

In the Circuit Court of Ray County, in Vacation Monday 8th December 1862.

stating that said horse was wrongfully taken, and that the plaintiffs right of action has accrued within one year. — It is therefore ordered by the clerk of said Court, that the said defendants be and they are hereby required to deliver the said bay Stallion horse to the Sheriff of the County of Ray aforesaid, and if said horse be not so delivered to him, the Sheriff aforesaid is hereby required to take the said bay Stallion horse from the defendants, and deliver him to said plaintiff.

In Vacation Tuesday 9th December 1862.
 Satisfaction in full of the Judgment, rendered in my favor, by the Circuit Court of Ray County on the 11th day of March A.D. 1862 — for the sum of nineteen thousand seven hundred and thirty one dollars and thirty nine cents, is by the undersigned plaintiff hereby acknowledged.
 Charles A. Watkins
 James R. Allen
 Alfred R. Sevier Clerk

In Vacation Thursday 11th December 1862.
 Now at this day comes the said plaintiff, and has filed his petition, claiming the possession of specific personal property therein described, and with said petition also files the affidavit required by law, showing that the plaintiff is the owner of and is lawfully entitled to the possession of the property described in said petition to wit: One bay Stallion horse of the value of One hundred and fifty dollars or thereabouts, that said horse is wrongfully detained by the defendants, and further stating that said horse was wrongfully taken, and that the plaintiffs right of action has accrued within one year. — It is therefore ordered by the clerk of said Court, that the said defendants be, and they are hereby required to deliver the said bay Stallion horse to the Sheriff of the County of Ray aforesaid, and if said horse be not so delivered to him, the Sheriff aforesaid is hereby required to take the said bay Stallion horse from the defendants and deliver him to said plaintiff.

William Allen
 vs
 Claim of personal property
 David Whitmer and
 David J. Whitmer

In Vacation Monday 22nd December 1862.
 Now at this day come the plaintiffs aforesaid by their attorney, and have filed their petition in this cause, and also their affidavit, stating that the defendant is a non resident of the State of Missouri. — It is therefore ordered by the clerk of the Court aforesaid, that he be notified of the commencement of this suit by publication according to law in the North West Conservator a newspaper printed and published in the County of Ray aforesaid as follows to wit: To Ruford Craig, the aforesaid defendant. — You are hereby notified that the plaintiffs have commenced their action against you in the Court aforesaid, the object and general nature of their petition being as follows. To wit: — It is averred therein that the said Benjamin J. Brown, since deceased, in his lifetime purchased of you for a valuable consideration, the following described real estate, situate in the County of Ray aforesaid, to wit: The West half of the

James Hughes and Thomas J. Brown administrators of the estate of Benjamin J. Brown, deceased
 vs
 Ruford Craig

North West Quarter of Section Thirty, in Township fifty one, of Range twenty seven, containing seventy seven acres and fifty five hundredths of an acre, more or less, and the North West Quarter of the South West Quarter of Section thirty, in Township fifty one, of Range twenty seven, containing thirty eight acres and seventy four hundredths of an acre, more or less. That plaintiffs are unable to state the actual amount of the consideration, but are that the same was fully paid by said Benjamin J. Brown, and that he was prior to his death the owner of said real estate, and exercised all the rights of ownership in and to the same for a period of at least ten years preceding the time of his death, but that no conveyance was ever executed by you to deceased in his life time, or to plaintiffs since his death therefor. — Plaintiffs ask for an order, Judgment and decree of said Court, vesting in them as such administrators for the use and benefit of the estate of said deceased, the title to the real estate aforesaid, subject to any disposition he may have made thereof in his lifetime, and for such other and further relief in the premises as may be right and proper. — You are therefore required to appear in said Court, at the Court House, in the City of Richmond in said County of Ray, on the first day of the next term of said Court, being the 2^d day of March A.D. 1863, and answer the petition aforesaid, or the same will be taken as confessed.

In Vacation Tuesday 30th December 1862.

Isabel Photewell

vs

Charles H. Photewell

Now at this day comes the plaintiff aforesaid, in his own proper person, and here files his petition in this Court, and therewith also the bond and affidavit required by law for the prosecution thereof by attachment, and it being stated in said affidavit that the defendant Charles H. Photewell is not a resident of this State, — It is therefore ordered by the Clerk of the Court aforesaid, that he be notified of the commencement of this suit, by publication according to law in the North West Conservator, a newspaper printed and published in the County of Ray aforesaid, as follows to wit: — To Charles H. Photewell, the aforesaid defendant. — You are hereby notified that the plaintiff has commenced his action against you, by attachment in the Court aforesaid, wherein the amount sworn to is fifteen hundred and fifty dollars, being the amount of your three several promissory notes, one dated 23^d February 1859, for the sum of two hundred and forty six dollars and ninety five cents, payable to plaintiff one day after date, with interest at ten per centum, to be paid annually and if not so paid to become a part of the principal and bear the same rate of interest. — One other note, dated December 1st 1858, for the sum of thirty eight dollars, payable to John W. Photewell one day after date, and by him assigned to plaintiff, and the third one of said notes dated December 1st 1858, for the sum of one hundred and fifty dollars payable to John W. Photewell, one day after date, and by him assigned to plaintiff, and also on account of money loaned to you by plaintiff at your special instance and request, stated in the sum of eight hundred dollars, on which interest is claimed at six per centum from 10th January 1858. — You are further notified that your property has been attached, and unless you appear in said Court, at the next term thereof, to be held at the Court House in the City of Richmond in said County of Ray, commencing on the 2^d day of March A.D. 1863, and on or before the third day of said term, if the same shall so long continue, and if

In the Circuit Court of Maryland, Saturday, 19th September, 1792, by the said action against them herein remains undefended, and thereupon, on the motion of the plaintiffs an interlocutory Judgment by default is here rendered against the said defendant in the premises, and it is considered by the Court that the allegations contained in said petition be taken as confessed, and on the further motion of the plaintiffs an enquiry of the damages sustained by them in this action, is here awarded by the Court, to be made at the next term thereof, and this Cause is continued.

Benoni Bond
 vs
 Claim of personal property
 David Whitman and David J. Whitman

Shewn at this day come the parties aforesaid by their attorneys, and on the motion of the defendant herein is given them to file their answer herein, thirty days before the next term of this Court, and this Cause is continued.

William Allen
 vs
 Claim of personal property
 David Whitman and David J. Whitman

Shewn at this day come the parties aforesaid by their attorneys, and on the motion of the defendant herein is given them to file their answer herein, thirty days before the next term of this Court, and this Cause is continued.

James Hughes and Thomas J. Brown
 Administrators of the estate of
 Benjamin J. Brown, deceased.
 vs
 Defenses Claim

Shewn at this day come the plaintiffs aforesaid, by their attorneys, and have shewn to the Court the publication of the Order made herein vesting the defendant of the commencement of this suit, and that the said defendant comes not, nor does he answer to, or answer the petition of the plaintiffs. Whereby the said action against him herein remains undefended, and thereupon on the motion of the plaintiffs an interlocutory Judgment by default, and decree nisi, is here rendered against the said defendant, and it is considered by the Court that the allegations contained in said petition be taken as confessed. And this Cause on motion of the plaintiffs is submitted to the Court on the petition and proofs of the plaintiffs all and singular the premises being seen, heard and by the Court here fully understood. The Court do the fore as is alleged in said petition, that the said Benjamin J. Brown, since deceased, in his lifetime, for a valuable consideration purchased of the defendant, the following described real estate, situated in the County of Maryland, The West half of the North West Quarter of Section thirty, in Township fifty one, of Anne Arundel County, being seventy seven acres and fifty five fractions, the more or less, also the North West Quarter of the South West Quarter of Section thirty, in Township fifty one, of Anne Arundel County, being thirty eight acres and twenty four hundredths. Now on oath, that the said Benjamin J. Brown, paid in full the purchase money therefor, and was prior to his death for a period of at least ten years in possession of said real estate, and exercising the rights of owner ship in and to the same. The Court further finds that the defendant has failed to execute a deed to the said Benjamin J. Brown, or to his heirs or representatives, conveying title to said real estate in accordance with the purchase thereof, as aforesaid. And it appearing to the Court that the said Benjamin J. Brown died intestate, and that the plaintiffs are the administrators of his estate, His Honor, on demand adjudged and decreed by the Court, that all the right title and interest of said defendant of in and to said real estate, pass to and vest in said plaintiffs as administrators as aforesaid, for their use and benefit, Separately and singly, of the estate of the said Benjamin J. Brown deceased, to be held and disposed of by them as the property of said deceased, Subject to any contract or disposition that the said deceased may have made thereof, in his lifetime, and unless the said defendant shall appear in this Court, at the next term thereof, and show Cause for setting aside this decree, the same will then be made final. It is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant, pay the Costs of this Suit; the said plaintiffs to pay the same in the first instance, and this Cause is continued.

In the Circuit Court of the County of Midway 11th March, Term, 1864
On Motion, it is ordered that Joseph C. Montgomery, be enrolled as an attorney and Counselor
at law in this Court.

Remond & Stanton
vs
Matera
vs
Anna M. Travis and
Alexander M. Clayton
Now at this day come the defendants aforesaid by their
attorney, and on motion and by leave of the Court
have filed their motion to set aside the Judgment rendered
by this Court in the Cause aforesaid

William Allen
vs
Claim of personal prop
vs
Annie Whitman and Lewis Whitman
Now at this day come the parties aforesaid by their attorneys
and by consent and on motion this Cause is Submitted to
the Court, and the Court having fully heard and considered
the evidence, doth find for the plaintiff and that he is
the owner of the property claimed in his petition, and the same having been delivered to him by
the Sheriff, it is ordered and adjudged that he retain possession thereof, It is further agreed
that the plaintiff pay the costs of this suit, It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendants
recover against the plaintiff their costs in the labor of expended, and it is ordered that execution issue

Copy for Clerk's office

Mary D. Vinyard, administratrix
of the Estate of Jesse Vinyard, dec'd
vs
Milo C. Nichols
Now at this day comes the plaintiff aforesaid, by
her attorney, and the said defendant comes not.
nor does he demur, or answer the petition of the
plaintiff, where by the said action against him

Satisfies.
Record B.
page 263

is found, and thereupon on the motion
of the plaintiff an interlocutory Judgment by default is here rendered against the said defendant
in the premises, and it is considered by the Court that the allegations contained in said petition be
taken as confessed, The dismissal of the plaintiff being founded on the promise of the de-
fendant for the direct payment of money, the amount value of is liquidated, the Court doth find
the balance due to said plaintiff therein to be Twenty Seven hundred and Sixty five dollars
and twenty five cents, It is therefore considered by the Court here that the plaintiff recover against
the said defendant as well the said sum of Twenty Seven hundred and Sixty five dollars and
twenty five cents as also her costs in this behalf expended, and it is ordered that execution issue therefor
and on the motion of the plaintiff, leave is given to withdraw the Note due on the 1st of January 1864

George S. Parker, Christopher L.
Bunburn and John P. Hopton
vs
James M. Moore and Thomas S. Moore
Now at this day come the parties aforesaid by their attorneys
and on the motion of the plaintiff, leave is given them to amend
their petition herein by intercomatation, and by filing the origi-
nal instrument on which this Suit is founded, which is returned

Jackson Congdon
vs
Tidwell Hume and Isaac W. Hume
vs
Tidwell Hume and Isaac W. Hume
Now at this day comes the plaintiff aforesaid by his attorney, and
on motion and by leave of the Court here files his interrogatories against
said garnishees, and thereupon come said garnishees by their
attorney and by leave of the Court here file their answers thereto

Wiley Snowden, a free man of color
vs
Harvey Hopper and Elijah Hopper
Now at this day come the parties aforesaid by their attor-
neys and by consent that the Court shall render a Judg-
ment in this Cause in favor of plaintiff and against the
defendants for the sum of Ten dollars in lieu of the balance
claimed by plaintiff in his petition, It is therefore considered by the Court here that the plaintiff
recover against the said defendants as well the said sum of Ten dollars as also his Costs in this behalf
expended, and it is ordered that execution issue therefor