
   changes; 19 CSR 30-1.023, 19 CSR 30-2.060; 5/15/00  
   fees; 19 CSR 30-2.030; 5/15/00  
     3 year cycle; 19 CSR 30-1.015; 5/15/00  
   location; 19 CSR 30-1.019; 5/15/00  
   process; 19 CSR 30-1.017, 19 CSR 30-2.040; 5/15/00  
   requirements; 19 CSR 30-1.030; 5/15/00  
   separate; 19 CSR 30-1.026, 19 CSR 30-2.070; 5/15/00  
 schedules of controlled substances; 19 CSR 30-1.002, 19 CSR 30-1.010; 5/15/00  
 security for  
   nonpractitioners; 19 CSR 30-1.032; 5/15/00  
   practitioners; 19 CSR 30-1.034; 5/15/00  
   requirements, reports of theft; 19 CSR 30-2.090; 5/15/00

**COSMETOLOGY, STATE BOARD OF**

fees; 4 CSR 90-13.010; 4/17/00  
 organization; 4 CSR 90-1.010; 4/17/00  
 practice outside or away from beauty shop; 4 CSR 90-4.020; 4/17/00  
 sanitation; 4 CSR 90-11.010; 4/17/00  
 schools; 4 CSR 90-2.010; 4/17/00  
 students; 4 CSR 90-3.010; 4/17/00

**CREDIT UNION COMMISSION**

exemptions, limitations on groups; 4 CSR 105-3.040; 2/15/00  
 special shares and thrift accounts; 4 CSR 100-2.190; 2/1/00, 5/15/00

**CREDIT UNIONS**

member business loans; 4 CSR 100-2.045; 4/17/00

**DEALER LICENSURE**

advertising regulation; 12 CSR 10-26.100; 12/1/99, 4/17/00  
 antique vehicles; 12 CSR 10-26.110; 12/1/99, 4/3/00  
 auctions, dealers, manufacturers; 12 CSR 10-26.020; 12/1/99, 4/17/00  
 public vehicles; 12 CSR 10-26.080; 12/1/99, 4/3/00  
 wholesale vehicles; 12 CSR 10-26.070; 12/1/99, 4/3/00

business records; 12 CSR 10-26.050; 12/1/99, 4/3/00  
complaints; 12 CSR 10-26.120; 12/1/99, 4/3/00  
established place of business; 12 CSR 10-26.010; 12/1/99,  
4/3/00  
fees; 12 CSR 10-26.040; 12/1/99, 4/3/00  
hearing officer; 12 CSR 10-26.150; 12/1/99, 4/3/00  
hearing procedures; 12 CSR 10-26.140; 12/1/99, 4/3/00  
license denial, disciplinary action; 12 CSR 10-26.130; 12/1/99,  
4/3/00  
license plates; 12 CSR 10-26.060; 12/1/99, 4/17/00  
license renewal; 12 CSR 10-26.030; 12/1/99, 4/3/00  
off-premises shows and tent sales; 12 CSR 10-26.090; 12/1/99,  
4/3/00  
prehearing conferences, stipulations; 12 CSR 10-26.170;  
12/1/99, 4/3/00  
waiver of hearing; 12 CSR 10-26.160; 12/1/99, 4/3/00

#### **DENTAL BOARD, MISSOURI**

certification, dental specialists; 4 CSR 110-2.090; 5/15/00  
definitions; 4 CSR 110-2.001; 3/1/00, 7/3/00  
hygienists; 4 CSR 110-2.130; 3/1/00, 7/3/00

#### **DIETITIANS, STATE COMMITTEE OF**

application; 4 CSR 115-2.010; 4/17/00  
complaint handling; 4 CSR 115-1.030; 4/17/00  
examination; 4 CSR 115-2.030; 4/17/00  
fees; 4 CSR 115-1.040; 4/17/00  
grandfather clause; 4 CSR 115-2.010; 4/17/00  
license  
duplicate; 4 CSR 115-2.050; 4/17/00  
renewal; 4 CSR 115-2.040; 4/17/00  
name and address changes; 4 CSR 115-1.020; 4/17/00  
organization; 4 CSR 115-1.010; 4/17/00  
qualifications; 4 CSR 115-2.020; 4/17/00

#### **DRIVERS LICENSE BUREAU RULES**

deletion of convictions; 12 CSR 10-24.050; 12/15/99; 4/3/00,  
6/1/00  
highway sign recognition test; 12 CSR 10-24.452; 5/1/00  
issuance of license  
nondriver; 12 CSR 10-24.110; 7/3/00  
not received after mailing; 12 CSR 10-24.140; 7/3/00  
persons under age of 21; 12 CSR 10-24.100; 7/3/00  
Privacy Protection Act; 12 CSR 10-24.460; 7/3/00  
Social Security number; 12 CSR 10-24.310; 7/3/00  
staggering of expiration dates; 12 CSR 10-24.450; 5/1/00

#### **ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION**

background clearance; 5 CSR 80-800.400; 3/1/00, 7/3/00  
certificate to teach  
administrators; 5 CSR 80-800.220; 6/1/00  
application; 5 CSR 80-800.200; 6/1/00  
adult education and literacy; 5 CSR 80-800.280; 6/1/00  
alternative, special assignment; 5 CSR 80-800.260;  
6/1/00  
individuals from another state; 5 CSR 80-800.210;  
6/1/00  
pupil personnel services; 5 CSR 80-800.230; 6/1/00  
vocational-technical; 5 CSR 80-800.270; 6/1/00  
assessments, required; 5 CSR 80-800.380; 6/1/00  
classifications; 5 CSR 80-800.360; 6/1/00  
content areas; 5 CSR 80-800.350; 6/1/00  
fees; 5 CSR 80-800.370; 6/1/00  
revocation, suspension, invalidation and deletion; 5 CSR 80-  
800.040; 5/3/99, 8/16/99  
certification standards; 5 CSR 80-800.010; 6/1/00

districts

classification, accreditation; 5 CSR 50-340.010; 3/1/00,  
7/3/00  
Improving America's School Act  
general provisions; 5 CSR 30-345.010; 3/1/00, 7/3/00  
transportation, pupils in other than school buses; 5 CSR 30-  
261.045; 5/1/00  
vocational rehabilitation  
appeals; 5 CSR 90-4.400; 2/15/00, 7/3/00  
confidentiality, release of information; 5 CSR 90-4.110;  
2/15/00, 7/3/00  
definitions; 5 CSR 90-4.100; 2/15/00, 7/3/00  
due process hearing; 5 CSR 90-4.420; 2/15/00, 7/3/00  
fees; 5 CSR 90-5.410; 2/15/00, 7/3/00  
eligibility; 5 CSR 90-4.200; 2/15/00, 7/3/00  
home modification, remodeling; 5 CSR 90-5.450; 2/15/00,  
7/3/00  
maintenance, transportation; 5 CSR 90-5.420; 2/15/00,  
7/3/00  
mediation; 5 CSR 90-4.430; 2/15/00, 7/3/00  
order of selection; 5 CSR 90-4.300; 2/15/00, 7/3/00  
physical, mental restoration; 5 CSR 90-5.430; 2/15/00,  
7/3/00  
review, informal; 5 CSR 90-4.410; 2/15/00, 7/3/00  
services; 5 CSR 90-5.400; 2/15/00, 7/3/00  
standards, service providers; 5 CSR 90-4.120; 2/15/00,  
7/3/00  
training; 5 CSR 90-5.440; 2/15/00, 7/3/00  
vehicle modification; 5 CSR 90-5.460; 2/15/00, 7/3/00

#### **EMBALMERS AND FUNERAL DIRECTORS, DIVISION OF**

election and removal of officers; 4 CSR 120-1.030; 4/17/00  
fees; 4 CSR 120-2.100; 9/1/99, 12/15/99, 2/1/00, 5/15/00  
funeral directing; 4 CSR 120-2.060; 4/17/00  
registration and apprenticeship; 4 CSR 120-2.010; 4/17/00

#### **EMPLOYMENT SECURITY**

charges, copies, records; 8 CSR 10-2.020; 5/15/00

#### **ENERGY ASSISTANCE**

low income program; 13 CSR 40-19.020; 10/1/99, 3/1/00

#### **GAMING COMMISSION**

day care facilities; 11 CSR 45-10.150; 12/15/99, 5/15/00  
definitions; 11 CSR 45-1.090; 5/1/00  
expedited hearing, emergency order suspending license  
privileges; 11 CSR 45-13.055; 2/1/00, 6/1/00  
licensee's duty to contact commission agent; 11 CSR 45-10.035,  
2/1/00, 6/1/00  
list of disassociated persons, entry onto; 11 CSR 45-17.030;  
4/3/00  
minimum internal control standards; 11 CSR 45-9.030; 12/1/99,  
4/17/00  
payout percentage, progressive games; 11 CSR 45-5.075; 6/15/00  
policies; 11 CSR 45-5.053; 4/3/00  
refund, claim for refund; 11 CSR 45-11.110; 7/3/00  
revocation or suspension; 11 CSR 45-13.055; 9/1/99  
right of patrons to participate; 11 CSR 45-5.010; 2/1/00, 7/3/00  
surveillance rooms; 11 CSR 45-7.050; 4/1/99, 9/1/99  
Twenty-One (Blackjack); 11 CSR 45-5.051; 2/1/00, 7/3/00

#### **GRAIN INSPECTION AND WAREHOUSING**

agricultural commodities; 2 CSR 60-4.011; 12/1/99, 5/1/00  
regulated as grain; 2 CSR 60-5.010; 12/1/99, 5/1/00  
appraisal values; 2 CSR 60-5.050; 12/1/99, 5/1/00  
certificates of deposit; 2 CSR 60-4.140, 2 CSR 60-5.070;  
12/1/99, 5/1/00

claim valuation; 2 CSR 60-4.180; 12/1/99, 5/1/00  
 daily position record; 2 CSR 60-5.040; 12/1/99, 5/1/00  
 fees; 2 CSR 60-5.120; 12/1/99, 5/1/00  
 financial statements; 2 CSR 60-4.0110, 2 CSR 60-5.100; 12/1/99,  
 5/1/00  
 interpretive rule; 2 CSR 60-5.020; 12/1/99, 5/1/00  
 letters of credit; 2 CSR 60-4.150, 2 CSR 60-5.080; 12/1/99,  
 5/1/00  
 licensing; 2 CSR 60-4.040; 12/1/99, 5/1/00  
 notification, destruction or damage; 2 CSR 60-4.070; 12/1/99,  
 5/1/00  
 organization; 2 CSR 60-1.010; 12/1/00, 5/1/00  
 scale tickets; 2 CSR 60-5.030; 12/1/99, 5/1/00

#### HEALTH CARE PLAN

definitions; 22 CSR 10-2.010; 1/3/00, 4/17/00  
 HMO/POS summary of benefits; 22 CSR 10-2.063; 1/3/00,  
 1/14/00, 4/17/00  
 indemnity plan  
   benefit provisions, covered charges; 22 CSR 10-2.050;  
   1/3/00, 4/17/00  
   limitations; 22 CSR 10-2.060; 1/3/00, 4/17/00  
   summary of medical benefits; 22 CSR 10-2.040; 1/3/00,  
   1/14/00, 3/15/00, 4/17/00  
 membership agreement and participation; 22 CSR 10-2.020;  
 1/3/00, 4/17/00  
 review, appeals procedure; 22 CSR 10-2.075; 1/3/00, 4/17/00

#### HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS, OFFICE OF

occupational therapists; 4 CSR 155-1.020; 3/1/00, 6/15/00  
 respiratory care practitioners; 4 CSR 155-1.010; 3/1/00, 6/15/00

#### HEALTH MAINTENANCE ORGANIZATIONS

monitoring; 19 CSR 10-5.010; 1/3/00, 4/17/00

#### HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

adopt-a-highway program  
   agreement; 7 CSR 10-14.040; 3/15/00  
   termination, modification; 7 CSR 10-14.060; 3/15/00  
   application; 7 CSR 10-14.030; 3/15/00  
   definitions; 7 CSR 10-14.020; 3/15/00  
   purpose; 7 CSR 10-14.010; 3/15/00  
   sign specifications; 7 CSR 10-14.050; 3/15/00  
 contractor performance questionnaire  
   procedure for completing; 7 CSR 10-10.040; 12/15/99,  
   4/17/00  
   used in evaluating performance; 7 CSR 10-10.050; 12/15/99,  
   4/17/00  
 definitions; 7 CSR 10-10.010; 12/15/99, 4/17/00  
 rating of contractors; 7 CSR 10-10.070; 12/15/99, 4/17/00

#### INDUSTRIAL MINERALS

application; 10 CSR 40-10.020; 6/15/00  
 definitions; 10 CSR 40-10.100; 6/15/00  
 permits  
   requirements; 10 CSR 40-10.010; 6/15/00  
   review; 10 CSR 40-10.040; 6/15/00

#### INSURANCE, DEPARTMENT OF

medical malpractice award; 20 CSR; 3/1/99, 3/1/00  
 sovereign immunity limits; 20 CSR; 3/15/00  
 workers' compensation managed care organizations; 20 CSR 500-  
 6.700; 5/1/00

#### INTERIOR DESIGN COUNCIL

application; 4 CSR 193-2.010; 4/3/00  
 complaint handling; 4 CSR 193-6.010; 4/3/00  
 definitions; 4 CSR 193-1.010; 4/3/00

discipline; 4 CSR 193-6.030; 4/3/00  
 education, qualifying; 4 CSR 193-2.020; 4/3/00  
   requirements; 4 CSR 193-5.010; 4/3/00  
 experience, qualifying; 4 CSR 193-2.030; 4/3/00  
 fees; 4 CSR 193-4.010; 4/3/00  
 investigation; 4 CSR 193-6.020; 4/3/00  
 name and address changes; 4 CSR 193-1.040; 4/3/00  
 organization; 4 CSR 193.010; 4/3/00  
 reciprocity, waiver of exam; 4 CSR 193-2.040; 4/3/00  
 registration, original; 4 CSR 193-3.010; 4/3/00  
   renewal; 4 CSR 193-3.020; 4/3/00

#### LABOR STANDARDS, DIVISION OF

prevailing wage rates  
   public works projects; 8 CSR 30-3.010; 5/1/00  
 training wage; 8 CSR 30-4.030; 5/1/00

#### LAND RECLAMATION COMMISSION

air resource protection; 10 CSR 40-3.240; 5/1/00  
 auger mining; 10 CSR 40-4.020; 5/1/00  
 backfilling and grading; 10 CSR 40-3.110; 5/1/00  
 bond requirements; 10 CSR 40-7.011; 5/1/00  
 casing and sealing of drill holes; 10 CSR 40-3.020; 5/1/00  
 coal exploration; 10 CSR 40-4.010; 5/1/00  
   requirements; 10 CSR 40-6.020; 5/1/00  
 coal processing plants; 10 CSR 40-4.050; 5/1/00  
 definitions; 10 CSR 40-8.010; 5/1/00  
 disposal of coal processing waste; 10 CSR 40-3.080; 5/1/00  
 duration, release of liability; 10 CSR 40-7.021; 5/1/00  
 information on environmental resources; 10 CSR 40-6.040;  
 5/1/00  
 inspection, enforcement; 10 CSR 40-8.030; 5/1/00  
 operations on prime farmland; 10 CSR 40-4.030; 5/1/00  
 permits; 10 CSR 40-6.010; 5/1/00  
   applications; 10 CSR 40-6.030; 5/1/00  
   legal, financial, compliance; 10 CSR 40-6.100; 5/1/00  
   reclamation, operation plan; 10 CSR 40-6.120; 5/1/00  
   review, public participation, approval; 10 CSR 40-6.070;  
   5/1/00  
   reviews, revisions, renewals; 10 CSR 40-6.090; 5/1/00  
   special categories; 10 CSR 40-6.060; 5/1/00  
 prohibitions, areas; 10 CSR 10-5.010; 5/1/00  
 protection  
   air resources; 10 CSR 40-3.090; 5/1/00  
   hydrologic balance; 10 CSR 40-3.040; 5/1/00  
   underground operations; 10 CSR 40-3.200; 5/1/00  
 reclamation; 10 CSR 40-9.020; 5/1/00  
   operations plan; 10 CSR 40-6.050; 5/1/00  
 requirements, general; 10 CSR 40-8.070; 5/1/00  
 revegetation; 10 CSR 40-3.120; 5/1/00  
   underground operations; 10 CSR 40-3.270; 5/1/00  
 road, transportation requirements; 10 CSR 40-3.140; 5/1/00  
 signs and markers; 10 CSR 40-3.010; 5/1/00  
 small operator's assistance; 10 CSR 40-8.050; 5/1/00  
 use of explosives; 10 CSR 40-3.050; 5/1/00

#### LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL COUNCIL

application; 4 CSR 196-2.020; 7/3/00  
   business associations; 4 CSR 196-10.010; 7/3/00  
 complaints; 4 CSR 196-7.010; 7/3/00  
 examination; 4 CSR 196-5.020; 7/3/00  
   adoption, admission; 4 CSR 196-5.010; 7/3/00  
   passing score; 4 CSR 196-5.030; 7/3/00  
 filing deadline; 4 CSR 196-2.010; 7/3/00  
 organization; 4 CSR 196-1.020; 7/3/00  
 registration; 4 CSR 196-6.010; 7/3/00  
 seal, official; 4 CSR 196-8.010; 7/3/00

**LEAD ABATEMENT AND ASSESSMENT LICENSING,  
TRAINING ACCREDITATION**

lead risk assessment; 19 CSR 30-70.620; 2/15/00

**LIVESTOCK PURCHASES**

price reporting; 2 CSR 10-5.005; 10/1/99; 2 CSR 10-5.010;  
11/15/99, 3/1/00

**LOTTERY, STATE**

breakage; 12 CSR 40-85.150; 7/3/00  
cash prizes; 12 CSR 40-60.020; 2/15/00, 7/3/00  
licenses; 12 CSR 40-40.090; 2/15/00, 7/3/00  
on-line game  
contract provisions; 12 CSR 40-85.010; 7/3/00  
defined; 12 CSR 40-85.005; 7/3/00  
limitations; 12 CSR 40-85.060; 7/3/00  
payment of prizes; 12 CSR 40-85.080; 7/3/00  
prize amounts; 12 CSR 40-85.050; 7/3/00  
ticket validation; 12 CSR 40-85.030; 7/3/00  
pick-3 game; 12 CSR 40-85.110; 7/3/00  
prize amounts; 12 CSR 40-85.130; 7/3/00  
prize pool; 12 CSR 40-85.160; 7/3/00  
winning tickets; 12 CSR 40-85.120; 7/3/00  
pull-tab game; 12 CSR 40-95.010; 7/3/00  
times, drawing, selling; 12 CSR 40-85.140; 7/3/00

**MASSAGE, BOARD OF THERAPEUTIC**

application; 4 CSR 197-2.010; 4/3/00  
change of name, ownership, location; 4 CSR 197-5.030; 4/3/00  
complaint handling; 4 CSR 197-6.010; 4/3/00  
definitions; 4 CSR 197-1.010; 4/3/00  
fees; 4 CSR 197-1.040; 4/3/00  
investigation; 4 CSR 197-6.020; 4/3/00  
license  
issuance; 4 CSR 197-5.020; 4/3/00  
provisional; 4 CSR 197-2.030; 4/3/00  
renewal; 4 CSR 197-2.050; 4/3/00  
business; 4 CSR 197-5.040; 4/3/00  
mentor, certified; 4 CSR 197-4.010; 4/3/00  
name, address changes; 4 CSR 197-1.030; 4/3/00  
reciprocity; 4 CSR 197-2.020; 4/3/00  
standards of practice; 4 CSR 197-3.010; 4/3/00  
students; 4 CSR 197-2.040; 4/3/00  
survey inspections; 4 CSR 197-5.010; 4/3/00  
titling; 4 CSR 197-1.020; 4/3/00

**MATERNAL, CHILD AND FAMILY HEALTH**

child, adult care food program; 19 CSR 40-5.050; 5/15/00

**MEDICAID**

certificate of need projects; 13 CSR 70-15.010; 1/14/00, 5/15/00  
copayment, pharmacy services; 13 CSR 70-4.051; 6/15/00  
disproportionate share hospitals; 13 CSR 70-15.010; 12/15/99,  
3/1/00, 6/1/00

**MENTAL HEALTH, DEPARTMENT OF**

Missouri Alliance for Individuals; 9 CSR 45-5.040; 10/1/99,  
3/15/00, 7/3/00  
recovery of overpayments to providers; 9 CSR 25-4.040;  
10/1/99, 3/15/00, 7/3/00

**METALLIC MINERALS WASTE MANAGEMENT**

administrative penalty assessment; 10 CSR 45-3.010; 4/17/00  
closure and inspection plan; 10 CSR 45-6.020; 4/17/00  
definitions; 10 CSR 45-2.010; 4/17/00  
financial assurance; 10 CSR 45-6.030; 4/17/00

organization; 10 CSR 45-1.010; 4/17/00  
permit applications; 10 CSR 45-6.010; 4/17/00

**MILK BOARD, STATE**

inspection fees; 2 CSR 80-5.010; 2/15/00, 3/15/00, 6/15/00  
pasteurized milk ordinance; 2 CSR 80-2.180; 11/15/99,  
12/1/99

**MINORITY/WOMEN BUSINESS ENTERPRISE**

certification; 1 CSR 10-17.040; 5/1/00  
participation in procurement process; 1 CSR 10-17.050; 5/1/00

**MOTORCYCLE SAFETY**

requirements; 11 CSR 60-1.070; 1/3/00, 4/17/00

**MOTOR VEHICLE**

fees assessed; 12 CSR 10-25.090; 2/15/00, 6/1/00  
handicapped parking cones; 12 CSR 10-23.450; 12/1/99,  
4/3/00  
hearings; 12 CSR 10-25.030; 7/3/00  
license plates, personalized; 12 CSR 10-23.100; 3/1/00,  
6/15/00

**MOTOR VEHICLE COMMISSION**

advertising practices; 12 CSR 60-5.010; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
boat dealers; 12 CSR 60-2.020; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
boat manufacturers; 12 CSR 60-2.110; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
business records; 12 CSR 60-2.140, 12 CSR 60-2.160;  
11/15/99, 3/1/00  
certificate of numbers and plates; 12 CSR 60-2.170; 11/15/99,  
3/1/00  
classic vehicle dealers; 12 CSR 60-2.080; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
commission; 12 CSR 60-1.020; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
complaint handling and disposition procedures; 12 CSR 60-  
1.050; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
dealer license plates; 12 CSR 60-2.150; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
definitions; 12 CSR 60-1.010; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
deliberations of the commission; 12 CSR 60-4.080; 11/15/99,  
3/1/00  
disciplinary procedures and hearings; 12 CSR 60-4.040;  
11/15/99, 3/1/00  
fees; 12 CSR 60-1.060; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
franchised new dealers; 12 CSR 60-2.030; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
hearing officer; 12 CSR 60-4.050; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
historic vehicle dealers; 12 CSR 60-2.070; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
license denial or disciplinary actions; 12 CSR 60-4.010;  
11/15/99, 3/1/00  
licensure; 12 CSR 60-2.010; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
motorcycle dealers; 12 CSR 60-2.090; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
new vehicle and trailer manufacturers; 12 CSR 60-2.100;  
11/15/99, 3/1/00  
notice of hearing; 12 CSR 60-4.060; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
organization; 12 CSR 60-1.030; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
place of business; 12 CSR 60-2.120; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
activity conducted away; 12 CSR 60-3.010; 11/15/99,  
3/1/00  
prehearing conferences and stipulations; 12 CSR 60-4.070;  
11/15/99, 3/1/00  
recreational vehicle dealers; 12 CSR 60-2.060; 11/15/99,  
3/1/00  
registration with the secretary of state; 12 CSR 60-2.130;  
11/15/99, 3/1/00  
release of public records; 12 CSR 60-1.040; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
review of license denial; 12 CSR 60-4.020; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
used vehicle dealers; 12 CSR 60-2.040; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
waiver of hearing; 12 CSR 60-4.030; 11/15/99, 3/1/00  
wholesale dealers; 12 CSR 60-2.050; 11/15/99, 3/1/00

**MOTOR VEHICLE INSPECTION DIVISION**

areas for inspection; 11 CSR 50-2.350; 12/1/99, 3/15/00  
brake  
  components; 11 CSR 50-2.160; 3/1/00, 6/15/00  
  performance; 11 CSR 50-2.150; 3/1/00, 6/15/00  
certificate, report and printer functions; 11 CSR 50-2.405;  
  12/1/99, 3/15/00  
display and program requirements; 11 CSR 50-2.403; 12/1/99,  
  3/15/00  
documentation, logistics, warranty; 11 CSR 50-2.407; 12/1/99,  
  3/15/00  
emission fees; 11 CSR 50-2.360; 12/1/99, 3/15/00  
emission test procedures; 11 CSR 50-2.400; 2/1/00, 5/15/00  
fuel system; 11 CSR 50-2.290; 3/1/00, 6/15/00  
general specifications; 11 CSR 50-2.401; 12/1/99, 3/15/00  
homemade trailers; 11 CSR 50-2.430; 3/1/00, 6/15/00  
inspection station  
  licensing; 11 CSR 50-2.370; 12/1/99, 3/15/00  
  operational requirements; 11 CSR 50-2.090; 3/1/00, 6/15/00  
inspector/mechanic licensing; 11 CSR 50-2.380; 12/1/99,  
  3/15/00; 11 CSR 50-2.080; 3/1/00, 6/15/00  
MAS software functions; 11 CSR 50-2.402; 12/1/99, 3/15/00  
odometer reading; 11 CSR 50-2.440; 3/1/00, 6/15/00  
procedures for emission only tests; 11 CSR 50-2.420; 12/1/99,  
  3/15/00  
safety/emission stickers; 11 CSR 50-2.390; 12/1/99, 3/15/00  
school bus inspection; 11 CSR 50-2.320; 3/1/00, 6/15/00  
stickers, decals; 11 CSR 50-2.100; 3/1/00, 6/15/00  
technical specifications; 11 CSR 50-2.406; 12/1/99, 3/15/00  
test record specifications; 11 CSR 50-2.404; 12/1/99, 3/15/00  
vehicles failing reinspection; 11 CSR 50-2.410; 12/1/99, 3/15/00

**NURSING HOME ADMINISTRATORS**

examination; 13 CSR 73-2.070; 12/1/99, 3/15/00  
fees; 13 CSR 73-2.015; 12/1/99, 3/15/00  
licensure; 13 CSR 73-2.020; 12/1/99, 3/15/00

**NURSING HOME PROGRAM**

reimbursement  
  allowance; 13 CSR 70-10.110; 4/3/00

**NURSING, STATE BOARD OF**

fees; 4 CSR 200-4.010; 7/3/00  
licensure; 4 CSR 200-4.020; 6/15/00

**OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY, MISSOURI BOARD OF**

application; 4 CSR 205-3.030; 7/3/00  
license renewal; 4 CSR 205-3.040; 7/3/00

**OPERATION PAYBACK PROGRAM**

definition; 11 CSR 30-9.010; 4/3/00  
organization disqualification; 11 CSR 30-9.050; 4/3/00  
participation eligibility; 11 CSR 30-9.020; 4/3/00  
reimbursement criteria; 11 CSR 30-9.030; 4/3/00  
restrictions; 11 CSR 30-9.040; 4/3/00

**PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING PROGRAM (POST)**

application procedures; 11 CSR 75-10.090; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
certification; 11 CSR 75-3.010; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
  eligibility; 11 CSR 75-3.020; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
  officers; 11 CSR 75-3.060; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
  requirements and terms; 11 CSR 75-3.030; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
  review request for evaluation; 11 CSR 75-3.070; 12/15/99,  
    4/3/00  
  suspension; 11 CSR 75-3.080; 12/15/99, 4/3/00

## continuing education

  application; 11 CSR 75-11.060, 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
  course providers; 11 CSR 75-11.070, 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
  out-of-state training; 11 CSR 75-11.035; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
cost items; 11 CSR 75-10.060; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
definitions; 11 CSR 75-2.010; 7/15/99, 11/15/99, 3/15/00,  
  7/3/00  
eligibility for certification; 11 CSR 75-3.020, 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
fund; 11 CSR 75-10.010; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
  distribution; 11 CSR 75-10.100; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
  eligible applicants; 11 CSR 75-10.030; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
  terms and conditions; 11 CSR 75-10.020; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
suspension; 11 CSR 75-11.040; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
trainee attendance, performance; 11 CSR 75-6.020; 6/15/00  
training  
  certifying basic courses; 11 CSR 75-6.030; 6/15/00  
  eligible; 11 CSR 75-10.040; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
  ineligible; 11 CSR 75-10.050; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
training centers; 11 CSR 75-5.040, 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
waivers; 11 CSR 75-3.050; 12/15/99, 4/3/00

**PERSONNEL ADVISORY BOARD AND DIVISION OF PERSONNEL**

broad classification bands; 1 CSR 20-2.015; 4/15/99, 8/16/99  
definitions; 1 CSR 20-5.015; 10/15/99, 3/15/00  
hours of work and holidays; 1 CSR 20-5.010; 10/15/99, 3/15/00,  
  5/15/00  
leaves of absence; 1 CSR 20-5.020; 10/15/99, 3/15/00, 5/15/00  
ShareLeave; 1 CSR 20-5.025; 10/15/99, 3/15/00

**PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK INSURANCE FUND**

claims for cleanup costs; 10 CSR 100-5.010; 5/1/00  
definitions; 10 CSR 100-2.010; 5/1/00

**PHARMACY, STATE BOARD OF**

definitions and standards; 4 CSR 220-5.030; 4/17/00  
drug distributor licensing; 4 CSR 220-5.020; 4/17/00  
  out-of-state; 4 CSR 220-5.050; 4/17/00  
education, continuing; 4 CSR 220-2.100; 4/17/00  
electronic data processing; 4 CSR 220-2.080; 4/17/00  
fees; 4 CSR 220-4.010; 4/17/00  
license, temporary; 4 CSR 220-2.036; 4/17/00  
medical gas distributors; 4 CSR 220-5.070; 4/17/00  
permits; 4 CSR 220-2.020; 4/17/00  
prescription requirements; 4 CSR 220-2.018; 4/17/00  
standards of operation; 4 CSR 220-2.010; 4/17/00

**PHYSICAL THERAPISTS, AND ASSISTANTS**

continuing education; 4 CSR 150-3.203; 3/1/00  
fees; 4 CSR 150-3.080; 5/15/00  
licensure; 4 CSR 150-3.170; 5/15/00

**PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS**

applicants; 4 CSR 150-7.300; 3/1/00  
  late registration, reinstatement; 4 CSR 150-7.125; 3/1/00  
fees; 4 CSR 150-7.200; 3/1/00  
grounds for discipline; 4 CSR 150-7.140; 3/1/00  
licensure; 4 CSR 150-7.120; 3/1/00  
  applicants; 4 CSR 150-7.100; 3/1/00  
  renewal; 4 CSR 150-7.310; 3/1/00  
name, address changes, retirement; 4 CSR 150-7.122; 3/1/00

**PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS**

definitions; 4 CSR 150-2.001; 3/1/00  
examination; 4 CSR 150-2.005; 3/1/00  
fees; 4 CSR 150-2.080; 2/1/00, 5/15/00  
international medical graduates; 4 CSR 150-2.100; 3/1/00  
temporary license to teach; 4 CSR 150-2.065; 3/1/00

**PODIATRIC MEDICINE, STATE BOARD OF**  
fees, 4 CSR 230-2.070; 3/1/00, 6/15/00

**POLICE COMMISSIONERS, BOARD OF KANSAS CITY**  
application; 17 CSR 10-2.020; 2/15/00  
classifications; 17 CSR 10-2.030; 2/15/00  
fees; 17 CSR 10-2.040; 2/15/00  
firearms qualification; 17 CSR 10-2.055; 2/15/00  
regulation, licensing; 17 CSR 10-2.010; 2/15/00  
suspension, revocation; 17 CSR 10-2.060; 2/15/00  
testing, qualifications; 17 CSR 10-2.050; 2/15/00

**PSYCHOLOGISTS, STATE COMMITTEE OF**  
educational requirements; 4 CSR 235-2.005; 7/3/00  
fees; 4 CSR 235-1.010; 4/17/00

**PUBLIC DRINKING WATER PROGRAM**  
analyses; 10 CSR 60-5.010; 8/2/99, 2/1/00, 3/1/00  
capacity requirements; 10 CSR 60-3.030; 8/2/99, 10/15/99,  
2/1/00  
construction authorization; 10 CSR 60-3.010; 8/2/99, 10/15/99,  
2/1/00  
consumer confidence report; 10 CSR 60-8.030; 8/2/99, 2/1/00  
contaminant levels, maximum; 10 CSR 60-4.010; 1/14/00, 7/3/00  
disinfection byproducts; 10 CSR 60-4.090; 1/14/00, 7/3/00  
turbidity and monitoring; 10 CSR 60-4.050; 1/14/00, 7/3/00  
continuing operating authority; 10 CSR 60-3.020; 8/2/99,  
10/15/99, 2/1/00  
definitions; 10 CSR 60-2.015; 1/14/00, 7/3/00  
disinfection requirements; 10 CSR 60-4.055; 1/14/00, 7/3/00  
exemptions; 10 CSR 60-6.020; 8/2/99, 2/1/00  
laboratory certification; 10 CSR 60-5.020; 1/14/00, 7/3/00  
notification of conditions; 10 CSR 60-8.010; 1/14/00, 7/3/00  
penalty assessment; 10 CSR 60-6.070; 8/2/99, 2/1/00  
reporting requirements; 10 CSR 60-7.010; 1/14/00, 7/3/00  
variances; 10 CSR 60-6.010; 8/2/99, 2/1/00  
schedules; 10 CSR 60-6.030; 8/2/99, 2/1/00

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**  
dispute resolution, alternative; 4 CSR 240-2.125; 6/1/00  
telecommunications companies  
    billing and payment standards; 4 CSR 240-33.040; 10/1/99,  
    3/15/00  
    complaint procedures; 4 CSR 240-33.110; 10/1/99, 3/15/00  
    definitions; 4 CSR 240-33.020; 10/1/99, 3/15/00  
    deposits and guarantees; 4 CSR 240-33.050; 10/1/99,  
    3/15/00  
    discontinuance of service; 4 CSR 240-33.070; 10/1/99,  
    3/15/00  
    disputes; 4 CSR 240-33.080; 10/1/99, 3/15/00  
    inquiries; 4 CSR 240-33.060; 10/1/99, 3/15/00  
    operator service; 4 CSR 240-33.130; 10/1/99, 3/15/00  
    payment deferral for schools and libraries; 4 CSR 240-  
    33.120; 10/1/99, 3/15/00  
    pay telephone; 4 CSR 240-33.140; 10/1/99, 3/15/00  
    provisions, general; 4 CSR 240-33.010; 10/1/99, 3/15/00  
    settlement agreements; 4 CSR 240-33.090; 10/1/99, 3/15/00  
    variance; 4 CSR 240-33.100; 10/1/99, 3/15/00  
telecommunication services  
    snap-back requirements; 4 CSR 240-32.120; 10/1/99, 3/1/00  
    providers; 4 CSR 240-33.150; 12/1/99  
    surety bonding requirements; 4 CSR 240-32.110; 10/1/99,  
    3/1/00  
telephone utilities  
    billing and payment standards; 4 CSR 240-33.040; 10/1/99  
    complaint procedures; 4 CSR 240-33.110; 10/1/99  
    definitions; 4 CSR 240-33.020; 10/1/99  
    deposits and guarantees; 4 CSR 240-33.050; 10/1/99  
    discontinuance of service; 4 CSR 240-33.070; 10/1/99

disputes; 4 CSR 240-33.080; 10/1/99  
general provisions; 4 CSR 240-33.010; 10/1/99  
inquiries; 4 CSR 240-33.060; 10/1/99  
settlement agreements; 4 CSR 240-33.090; 10/1/99  
variances; 4 CSR 240-33.100; 10/1/99

**PURCHASING AND MATERIALS MANAGEMENT**  
definitions; 1 CSR 40-1.030; 5/1/00  
organization; 1 CSR 40-1.010; 5/1/00  
solicitation, receipt of bids; 1 CSR 40-1.050; 5/1/00  
vendor registration; 1 CSR 40-1.060; 5/1/00

**REAL ESTATE COMMISSION**  
advertising; 4 CSR 250-8.070; 2/15/00, 6/1/00  
agency disclosure; 4 CSR 250-8.095; 2/15/00, 6/1/00  
brokerage  
    relationship confirmation; 4 CSR 250-8.096; 2/15/00,  
    6/1/00  
    relationship disclosure; 4 CSR 250-8.095; 2/15/00,  
    6/1/00  
    service agreements; 4 CSR 250-8.090; 2/15/00, 6/1/00  
broker supervision; 4 CSR 250-8.020; 2/15/00, 6/1/00  
disclosure form; 4 CSR 250-8.097; 2/15/00, 6/1/00  
management agreements; 4 CSR 250-8.210; 2/15/00, 6/1/00  
retention of records; 4 CSR 250-8.160; 2/15/00, 6/1/00

**RESPIRATORY CARE, MISSOURI BOARD FOR**  
continuing education; 4 CSR 255-4.010; 2/1/00, 5/15/00  
fees; 4 CSR 255-1.040; 2/1/00, 5/15/00  
inactive status; 4 CSR 255-2.050; 2/1/00, 5/15/00  
license renewal; 4 CSR 255-2.040; 2/1/00, 5/15/00  
reinstatement; 4 CSR 255-2.060; 2/1/00, 5/15/00  
supervision of permit holders; 4 CSR 255-3.010; 2/1/00, 5/15/00

**RETIREMENT SYSTEMS**  
county employees deferred contribution plan  
    accounts of participants; 16 CSR 50-20.060; 7/3/00  
    death benefits; 16 CSR 50-20.080; 7/3/00  
    definitions; 16 CSR 50-20.020; 7/3/00  
    distribution of accounts; 16 CSR 50-20.070; 7/3/00  
    establishment, purpose; 16 CSR 50-20.010; 7/3/00  
    limitation on deferral; 16 CSR 50-20.050; 7/3/00  
    merger of prior plan; 16 CSR 50-20.100; 7/3/00  
    miscellaneous 457 plans; 16 CSR 50-20.110; 7/3/00  
    participation; 16 CSR 50-20.030; 7/3/00  
    plan administration; 16 CSR 50-20.090; 7/3/00  
county employees defined contribution plan  
    accounts of participants; 16 CSR 50-10.040; 7/3/00  
    contributions; 16 CSR 50-10.030; 7/3/00  
    death benefits; 16 CSR 50-10.060; 7/3/00  
    definitions; 16 CSR 50-10.010; 7/3/00  
    distribution of accounts; 16 CSR 50-10.050; 7/3/00  
    miscellaneous rules; 16 CSR 50-10.090; 7/3/00  
    participation; 16 CSR 50-10.020; 7/3/00  
    plan administration; 16 CSR 50-10.080; 7/3/00  
    vesting and service; 16 CSR 50-10.070; 7/3/00  
county employees' retirement fund  
    administration of fund; 16 CSR 50-2.160; 5/1/00  
    appeal process; 16 CSR 50-1.020; 5/1/00  
    benefits upon participant's death; 16 CSR 50-2.120; 5/1/00  
    buyback of creditable service  
        before creation of retirement system; 16 CSR  
        50-3.040; 5/1/00  
        changes when retiree returns to employment; 16 CSR  
        50-3.080; 5/1/00  
        early buyback; 16 CSR 50-3.090; 5/1/00

forfeiture of creditable service; 16 CSR 50-3.050; 5/1/00  
 opt-out by member; 16 CSR 50-3.030; 5/1/00  
 special consultant; 16 CSR 50-3.060; 5/1/00  
 refunds; 16 CSR 50-3.070; 5/1/00  
 calculation of creditable service; 16 CSR 50-3.010; 5/1/00  
 certification of employment and salary; 16 CSR 50-2.050; 5/17/99, 8/16/99  
 cost-of-living adjustment; 16 CSR 50-2.140; 5/1/00  
 definitions; 16 CSR 50-2.010; 5/1/00  
 direct rollover option; 16 CSR 50-2.130; 5/1/00  
 early retirement benefit; 16 CSR 50-2.100; 5/1/00  
 eligibility for benefits; 16 CSR 50-2.030; 5/1/00  
 eligibility, participation; 16 CSR 50-2.030; 5/1/00  
 employee contributions; 16 CSR 50-2.020; 5/1/00  
 normal retirement benefit; 16 CSR 50-2.090; 5/1/00  
 open records policy; 16 CSR 50-1.030; 5/1/00  
 organization; 16 CSR 50-1.010; 5/1/00  
 payment of benefits; 16 CSR 50-2.035; 5/1/00  
 payroll contributions; 16 CSR 50-2.020; 5/1/00  
 purchase of prior creditable service; 16 CSR 50-2.020; 5/1/00  
 refund of contributions; 16 CSR 50-2.040; 5/1/00  
 rehires; 16 CSR 50-2.110; 5/1/00  
 separation from service before retirement; 16 CSR 50-2.020; 5/1/00  
 service and compensation; 16 CSR 50-2.050; 5/1/00  
 source of pension funds; 16 CSR 50-2.080; 5/1/00  
 survivorship rights, service requirements; 16 CSR 50-2.060; 5/1/00  
 timing of applications, benefit state date; 16 CSR 50-2.035; 5/1/00  
 transition rule, effective date; 16 CSR 50-2.150; 5/1/00  
 Missouri state employees (MOSERS)  
 appeals; 16 CSR 30-2.290; 4/17/00  
 procedure for retirement; 16 CSR 30-2.240; 4/17/00  
 applications  
 long-term disability; 16 CSR 30-2.181; 4/17/00  
 medical review; 16 CSR 30-2.190; 4/17/00  
 benefits; 16 CSR 30-2.220; 4/17/00  
 denial, long-term; 16 CSR 30-2.241; 4/17/00  
 effects; 16 CSR 30-2.242; 4/17/00  
 break-in-service; 16 CSR 30-2.270; 4/17/00  
 charges for documents; 16 CSR 30-2.130; 4/17/00  
 computation of credit; 16 CSR 30-2.140; 4/17/00  
 confidentiality of records; 16 CSR 30-2.120; 4/17/00  
 cost-of-living allowance; 16 CSR 30-2.260; 4/17/00  
 creditable service for person restored to employment; 16 CSR 30-2.300; 4/17/00  
 disability appeal procedure; 16 CSR 30-2.240; 4/17/00  
 disparity in physician's opinions; 16 CSR 30-2.210; 4/17/00  
 earning capacity rule; 16 CSR 30-2.250; 4/17/00  
 employee with more than one state job; 16 CSR 30-2.280; 4/17/00  
 layoff status; 16 CSR 30-2.320; 4/17/00  
 military service  
 credit for; 16 CSR 30-2.030; 4/17/00  
 purchase of; 16 CSR 30-2.031; 4/17/00  
 notification  
 sick leave; 16 CSR 30-2.040; 4/17/00  
 retired member of election; 16 CSR 30-2.070; 4/17/00  
 termination of active employment; 16 CSR 30-2.030; 4/17/00  
 optional life insurance; 16 CSR 30-2.310; 4/17/00  
 options in lieu of annuity; 16 CSR 30-2.285; 4/17/00  
 refunds of premiums; 16 CSR 30-2.311; 4/17/00  
 use of sick leave, annual leave before disability; 16 CSR 30-2.160; 4/17/00  
 verification of service; 16 CSR 30-2.150; 4/17/00

nonteacher school employee  
 source of funds; 16 CSR 10-6.020; 1/3/00, 4/17/00  
 public school retirement  
 reinstatement, credit purchases; 16 CSR 10-4.014; 12/1/99, 3/15/00  
 nonteacher employee; 16 CSR 10-6.045; 12/1/99, 3/15/00

**SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT**

district grants; 10 CSR 80-9.050; 1/14/00, 7/3/00  
 financial assistance; 10 CSR 80-9.040; 1/14/99, 7/3/00

**SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGISTS AND AUDIOLOGISTS**

certificate  
 display of; 4 CSR 150-4.210, 4 CSR 150-4.125; 3/1/00  
 renewal; 4 CSR 150-4.130, 4 CSR 150-4.215; 3/1/00  
 definitions; 4 CSR 150-4.051; 3/1/00  
 proficiency evaluation; 4 CSR 150-4.200; 3/1/00  
 educational requirements; 4 CSR 150-4.105; 3/1/00  
 fees; 4 CSR 150-4.060; 3/1/00  
 licensure  
 provisional; 4 CSR 150-4.055; 3/1/00  
 registration, process; 4 CSR 150-4.120, 4CSR 150-4.205; 3/1/00  
 scope of practice; 4 CSR 150-4.115, 4 CSR 150-4.203; 3/1/00  
 supervision requirements; 4 CSR 150-4.110, 4 CSR 150-4.201; 3/1/00

**SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (SIDS)**

administration of program; 19 CSR 40-3.010; 3/15/00

**TAX, CITY SALES, TRANSPORTATION**

adjustment to decennial census; 12 CSR 10-11.200; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
 annexation; 12 CSR 10-11.230; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
 county tax applies; 12 CSR 10-11.090; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
 deductions; 12 CSR 10-5.035; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
 distribution of delinquent tax; 12 CSR 10-11.210; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
 effective date  
 city sales tax; 12 CSR 10-5.015; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
 county sales tax; 12 CSR 10-11.030; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
 tax imposed; 12 CSR 10-5.020, 12 CSR 10-11.040; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
 transfers; 12 CSR 10-5.105; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
 transportation tax; 12 CSR 10-5.520; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
 erroneous business locations; 12 CSR 10-11.190; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
 filing of incorporation; 12 CSR 10-11.220; 12/15/99  
 refund procedures; 12 CSR 10-5.080; 6/1/00

**TAX COMMISSION, STATE**

agricultural land productive values; 12 CSR 30-4.010; 2/1/00, 6/1/00  
 forms; 12 CSR 30-1.030; 11/15/99, 4/17/00  
 private car companies; 12 CSR 30-2.017; 11/15/99, 4/17/00  
 private railcar industry; 12 CSR 30-2.018; 11/15/99, 4/17/00

**TAX CREDIT**

maternity homes; 13 CSR 40-80.010; 10/1/99, 3/1/00

**TAX, INCOME**

employers' withholding of tax; 12 CSR 10-2.015, 1/3/00, 5/1/00  
 rate of interest; 12 CSR 10-41.010; 12/15/99, 4/3/00

**TAX, SALES/USE**

advertising  
businesses; 12 CSR 10-3.590; 7/3/00  
sales; 12 CSR 10-103.610; 2/1/00, 6/1/00  
signs; 12 CSR 10-3.172; 7/3/00  
agricultural feed, feed additives; 12 CSR 10-3.278; 7/3/00  
animals purchased for feeding, breeding; 12 CSR 10-3.336;  
7/3/00  
application required; 12 CSR 10-4.275; 6/1/00  
amended returns; 12 CSR 10-4.330; 6/1/00  
bad debts credit or refund; 12 CSR 10-115.100; 6/1/00  
boats and outboard motors; 12 CSR 10-103.360; 12/15/99,  
4/3/00  
titling, sales tax treatment; 12 CSR 10-3.834; 7/3/00  
bulldozers, agricultural; 12 CSR 10-3.866; 7/3/00  
burden of proof; 12 CSR 10-101.500; 1/3/00, 5/15/00  
claim form; 12 CSR 10-4.260, 12 CSR 10-3.518; 6/1/00  
computer software; 12 CSR 10-109.050; 12/15/99, 4/17/00  
drugs and medical equipment; 12 CSR 10-111.013 (changed to  
12 CSR 10-110.013); 11/1/99, 3/1/00  
drugs and medicines; 12 CSR 10-3.098; 6/1/00  
farm machinery, equipment; 12 CSR 10-3.274; 7/3/00  
exemptions; 12 CSR 10-110.900; 1/3/00, 5/1/00  
food and beverages; 12 CSR 10-103.500; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
government  
contractors; 12 CSR 10-112.300; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
suppliers; 12 CSR 10-3.262; 7/3/00  
grains, seed, pesticides, herbicides, fertilizers; 12 CSR 10-  
110.920; 2/1/00, 6/15/00  
isolated or occasional sales; 12 CSR 10-103.200; 2/1/00, 6/1/00;  
12 CSR 10-3.004; 7/3/00  
businesses; 12 CSR 10-3.005; 7/3/00  
examples; 12 CSR 10-3.006; 7/3/00  
liquidation, partial; 12 CSR 10-3.007; 7/3/00  
livestock; 12 CSR 10-110.910; 2/1/00, 6/15/00  
defined; 12 CSR 10-3.286; 7/3/00  
material recovery, exemption; 12 CSR 10-111.060; 1/3/00,  
5/1/00  
nonappropriated activities; 12 CSR 10-3.260; 7/3/00  
orthopedic, prosthetic devices; 12 CSR 10-3.852; 6/1/00  
poultry; 12 CSR 10-3.284; 7/3/00  
sellers; 12 CSR 10-3.290; 7/3/00  
refund, credit, application; 12 CSR 10-3.516; 6/1/00  
no interest; 12 CSR 10-3.528; 6/1/00  
procedure; 12 CSR 10-11.150; 6/1/00  
rather than credit; 12 CSR 10-3.526, 12 CSR 10-4.265;  
6/1/00  
who should request; 12 CSR 10-3.520, 12 CSR 10-4.255;  
6/1/00  
return required; 12 CSR 10-3.040; 1/14/00  
seed, pesticides, fertilizers; 12 CSR 10-3.282; 7/3/00  
seller of boats; 12 CSR 10-3.166; 7/3/00  
unconstitutional; 12 CSR 10-3.530; 6/1/00  
U.S. government  
sales of; 12 CSR 10-3.248; 7/3/00  
suppliers; 12 CSR 10-3.332; 7/3/00  
veterinary transactions; 12 CSR 10-103.390; 12/15/99, 4/3/00  
12 CSR 10-3.850; 7/3/00

**TAX, STATE USE**

audit, no credit; 12 CSR 10-4.145; 7/3/00

**TRAFFIC REGULATIONS**

overdimension and overweight permits; 7 CSR 10-2.010; 6/1/99,  
12/15/99, 4/17/00

**TRANSPORTATION, DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS  
ENTERPRISE PROGRAM**

appeal; 7 CSR 10-8.101; 6/15/00  
certifying, recertifying DBE firms; 7 CSR 10-8.051; 6/15/00  
procedures for; 7 CSR 10-8.030; 6/15/00  
renewal; 7 CSR 10-8.040; 6/15/00  
challenge procedures; 7 CSR 10-8.050; 6/15/00  
commercially useful function  
failure to perform; 7 CSR 10-8.080; 6/15/00  
performance by DBE firm; 7 CSR 10-8.151; 6/15/00  
complaints, ineligibility; 7 CSR 10-8.081; 6/15/00  
confidentiality, financial; 7 CSR 10-8.161; 6/15/00  
decertification procedures; 7 CSR 10-8.070; 6/15/00  
definitions; 7 CSR 10-8.011, 7 CSR 10-8.020, 7 CSR 10-8.210;  
6/15/00  
department determination; 7 CSR 10-8.090; 6/15/00  
directory, publication; 7 CSR 10-8.230; 6/15/00  
effective date; 7 CSR 10-8.041; 6/15/00  
eligibility to remove a firm; 7 CSR 10-8.091; 6/15/00  
goals, contract; 7 CSR 10-8.121; 6/15/00  
participation credit; 7 CSR 10-8.131; 6/15/00  
good faith efforts; 7 CSR 10-8.141; 6/15/00  
governed, bound by program; 7 CSR 10-8.031; 6/15/00  
information, general; 7 CSR 10-8.010, 7 CSR 10-8.021; 6/15/00  
mentor-protege agreement; 7 CSR 10-8.060; 6/15/00  
payment, record keeping, audit; 7 CSR 10-8.111; 6/15/00  
reporting, disclosure requirements; 7 CSR 10-8.071; 6/15/00  
set-aside program; 7 CSR 10-8.200; 6/15/00  
bidding limitations; 7 CSR 10-8.250; 6/15/00  
disqualification; 7 CSR 10-8.270; 6/15/00  
goals, subcontracting; 7 CSR 10-8.260; 6/15/00  
participation; 7 CSR 10-8.220; 6/15/00  
retaining qualification; 7 CSR 10-8.240; 6/15/00  
unified certification program; 7 CSR 10-8.061; 6/15/00

**UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE**

lessor employing units; 8 CSR 10-4.160; 6/15/00

**VOTER APPLICATION AND FORMS**

postcard form; 15 CSR 30-4.010; 10/1/99, 1/14/00

**WATER PATROL, DIVISION OF**

approval of aids; 11 CSR 80-5.010; 12/1/99, 3/15/00  
display of  
expiration stickers; 11 CSR 80-7.010; 2/1/00, 6/15/00  
identification numbers; 11 CSR 80-4.010; 2/1/00, 6/15/00  
diver's flag; 11 CSR 80-2.010; 2/1/00, 6/15/00  
organization; 11 CSR 80-1.010; 2/1/00, 6/15/00  
reporting requirements; 11 CSR 80-6.010; 2/1/00, 6/15/00  
cancellation or change of permit; 11 CSR 80-8.010;  
2/1/00, 6/15/00  
ski jump; 11 CSR 80-3.020; 2/1/00, 6/15/00  
ski mirror; 11 CSR 80-3.010; 2/1/00, 6/15/00

**WATER QUALITY**

effluent regulations; 10 CSR 20-7.015; 4/1/99, 10/1/99

**WEIGHTS AND MEASURES**

packaging and labeling; 2 CSR 90-20.140; 4/3/00  
price verification; 2 CSR 90-25.010; 4/3/00  
sale of commodities; 2 CSR 90-20.040; 4/3/00



**WORKERS' COMPENSATION**

engineering, management program  
application; 8 CSR 50-7.050, 8 CSR 50-7.070; 7/3/00  
certification; 8 CSR 50-7.060; 7/3/00  
rehabilitation; 8 CSR 50-4.010; 3/1/00  
resolution of medical fee disputes; 8 CSR 50-2.030; 3/1/00

**WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT**

application; 4 CSR 195-5.020; 4/17/00  
employee/trainee eligibility; 4 CSR 195-5.030; 4/17/00  
purpose, business eligibility; 4 CSR 195-5.010; 4/17/00

**YOUTH SERVICES, DIVISION OF**

aftercare supervision; 13 CSR 110-3.030; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
revocation; 13 CSR 110-3.040; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
classification  
criteria; 13 CSR 110-2.040; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
services; 13 CSR 110-2.010; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
confidentiality of case records; 13 CSR 110-2.140; 3/15/00,  
7/3/00  
constitutional rights; 13 CSR 110-2.120; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
day release; 13 CSR 110-2.070; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
dual jurisdiction procedure; 13 CSR 110-5.010; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
facility managers; 13 CSR 110-2.110; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
furlough policies; 13 CSR 110-2.060; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
grievance procedure; 13 CSR 110-3.060; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
committed youth; 13 CSR 110-2.100; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
hazardous placement policy; 13 CSR 110-2.090; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
individual treatment plans; 13 CSR 110-3.010; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
juvenile crime bill; 13 CSR 110-6.010; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
organization; 13 CSR 110-1.010; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
reception centers; 13 CSR 110-2.020; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
release from facilities; 13 CSR 110-2.130; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
revocation procedure; 13 CSR 110-3.050; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
runaways; 13 CSR 110-2.080; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
Safe Schools Act; 13 CSR 110-3.015; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
service coordinator involvement; 13 CSR 110-3.020; 3/15/00,  
7/3/00  
service needs, unique; 13 CSR 110-2.030; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
training programs; 13 CSR 110-2.150; 3/15/00, 7/3/00  
transfers; 13 CSR 110-2.050; 3/15/00, 7/3/00

The *official*  
source of  
information on  
Missouri state  
regulations



PUBLISHED SEMI-MONTHLY — \$56<sup>00</sup> PER YEAR

**ORDER FORM**

- Enclosed is my check for \$56 as payment in advance for one year of the *Missouri Register*  
Please start my subscription with the \_\_\_\_\_ issue.  
(Back issues are available to May 1976, Vol. 1, No. 1)
- Enclosed is my check for \$330 for the *Code of State Regulations*
- This is a subscription renewal

Please make checks payable to: **Director of Revenue**

Mail to: **Rebecca McDowell Cook**  
**Secretary of State**  
**Administrative Rules Division**  
**P.O. Box 1767**  
**Jefferson City, MO 65102**

\_\_\_\_\_  
name or firm (please type or print)

attn: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
P.O. box number

\_\_\_\_\_  
street address

city

state

zip

# BEFORE YOU MOVE

. . . please let us know!

To be sure that you do not miss any issues of your *Missouri Register* subscription, please notify us at least four weeks before you move to your new address.

## 1. Present address

Attach address label from a recent issue, or print name and address exactly as shown on the label.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Address

\_\_\_\_\_  
City State Zip

## 2. Fill in new address

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Address

\_\_\_\_\_  
City State Zip

07/03/00

Rebecca McDowell Cook  
Secretary of State  
P.O. Box 1767  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Periodical Postage Paid at Jefferson City, MO
--